Construction and standardization of knowledge test to measure the level of knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking

ABSTRACT

Intense knowledge on seed banks is prerequisite for ensuring seed banking and the associated traditional knowledge in tribal areas. Hence, it was thought necessary to construct a test for the purpose and an attempt has been made to develop a test for measuring knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking. Pertinent items were collected covering all aspects of seed banking. The knowledge test was developed following step by procedures which included preliminary screening of the items based on Likert's method through calculation of t-statistics and mean score, followed by the item analyses through derivation of difficulty index, discrimination index and point biserial correlation coefficient... The final knowledge test contained 45 items which were retained from 60 items. Each item can be measured through two-point scale. The test was found to be highly stable and reliable which was indicated by highly significant value of reliability co-efficient (0.78). The social science researchers can use this to measure knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking. It can help the extension personnel to formulate sound strategy to exploit the strong areas of knowledge and develop the weak areas of knowledge of the farmers regarding seed banking.

10

11 Keywords: Knowledge Test, Tribal Farmers, Seed Banking, Knowledge, Construction

12 1. INTRODUCTION

13

14 Seed banks can help farmers to access seeds to grow crops during the next planting 15 season or they can be used as an emergency seed supply when their crops are damage and 16 destroyed. These will help to preserve seed of the most adapted varieties for the region. 17 Seed banking is operationalized as tribal farmers behavior in terms of seed saving, seed 18 accessibility, seed production, seed storage, use and distribution to others.Despite having various advantages of seed banks, these did not get tribal farmers acceptance adequately. 19 20 To promote seed banks in tribal areas it is essential to study knowledge of tribal farmers on 21 seed banking activities, as knowledge forms an essential component in adoption of seed 22 banks. Hence, in order to study the knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking, a test has 23 been developed.

24

25 2. METHODOLOGY

26

27 2.1 Collection of items

Initially 75 items were collected focusing on various aspects of seed banking i, e seed accessibility, seed storage, use and seed distribution to others. Experts in the field of plant breeding, seed technology and scientists working in tribal areas were consulted to collect the above 75 items. After screening, fine tuning and editing based on the opinion of the concerned scientists 60 items were retained. These 60 items were subjected to item analysis to screen some more items based on the opinion of the respondents (from non sample area).

36 2.2 Item analysis

The item analysis was carried out in terms of three indices that are item difficulty index and item discrimination index and point biserial correlation. The item difficulty index indicates the extent to which an item was difficult. The item discrimination index provides information on how well an item discriminates in agreement that is whether an item really discriminates a well informed respondent from a poorly informed respondent. Whereas. The point biserial correlation provided information on how well item measures or discriminates in agreement with the rest of the test.

Pretesting of the items was done as suggested by Gonard (1948). The 60 items were revised and administered to 90 respondents selected for the purpose of pretesting in controlled situation.

47 **2.3 Item difficulty index (P)**

48 The 60 items were administered to 90 non sample respondents with two point response continuum. The scores allotted were one for correct response and zero for 49 incorrect response. After computing the total score obtained for each of the 90 respondents 50 on 60 items, they were arranged in order from highest to lowest scores. Based on which the 51 52 90 respondents were then divided into six equal groups. These groups were labelled as G_{1} , 53 G_2 , G_3 , G_4 , G_5 and G_6 with 15 respondents in each group. For the purpose of item analysis, the middle two groups G₃ and G₄ were eliminated keeping only four extreme groups with 54 55 high and low scores. (Bloom et al. 1956).

The item difficulty index was worked out as the percentage of the respondents answering an item correctly. The items with 'p' values ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 were considered for the final selection of the knowledge test battery.

59 Item discrimination index (E 1/3)

The item discrimination index indicated by "E 1/3" which is calculated by the formula.

63

64 Where S1, S2 and S5, S6 are the frequencies of correct answers in the groups G1, 65 G2 and G5, G6 respectively. 'N' is the total member of respondents of the sample selected 66 for the item analysis that is 90.

