SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_48672**
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Construction and standardization of knowledge test to measure the level of knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Key words:** Knowledge is repeated. **Introduction:** This topic should provide a brief literature survey. Therefore it is necessary to rewrite it.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The knowledge test developed was not applied in a tribal farmers for real, right? So, is it correct to say that it is highly stable and reliable based only in the highly significant value of reliability co-efficient? Think and discuss about that .	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Schirley Costalonga*
Department, University & Country	*Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil*