



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48809
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Mulches on Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) in Respect of Yield Attribute in Ecosystem of Coastal Bengal
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. It has scientific quality, good statistical support and the variables evaluated were adequate. Only little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok.</p> <p>Introduction: Is ok. Only write the economic importance (yield, acreage, production total) of tomato production in the India.</p> <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write latitude, longitude and altitude of the University. 2. Remove the objective of this section. 3. Describe the main agronomic characteristics of Rocky tomato variety. 4. If is possible add chemical and physical soil characteristic where the experiment was done. 5. Little corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are good with proper evidences. Tables are clear. 2. Economics section write the equivalent in dollars 3. Little corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Conclusion: Is ok.</p> <p>References: Are ok. Only little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias , México</i>