67 The discrimination index varies from 0 to 1. The items with discrimination index 68 ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 were selected for the final test.

69 2.4 Point biserial correlation (r pbis)

N/3

MP-MQ

The main aim of calculating point biserial correlation was to work out the internal consistency of the items i.e. the relationship of the total score to a dichotomized answer to any given item. In a way, the validity power of the item was computed by the correlation of the individual item of preliminary knowledge test calculated by using the formula suggested by Garret (1966).

75

76
$$r_{pbis} = - x \sqrt{pq}$$

77 SD

78 r_{pbis}= Point biserial correlation.

79 MP = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item correctly.

35

80 81 82	MP =								
	Total number of correct answers								
83 84 85 86	MQ = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item incorrectly. MQ = $\frac{\text{Sum total of x - Sum total of x y}}{\text{Total number of wrong answers}}$								
87 88 89 90 91	SD = Standard deviation of the entire sample. P = Proportion of the respondents giving correct answer to the item. $P = \frac{\text{Total number of correct answers}}{\text{Total number of respondents}}$								
92 93 94 95 96 97	 q = Proportion of the respondents giving incorrect answer to the item (or) q = 1-P X = Total score of the respondent for all items. Y = Response of the individual for the items i.e. (Correct = 1; Incorrect = 0) XY = Total score of the respondent multiplied by the response of the individual to the item. i.e. (Correct = 1; Incorrect = 0) 								
98 99	Items having significant point biserial correlation either at 1 per cent (or) 5 per cent level was selected for the final test of the knowledge.								
100	Representativeness of the test								
101 102	Care was taken to see that the test items selected finally covered the entire universe of respondent's knowledge on seed banking behaviour.								
103 104 105 106	3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Out of 60 items, 45 items were finally selected based on								
100 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	 Items with difficulty level indices ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 Items with discrimination indices ranging from 0.2 to 0.8. Items having significant point biserial correlation either at 1 per cent or 5 per cent level. 								
	Items have 0.80 and 0.20 as correct proportion. The average of these proportions is equal to $(0.80 + 0.20)/2 = 0.50$. Thus, the finally selected knowledge test items comprised of 4 types of questions viz. true/false, multiple choices, fill up the blank questions and one word answer totaling to 45 items to measure the knowledge on seed banking behaviour. The selected items with P, E1/3 andrpbis values are given in the table 1.								
118 119	Table 1. Distribution of respondents based on knowledge test scores of item analysisFrequencies of correctS.No. answer of respondents frequencies giving in four extreme groups of correct% of giving correctDiscri- mination power								

