

Micronutrient Biofortification in Pulses: an Agricultural Approach

ABSTRACT

More than two billion global populations are malnourished. In India, 25% of the total children are suffering from protein energy malnutrition. India ranks 103rd among the 119 country in Global Hunger Index (GHI). Though world level hunger declined from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018, the figures still holds a deadly future. Micronutrient malnutrition is a very serious problem mostly affecting children and women in the country. The impact is highly seen in poor and landless rural people who can't afford diverse food or supplement in their diet with needed nutrition. Among consumed food, pulses are the cheapest source of protein, vitamins and micronutrients and can be supplied to the people through daily diet. Biofortification in pulses through agronomic, breeding and microbial intervention can increase the level of bioavailable micronutrients especially Zn and Fe in the final food products. This paper focuses on the role of micronutrients on human health and various mechanisms to get nutrient rich staple food along with main emphasis on biofortification.

Keywords: Biofortification, Pulse, Micronutrient, Malnutrition, Hunger

1. INTRODUCTION

Worldwide more than two billion people or one in every three persons is spotted to be troubled with multiple micronutrient deficiencies (FAO, 2015). Growing children are grievously affected by nutrient deficiency compared to adults as their requirement changes according to growth and developmental phages (Prieto and Cid, 2011). In Kolhapur district, 40% children between the age group of 8-9 years are micronutrient deficient (iron in 38.8% and fluoride in 36.6% respectively) (*Bharati et al 2018*) and globally it is 22% (GMR, 2018). At all India level 18 percent infants had a birth weight of less than 2.5kg, 38% children below five years were under-weight, 28% mild, 29% moderately and 2% severely anaemic (NFHS- 4, 2015-16). Malnutrition caused by vitamins and minerals also known as "Hidden hunger", which don't give any visual symptom usually. As per GHI 2018, India ranked 103rd among 119 countries and world-wide level of hunger declines from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018. Micronutrient deficiencies are the fountainhead of various health issues like poor neurological function, impaired eye sight, diabetes, hypertension, weak immunity, diarrhea, food allergies, thinning hair, leaky gut, acne or rashes (Lynch and

31 | Green, 2001; Beard, 2001; Shankar and Prasad, 1998; Gilbert and Foster, 2001; Stein *et*
32 | *al.*, 2005). Those deficiencies are attributable to less intake of quality diet enriched with protein, vitamins
33 | and minerals (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2011 and Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Increased price of non staple
34 | commodities is one of the important reasons of decreasing dietary quality especially to resource poor
35 | people (Bouis *et al.*, 2011). In developing countries agricultural products are the prime source of nutrition
36 | (Graham *et al.*, 2001; Schneeman, 2001). Main concern of green revolution was laid on yield increase not
37 | on quality food production. And it scale down soil productivity accompanied by less nutritive food grain
38 | production (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2011). Micronutrient rich vegetables, pulses and animal products have also
39 | not been increased in last fifty years (Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Possible ways to combat those
40 | deficiencies encircle dietary diversification (healthy balance diet), food fortification, biofortification and
41 | supplementation (Allen *et al.*, 2006). Biofortification is the process of increasing nutrient concentration in
42 | plant edible parts by fertilization (agronomic intervention), breeding approaches or microbes (White and
43 | Broadley, 2005), whereas fortification is nutrient enrichment during processing
44 | (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food_fortification). Biofortification is an effective strategy in long run to
45 | overcome the current situation as it is more cost effective, sustainable and practical one to reach poorest
46 | of the poor population (Meenakshi *et al.*, 2010, Hoddinott *et al.*, 2013, Garcia-Banuelos *et al.*, 2014).
47 | Besides quality enhancement, micronutrient has some added advantages like yield increase, biomass
48 | enhancement and disease control in micronutrient deficient soils (Hussain *et al.*, 2010). A healthy
49 | balance diet must include pulses as they are rich source of energy, protein, dietary fibre and also
50 | content considerable amount of vitamins and minerals like thiamin, riboflavin, pyridoxine, folic acid,
51 | vitamin E and K, zinc, iron etc (Ofuya and Akhidue, 2005; Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011; Johnson *et al.*, 2005).
52 | So, pulses can be considered as good option for biofortification to provide nutritious food sustainably
53 | (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011).

