



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_48672</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Construction and standardization of knowledge test to measure the level of knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. <b>Abstract</b> – please delete one point, there are two points “biserial correlation coefficient..”.</p> <p>2. <b>Introduction</b> – line 17: please replace “farmers” with “ farmer’s or farmers’ ”; leave a space after “others.Despite...”. The introduction is very short, does not give sufficient information, and the authors do not invoke any reference, nor do they mention that anyone else previously have been working on a similar topic so far. I consider that the Introduction should be a little bit longer and supplemented with references from the relevant field.</p> <p>3. <b>Methodology</b> – 2.1 Collection of items – line 28: “i, e” should be write correctly as “i.e.”; 2.2 Item analysis – line 41: what means “Whereas.”? Please make a clarification!; line 52: please make a correction on “labelled” and write as “labeled”; line 55: please delete the point before the cited reference “scores, (Bloom <i>et al.</i>1956).”; line 87: format the text, it has more empty space as follow “SD =     Standard” and line 95: “items     i.e. (Correct = .....”.  4. <b>Results and Discussion</b> – line 116: leave the space between the words “andrpbis” and plese write as rp<sub>bis</sub> and in table 1, column 6 also should be write as in line 69 and 76; table 1, column: Difficulty index under the S.No. 14 there is value “0.53.33”, probably is technical error, please correct it; table 1, there is a vertical line alongside the S.No. 49, probably this is of track changes tool using, please remove it; line 145: please delete the one point, there is two points “measure.”; line 146: put the point at the end of sentence; starting from line 151 to 159 please end the sentence with poit “! “; line 172 and 173, 181 and 182, 190 and 192: please format the text like the ones numbered previously (line 164 and 165); line 179: choice “d) Local seed varieties”, please delete the space that is more than one between the two words; line 205: write the point to the last word; line 208: delete the row; line 214: suggestion to write “community seed banks” instead of “Community seed banks”; line 216: replace “a alternate” with “an alternate”.</p> <p>5. <b>Conclusion</b> – line 241: please separate the words “significantvalue “ and write as “significant value”.</p> <p>6. <b>References</b> – check the Author guideline and write all listed references according the rules (ex.: line 248: “Prasad.S.V.”; line 251: “Singh, A.K.”, line 253: “Loukham Devarani and. Bandhyopadhyay A. K.”; line 256: “Roy. M.L and Kadian.K.S.”; line 250: “2010.3(4):570-574.”, line 255: “14(1): 30-35”....</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There is a need of technical revision of the text.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Write the whole term of the abbreviation “govt” when is mentioned for the first time and afterwards you can use the abbreviation in whole text.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suzana Jordanovska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Macedonia</b>