	G-1	G-2	G-5	G-6	answers by all six	responses			
					groups				
1.	15	15	7	6	64	71.11	0.71	0.6	0.642**
2.	11	6	8	5	40	44.44	0.50	0.1	0.188 ^{NS}
3.	12	12	10	9	64	71.11	0.72	0.16	0.219 ^{NS}
4.	12	9	6	0	39	43.33	0.45	0.5	0.443**
5.	12	9	3	0	33	36.67	0.40	0.6	0.514*
6.	13	13	11	9	71	78.88	0.76	0.2	0.239 ^{NS}
7.	12	9	0	0	24	26.67	0.35	0.7	0.670*
8.	6	11	4	6	39	43.33	0.65	0.23	0.171 ^{NS}
9.	15	3	3	1	21	23.33	0.4	0.5	0.254*
10.	7	7	6	4	34	37.78	0.40	0.1	0.115 ^{№S}
11.	12	12	9	0	60	66.67	0.55	0.5	0.460*
12.	12	3	6	0	27	30.00	0.35	0.3	0.361**
13.	12	9	8	8	56	62.22	0.61	0.16	0.232 ^{NS}
14.	10	10	6	6	45	50.00	0.53.33	0.26	0.205 ^{NS}
15.	15	15	12	12	81	90.00	0.90	0.2	0.121 ^{NS}
16.	15	6	0	0	24	26.67	0.35	0.7	0.422*
17.	15	9	6	3	51	56.67	0.55	0.5	0.399**
18.	15	6	6	3	36	40.00	0.50	0.4	0.43**
19.	12	9	6	0	39	43.33	0.45	0.5	0.443**
20.	15	9	3	0	30	33.33	0.45	0.7	0.697*
21.	15	12	6	3	66	73.33	0.60	0.6	0.479**
22.	9	15	6	0	39	43.33	0.50	0.6	0.450*
23.	12	15	3	6	51	56.67	0.66	0.6	0.493*
24.	15	9	3	3	45	50.00	0.50	0.6	0.550*
25.	15	12	6	0	45	50.00	0.55	0.7	0.577*
26.	15	3	3	6	42	46.67	0.45	0.3	0.390**
27.	15	0	3	3	24	26.67	0.35	0.3	0.325**
28.	15	6	3	0	30	33.33	0.40	0.6	0.647*
29.	15	3	3	0	36	40.00	0.35	0.3	0.251**
30.	9	12	3	0	39	43.33	0.40	0.6	0.592*
31.	12	15	3	6	51	56.67	0.66	0.6	0.493*
32.	12	9	3	0	36	40.00	0.40	0.6	0.251**
33.	12	15	3	6	51	56.67	0.66	0.6	0.493*
34.	6	6	0	0	27	30.00	0.20	0.4	0.224 ^{NS}
35.	10	4	1	3	21	23.33	0.3	0.3	0.374**
36.	7	7	3	1	24	26.67	0.3	0.3	0.374**
37.	12	12	9	4	51	56.67	0.65	0.3	0.264**
38.	11	10	9	7	51	56.67	0.61	0.1	0.203 ^{NS}
39.	12	4	0	0	24	26.67	0.30	0.6	0.611*
40.	12	6	0	3	33	36.67	0.35	0.5	0.456*

S.No.	answ	uencie er of re ur extre	espon	dents	Total frequencies of correct answers by	% of giving correct	Difficulty index	Discri- mination	Rpbis
	G-1	G-2	G-5	G-6	all six groups	responses		power	
41.	9	12	0	0	33	36.67	0.35	0.7	0.612*
42.	12	12	3	0	45	50.00	0.45	0.7	0.476*
43.	15	15	6	6	60	66.67	0.70	0.6	0.539*
44.	15	12	9	3	60	66.67	0.65	0.5	0.414**
45.	9	11	6	9	41	45.56	0.58	0.1	0.117 ^{NS}
46.	15	9	3	0	39	43.33	0.45	0.7	0.580*
47.	15	15	9	6	75	83.33	0.75	0.5	0.562*
48.	15	15	4	6	60	66.67	0.70	0.6	0.539*
49.	12	12	3	0	33	36.67	0.45	0.7	0.655*
50.	15	15	6	12	78	86.67	0.80	0.4	0.439*
51.	11	4	2	4	21	23.33	0.35	0.3	0.381**
52.	10	11	9	6	57	63.33	0.60	0.2	0.186 ^{NS}
53.	14	15	14	12	76	84.44	0.91	0.1	0.183 ^{NS}
54.	13	9	7	8	52	57.78	0.61	0.23	0.201 ^{NS}
55.	10	12	11	07	64	71.11	0.67	0.1	0.223 ^{NS}
56.	9	6	0	3	33	36.67	0.30	0.4	0.379**
57.	15	12	4	0	51	56.67	0.55	0.7	0.675*
58.	15	9	3	0	39	43.33	0.45	0.7	0.580*
59.	13	11	5	2	51	56.67	0.51	0.56	0.596**
60.	15	12	3	3	45	50.00	0.50	0.6	0.546*

120 *

121

122

* Significant at 0.01 % level of probability, Significant

, ** Significant at 0.05 % level of probability, NS: Non

123 **3.1 Standardization of the test:**

124 3.1.1 Reliability:

125 The split half method

Total 45 statements in the knowledge test were divided into two equal halves by putting the odd numbered items on one side and even numbered items on the other side. Both halves were considered as separate schedule with 22 and 23 statements each. Each set of half part of a schedule was administered on the same group of 30 respondents alternatively who were not included in the final sample. To find out the agreement between two sets of statements of the schedule, correlation coefficient was calculated and put to Spearman Brown prophecy formula as given here

136 Where roe is the coefficient of reliability of two half test i.e. odd and even and r_{11} is 137 the reliability coefficient of the entire test. Reliability coefficient for knowledge test was found to be 0.78. The scores for the subjects on the two forms were correlated and this correlationwas taken as a measure of the reliability of the scales.