54 | 2. ROLE OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON HUMAN HEALTH

55 | Iron plays key role in haemoglobin formation and oxygen transport (Underwood and Suttle, 1999).
56 | Iron deficiency exerts influence on learning ability (CDC 2010), immune system (Fiall, 2003), ability to
57 | work (Viteri, 1974) and cognitive development (Bread and Connor, 2003). Its deficiency is also associated
58 | with anemia and pregnancy related issues like mortality, low birth weight etc (CDC 2010).

59 | Zinc requirement get larger during pregnancy and puberty. Zinc deficiency curtails physical
60 | growth and development of children (Brown *et al.*, 2002). Gastrointestinal, central nervous, epidermal,
61 | immune, skeletal, and reproductive systems are known to be affected by zinc deficiency (Hambidge and
62 | Walravens, 1982). The daily requirement of Zn and Fe varies with the age of people (Table 1).

63 | Selenium is a good source of antioxidant which narrow down heart and skin diseases, cancer,
64 | alzheimer, (Elahi *et al.*, 2009; Marksbery and Lovell, 2006; Klaunig and Kamendulis, 2004; Cui *et al.*,

2012; Shirley *et al.*, 2014), thyroid (Ventura *et al.*, 2017), asthma (Norton and Hoffmann, 2012). Patients having tuberculosis, influenza and hepatitis C delineated to be benefited by selenium (Steinbrenner *et al.*, 2015).

Table 1. Daily requirements of Zn and Fe in Indian context (ICMR, 2010)

	Group	Recommended Daily Allowance (mg day ⁻¹)	
		Zinc	Iron
Adult men		12	21
Adult women	Normal	10	17
	Pregnant	12	35
Children	1-3 Years	5	9
	4-6 Years	7	13
	7-9 Years	8	16
Adolescents	Boys	11-12	21-28
	Girls	9-12	26-27

3. CRITERIA OF BIOFORTIFIED CROP

Bouis and Welch (2010) suggested the following criteria to be a potential biofortified crop.

- High Yielding: Crop productivity must be maintained.
- Effective: The increased level of micronutrient must have significant positive impact on human.
- Stable: Increased level of micronutrients in crop must be stable year after year.
- Good Taste And Cooking Quality

4. POTENTIAL WAYS OF BIOFORTIFICATION

- Agronomic intervention
- Breeding intervention
- Microbial intervention

4.1 AGRONOMIC INTERVENTIONS

Comment [F1]: It is recommended that text from line 70 to line 79 be formatted in accordance with the recommendations in the author's instructions.

81 Agronomic biofortification is the application of micronutrients via chemical fertilizer with the aid of
82 foliar application, soil application, seed priming and seed coating of fertilizers to increase the
83 bioavailability of nutrients in edible plant parts (De Valena *et al.*, 2017). Several factors like source of
84 fertilizer, quantity of fertilizer and time and methods of application regulate the nutrient intake to the
85 edible plant parts and it's bioavailability to the consumer (Singh and Prasad, 2014, Rietra *et al.*, 2015).
86 Micronutrient amendment in soil is a useful strategy to increase micronutrient quantity in crop (Manzeke
87 *et al.*, 2012; Vanlauwe *et al.*, 2015 and Voortman and Bindraban, 2015). Among the different methods of
88 application, foliar application is more efficient (Lawson *et al.*, 2015) as it can manage soil immobilization
89 (Garcia-Banuelos *et al.*, 2014) and quick availability of nutrients to the crop. Hidoto *et al.* (2017) reported
90 85 g ha⁻¹ grain zinc yield with foliar application in chickpea which was significantly higher than soil
91 application (71 g ha⁻¹) and priming (68 g ha⁻¹). Combined application in both soil and foliar often showed
92 better results (Phattarakul *et al.*, 2012). Other biofortification methods like seed priming and seed
93 coating are spotted to give very infrequent result (Duffner *et al.*, 2014). Johnson *et al.* (2005) found that
94 seed priming with both B and Zn increased the seed Zn and B content of chickpea and lentil respectively
95 (table 2). Zinc and selenium biofortification is most fruitful with agronomic interventions (Cakmak, 2014).

96 **Table 2. Effect of seed priming on Zn, B and Mo content of chickpea and lentil**

Treatments	Seed content (mg kg ⁻¹)					
	Chickpea			Lentil		
	Zn	B	Mo	Zn	B	Mo
(purchased)	40	9	3	50	6	2
water	60	10	4	50	6	2
B	60	100	3	50	100	2
Zn	700	7	3	630	5	2
1/2(B + Zn)**	400	50	2	400	50	2
B + Zn	800	80	3	660	100	2
B, 12 h	40	100	3			
Zn, 12 h	500	8	2			
Mo	60	4	300			

97 (Source: Johnson *et al.*, 2005) **Priming times were 8 h and 12 h for chickpea and lentil respectively.
98 Solutions used were 0.004M ZnSO₄·7H₂O (for Zn), 0.008 M H₃BO₃(for B), 0.0026M Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (for
99 Mo).

