

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49268
	Title of the Manuscript:	A Two-Stage Group Sampling Plan Based on Truncated Life Tests for an Odd Generalized Exponential Log-Logistic Dist
	Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Abstract is short. Add more sentences about novelty and result of this paper. Introduction should have more papers about the studied. Problem description should be improved. It seems that author uploaded another paper figures during submission. They should edit it. Add more physical explanation. Bring more reasons for selecting such method. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yahaya Shagaiya Daniel
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State University, Nigeria

istribution

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her