140 3.1.2 Validity:

141 **Content validation**

The content validity of the knowledge test was derived from a long list of test items representing the whole universe of seed banking collected from various sources as discussed earlier. It was assumed that the score obtained by administering the knowledge test of this study measures what was intended to measure. Thus ensuring a fair degree of content validity

147 Thus the knowledge test developed in the present study measures the knowledge of 148 tribal farmers on seed banking as it showed a greater degree of reliability and validity.

149 Selected items:

167

168 169

170

172

173

175

150 Fill in the blanks

- 151 1. ______ is the best source of seed in your community
- 152
 2. By using ______ seed we can conserve genetic material
- 153
 3. In ______ way the demand for seed can be fulfilled
- 154 4. ______ fungicide is used for seed treatment
- 155
 5.
 __________ percent moisture content should be maintained during seed

 156
 storage
- 157 6. Before storing of harvested seed ______ operation is required
- 158 7. The seed security can be achieved through ______ in tribal areas
 159 8. Seed exchange with in community members is known as
- 159 8. Seed exchange with in community members is known as ______
 160 Multiple choices: ______
- 161 9. What is meant by community seed bank?
- a) Seed saving b) Seed accessibility c) Seed distribution d) All the above
 10. In situ conservation of seed means, conserving the seed in
- 164 a) Natural population b) Community seed bank
- 165 c) Storage bins d) Conserving the hybrid seed
- 166 11. Can you give the meaning of individual seed exchange?
 - a) Individual purchased seed will be exchanged
 - b) Individual saved seed will be exchanged with other farmers
 - c) Seed exchanged with external agencies
 - d) Seed exchanged with other community members
- 171 12. Which is the best seed in tribal area?
 - a) Local seed varieties b) Hybrid seed
 - c) Seed from govt agencies d) Seed from input dealers
- 174 13. For which purpose the saved seed can be utilized?
 - a) As seed for next season b) For own consumption
- 176 c) Exchange with others d) Both a& d
- 177 14. Products from which seed is healthier to human being and environment?
- 178a) Seed from private agenciesb) Hybrid seed
- 179 c) Seed from government/ govt agencies d) Local seed varieties
- 180 15. Which seed will be available at lower price?

181	 a) Local seed varieties 	 b) Seed from govt agencies 						
182	c) Hybrid seed	d) Seed from private agencies						
183	16. In which way seed sovereignty can be achieved?							
184	a) Seed from govt agencies b) Hybrid seed							
185	c) Local seed varieties	d) Seed from private agencies						
186	17. Seed accessibility at commun	ity level can be improved through?						
187	a) Input dealers	b) Community seed banks						
188	c) Seed from govt agencies	d) Seed from private agencies						
189	18. Which is the best source of se	ed for small and marginal farmers?						
190 191	a) Seed from inp farmers	but dealers b) local seed varieties from						
192	c) Seed from govt agencies	d) Seed from private agencies						
193	19. Sustainable use of genetic res	sources can be achieved through?						
194	a) Hybrid seed	b) local seed varieties						
195	c) Seed from govt agencies	d) Seed from private agencies						
196	20. Seed conservation and excha	nge at village level can be happen through?						
197	a) Seed from govt agencies	b) Hybrid seed						
198	c) Community seed banks	d) Seed from private agencies						
199	21. Farmer's dependence on see	d companies can be reduced through?						
200	a) Local seed varieties	b) Hybrid seed						
201	c) Seed from govt agencies	d) Seed from private agencies						
202	True/False							
203 204	22. Informal seed distribution syst and relatives without any form	em means, seed distribution with neighbors, friends al procedure.						
205 206	23. Local seed varieties ensure th	at good quality seed is always available in tribal areas						
207 208	24. Local seed varieties ensure th	24. Local seed varieties ensure that seed is available at cheaper price.						
209	25. The community seed bank be	come lively hood source of for farmers.						
210	26. Local seed varieties will give good yield even in dry land areas also.							
211	27. Local seed varieties will reduce input cost for cultivation.							
212	28. Seed treatment necessary for the seed that you use.							
213 214	29. Empowerment of farmer's org Community seed banks.	anization can be achieved through activities like						
215	30. By using of high yielding varie	ties indigenous varieties depletion happen.						
216	31. Community seed banks can a	31. Community seed banks can act as a alternate income generating activity.						
217	32. Reason for depletion of seed	stock in the villages is use of hybrid seed.						
218	One word answer							
219	33. Indigenous seed variety mear	IS?						
220	34. Please name any two indigen	ous varieties in your community?						
221	35. What is meant by local seed fa	airs?						
222	36. What is meant by farmer's rigl	nt?						
223	37. What is the difference between the local seed variety and hybrid seed?							