4.1.1 ZINC FORTIFICATION

Application of zinc to the pulse crops greatly helps in enhancing the level of zinc in harvested (economic) plant parts. Zinc fertilization increases bioavailability of Zn in human by increasing phytate content (Hussain *et al.*, 2013). Guillén-Molina *et al.* (2016) concluded that application of zinc chelate (7 and 14 mM L⁻¹ of Zn-EDTA) increase grain zinc and iron concentration in cowpea. Shivay *et al.* (2015) reported that foliar spray of zinc at three different stages of chickpea had significant influence on zinc uptake both in grain and straw during 2011-12 and 2012-13 (Table 3). Foliar spray of Zn-EDTA at active vegetative, flowering and grain filling stages had greatest crop recovery of applied Zn (17.33%) during 2011-12 (table 2). Zinc fertilization improves zinc bioavailability in bean and pea (Cakmak *et al.*, 2010, Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Zinc content in seed helps in significant liner increase of protein biosynthesis (Martre *et al.*, 2003). Maximum Fe content was recorded with application of 50µM Zn-DTPA (183.7±2.16 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO₄ (197.9±3.45 ppm) whereas highest Zn with 100µM Zn-DTPA (46.3±3.87 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO₄ (49.6±2.54 ppm) of bean in hydroponic situation (Table 4). Hidoto *et al.* (2016) stated that maximum grain Zn content and Zn yield in chickpea were noted in soil application of 25 kg ha⁻¹ Zn which had an advantage of 7% over control (table 5).

Table 3. Zinc content by grain and straw of Chickpea

Treatment	Zn uptake in grain (g ha ⁻¹)		Zn uptake in straw (g ha ⁻¹)	
	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
Check (no Zn)	78.5	71.3	78.0	68.5
ZnSHH soil at 5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	102.3	93.9	104.2	93.9
ZnSHH one spray (V)	96.3	87.9	103.3	92.8
ZnSHH two sprays (V + F)	112.3	103.2	128.6	116.2
ZnSHH, three sprays (V + F + G)	124.9	114.8	166.8	152.0
Zn-EDTA soil at 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	102.7	93.9	114.5	103.5
Zn-EDTA one spray (V)	98.8	90.9	117.0	106.0
Zn-EDTA two sprays (V + F)	125.4	115.8	139.2	126.6
Zn-EDTA three sprays (V + F + G)	162.8	135.4	181.0	148.9

LSD (P = 0.05)	14.93	15.52	10.45	20.25
----------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

116 ZnSHH= Zn sulfate hepta hydrate V= active vegetative stage, F= flowering stage, G= grain filling stage (Source:
 117 Shivay *et al.*, 2015)

118 **Table 4. Iron and zinc concentration of bean in hydroponic situation**

Dose	Micronutrient concentration	
Zn-DTPA (μM)	Fe	Zn
0	146.5 \pm 0.41	28.4 \pm 1.12
25	174.4 \pm 1.45	45.7 \pm 2.35
50	183.7 \pm 2.16	42.8 \pm 3.55
100	153.0 \pm 1.63	46.3 \pm 3.87
ZnSO ₄ (μM)	Fe	Zn
0	146.5 \pm 0.41	28.4 \pm 1.12
25	189.2 \pm 2.89	42.3 \pm 3.11
50	162.1 \pm 2.03	42.6 \pm 2.87
100	197.9 \pm 3.45	49.6 \pm 2.54

119 Source: (Sida-Arreola *et al.*, 2017)

120 **Table 5. Effect of zinc sulphate soil application on Chickpea**

Zn rate	Straw Zn	Grain Zn	Zn yield
ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	(g ha ⁻¹)
0	20.63	37.05	91.0
5	20.48	37.54	98.3
10	23.24	34.20	87.7
15	22.15	33.11	86.2
20	21.82	35.52	86.3
25	21.57	39.55	99.7
30	22.31	39.18	98.0

