



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_49142 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Aloe vera bio-extract coating exhibiting extended shelf life and better fruit quality attributes in pomegranate |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read effect of <i>Aloe vera</i> coating on shelf life and quality of pomegranate fruits during storage. 2. The abstract is devoid of result figures. Please insert the appropriate result figures in the abstract. 3. Line 49 should read Materials and methods. 4. The materials and methods should have subtitles viz: experimental location, collection of pomegranate fruits, collection and preparation Aloe vera and ginger extracts, extract concentrations, experimental design, data collected, data analysis. 5. The materials and methods is too shallow. You have just written a summary. You need to give a step by step detailed procedure of each step and a detailed step by step explanation on how the parameters were evaluated. 6. Your experimental design is not correct. Bring out the factors of the experiment, experimental levels, treatment combinations, number of plots. 7. Should have errors bars to indicate significant differences between treatments. 8. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications. 9. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | Good work by Authors. | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Benjawan Chutichudet</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Maharakham University, Thailand</i> |