224 38. What are the consequences of continuous using of hybrid seed and replacing the 225 local varieties? 226 39. Which is the best place for seed storage? 227 40. Please mention any one pesticide which will control the storage pest? 228 41. Tell any indigenous practice for rodent control? 229 42. How the seed is distributed from community seed bank? 230 43. Can you give any activity through which we can conserve agro biodiversity? 231 44. What are the different sources of seed collection in your community? 232 45. How can we establish alternate seed supply in tribal areas? 233 234 4. CONCLUSION 235 Understanding the knowledge of the individuals is very important in social science 236 237 research as it influences the adoption phenomenon to a great extent. A reliable and valid 238 knowledge test is required for this purpose. In the present study a knowledge test on seed banking was developed and standardized. This knowledge test covered every possible area 239 240 of seed banking. It was found to be highly stable and reliable which was indicated by the highly significant value of reliability co-efficient. The social science researchers can use this 241 242 to measure knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking. It can help the extension personnel to formulate sound strategy to exploit the strong areas of knowledge and develop the weak 243 areas of knowledge of the farmers regarding seed banking. 244

245

246 REFERENCES-CAN INCLUDE MORE REFERENCES

- 247
- Prasad.S.V. and Narayana Reddy.G.V. Standard test to measure knowledge of farmers
 about production recommendations of rainfed castor in Nalgonda district of Andhra
 Pradesh.Current biotica.2010.3(4):570-574.
- Singh, A.K. Tests, measurements and research methods in behavioural sciences..New Delhi
 5th ed.Bharati Bhawan.2006.
- Loukham Devarani and. Bandhyopadhyay A. K. Development of Gender-Disaggregated
 Knowledge Test for measuring Knowledge Level of Farmers in Improved Rice
 Cultivation. Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu. 2014.14(1): 30-35. (fullstop)
- Roy. M.L and Kadian.K.S. Development and Standardization of a Knowledge Test on Mixed
 Farming for Farmers. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.* 2016. 16 (2):70-75.
- Smriti Subba and Souvik Ghosh.. Knowledge Test on Large Cardamom
 (Amomumsubulatum) Cultivation Practices in Sikkim. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development.* 2016. 11 (2), 173-181.
- Kusuma Kumari.Nand Sakeer Husain.A. A Standardised Knowledge Test to Measure the
 Extent of Knowledge of Agricultural Extension Personnel on m-tools.*Journal of Extension Education.* 2016. 28(1):5614-5619.
- Sujit Sarkar, R. N. Padaria, K. Vijayaragavan, Himanshu Pathak, Arpan Bhowmik, Pramod
 Kumar and G. K. Jha. Constructing a Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge

Level of Farmers about Climate Change in Arid Ecosystem of India.*International* Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2014. 5(4):530-535.

MDERPETRAL