121 Source: Hidoto *et al.*, 2016.

122 4.1.2 IRON FORTIFICATION

123 Iron is another most important micronutrient which improves human health. Supply of iron through
 124 fortification of pulses is helpful and economic for major portion of Indian population. Iron content of
 125 cowpea bean seed increased 29.4% with application of 100 μM L⁻¹ ferrous sulphate and 32% with 50 μM L⁻¹
 126 ferrous chelate over control (Mirquez- Quiroz *et al.*, 2015). Ali *et al.* (2014) observed that application of
 127 1.5% FeSO₄ at branching and flowering resulted 55%, 66% and 81% increase in iron content in leaf, stem
 128 and grain in mungbean over control respectively (Table 6). Khalid *et al.* (2015) reported that application of
 129 PGPR along with iron (@ 5.6 kg ha⁻¹) resulted grain, root and shoot iron content 4.6 mg, 3.16 mg and 1.7

Comment [F2]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

130 mg in 100 g chickpea seed respectively (Table 7). According to Salih (2013), foliar fertilization of 2 ppm
 131 Fe and 2 ppm Zn reported maximum increase in Fe (154 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (42 mg kg⁻¹) content of cowpea
 132 seed respectively (Table 8). Nandan *et al.* (2018) pointed out that foliar spray of Fe @ 0.05% along with
 133 recommended dose of fertilizer resulted significantly higher iron content in seed (66.46 mg kg⁻¹) and
 134 stover (66.83 mg kg⁻¹) whereas, maximum zinc content in seed (44.98 mg kg⁻¹) and straw (44.08 mg kg⁻¹)
 135 was noted with Zn (0.5%) and Fe (0.05%).

Comment [F3]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

136 **Table 6. Iron content in leaves, stems and grains in mungbean**

Treatment	Iron content (mg kg ⁻¹)		
	Leaves	Stems	Grains
Control	511.37	380.07	78.50
0.5% FeSO ₄ at branching	601.73	470.42	90.43
0.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	623.70	488.17	96.10
0.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 0.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	675.43	520.24	101.50
1.0% FeSO ₄ at branching	654.07	515.22	96.83
1.0% FeSO ₄ at flowering	668.37	505.16	99.60
1.0% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.0% FeSO ₄ at flowering	717.17	585.54	127.80
1.5% FeSO ₄ at branching	672.60	550.33	115.73
1.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	698.70	559.51	121.43
1.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	794.90	634.27	146.43

137 Source: Ali *et al.*, 2014

138 **Table 7. Iron uptake in different plant parts of chickpea**

Treatment	Fe Concentration (mg 100 g ⁻¹)		
	Grains	Shoot	Root
Absolute control	1.20	0.66	0.14
Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	2.40	1.80	0.86

Comment [F4]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

S1	3.26	2.23	1.40
S2	3.30	2.50	1.30
S3	3.36	2.26	1.33
S4	3.20	2.36	1.36
S5	3.40	2.40	1.30
S1+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.60	2.73	1.70
S2+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	4.36	3.16	1.56
S3+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.50	2.80	1.50
S4+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.53	2.70	1.50
S5+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.63	2.63	1.46

Comment [F5]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

Comment [F6]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

Comment [F7]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

Comment [F8]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

Comment [F9]: "@" is a symbol with a specific meaning. It is recommended to use a suitable symbol with the content of the article.

Source: Khalid *et al.*, 2015

Table 8: Effect of foliar fertilization on Fe, B and Zn content of cowpea

	Treatment	Fe	B	Zn
		Mg kg ⁻¹		
	Control, 0 ppm	40.00	16.00	8.00
	Fe, 1 ppm	90.00	31.00	25.00
	Fe, 2 ppm	154.00	47.00	42.00
	B, 1 ppm	51.00	31.00	18.00
	B, 2 ppm	58.00	40.00	24.00
	Zn, 1 ppm	47.00	26.00	13.00
	Zn, 2 ppm	50.00	37.00	17.00
Tukey's HSD	Treatment and concentration	1.28	1.35	1.35
	Interaction	2.61	2.94	2.94

Source: Salih, 2013

4.1.3 SELENIUM FORTIFICATION

Selenium fertilization by means of inorganic fertilizer results increased selenium concentration in diet (White and Broadley, 2009; Alfthan *et al.*, 2015). Unlike selenite (SeO_3^{2-}), selenate (SeO_4^{2-})

145 | HSeO_4^{2-} provides immediate availability to plants when added to soil (Broadley *et al.*, 2006; Fordyce,
146 2013; Pilbeam *et al.*, 2015). Selenium foliar application increases concentration in pea and common bean
147 from 21 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ to 743 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2005) and 30 to 2379 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2007)
148 respectively.

149 Further credibility of agronomic biofortification requires much more research on micronutrient
150 bioavailability, including metabolic pathways that affect absorption and health benefits of different
151 chemical forms of micronutrients.

152 4.2 BREEDING INTERVENTIONS

153 When utilizable genetic variability is present in a species then genetic biofortification is
154 conductible, but when there is no variability, transgenic approaches are well qualified (Garg *et al.*, 2018).
155 Initially reduction of Phytic acid and polyphenols are used to be the fundamental approach of
156 biofortification as these compounds are known to narrow down iron bioavailability. But recent studies
157 implies that priority should be given to increase iron concentration rather than Phytic acid and Polyphenol
158 reduction because those also have some beneficial properties and resist cancer cell (Pixley *et al.*, 2011,
159 Murgia *et al.*, 2012). Zein protein over expression on soybean increases methionine and cysteine content
160 (Dinkins *et al.*, 2001) and methionine content by cystathionine γ -synthase (Song *et al.*, 2013, Hanafy *et al.*,
161 2013). Increase in beta carotene and oleic acid in soybean has been attended by introducing
162 bacterial PSY gene (Schmidt *et al.*, 2015) and siRNA-mediated gene silencing had been used to reduce
163 α -linolenic acids (Flores *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, linoleic acid and palmitic acid content of soybean was
164 reduced by antisense RNA technology (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Storage albumin of Brazil nut which is rich
165 source of methionine has been used to increase common bean methionine content (Aragao *et al.*, 1999)
166 whereas, lupines methionine has been intensified by albumin of Sunflower (Molvig *et al.*, 1997). A
167 sensitive approach to understand the escalated zinc uptake is DNA strand breakage (King *et al.*, 2015).

168 Field trials regarding genetic effect on selenium concentration reported significant difference
169 among genotypes (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2010; Garrett *et al.*, 2013; Ray *et al.*, 2014). 94 pea genotypes
170 were grown in Saskatchewan field (University of Saskatchewan) and not a single nucleotide
171 polymorphism (SNP) marker was noted to affect seed Se concentration (Diapari *et al.*, 2015). In
172 contrast, lentil and chickpea revealed genotypic variation associated with selenium concentration in
173 Saskatchewan (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2008 ; Thavarajah, 2012; Ray *et al.*, 2014; Rahman *et al.*, 2015). Field
174 experiments conducted in Morocco, Nepal, Syria, Australia and Turkey were also ensured significant
175 genetic variance in lentil Se concentration (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2011). Mungbean (Nair *et al.*, 2015) and
176 soybean (Yang *et al.*, 2003) also shown genetic variation. Bean has a potential to increase zinc content

by 50% and iron by 60-80% as it evidence high heritability in zinc and iron content (Blair *et al.*, 2009; Beebe *et al.*, 2000; Petry *et al.*, 2015).

4.3 MICROBIAL INTERVENTIONS

Phytoavailability of micronutrients can be increased by soil microorganisms like *Rhizobium*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* etc (Rengel *et al.*, 1999; Smith, 2007). PGPR can be an alternate approach to biofortify pulses as it increases disease resistance (Phi *et al.*, 2010; Dary *et al.*, 2010), solubility of phosphorus (Richardson, 2001; Wani, 2007) and root growth (Glick, 1995, Zhang *et al.*, 2010). But the implication of PGPR and other microorganisms in biofortification of pulses are sparse (De *et al.*, 2011). Rhizobacteria produce siderophores which promote iron fortification in crop as well as revamps soil fertility directly by enhancing iron availability at rhizosphere or indirectly by reducing pathogen effect (Rana *et al.*, 2012; Srivastava *et al.*, 2013).

Grain protein concentration of chickpea ranged from 180 to 309 mg g⁻¹ with inoculation of *Bacillus* PSB1 and *M. ciceri* RC3 + *A. chroococcum* A4 + *Bacillus* PSB10 respectively with 25% yield advantage (Wani, 2007).

Fungi and bacteria improves bioavailability of zinc at rhizosphere zone (Fasim *et al.*, 2002; Biari *et al.*, 2008) due to decline in soil pH (Koide and Kabir, 2000; Subramanian *et al.*, 2000), chelation (Whiting *et al.*, 2001) and increased root sphere (Burkert and Robson, 1994).

Some biofortified pulse crop varieties were released across the world helping to combat the present situation of malnutrition and hidden hunger of mineral nutrients among the people (table 9 and 10).

Table 9. Several Lentil released varieties that possess high iron and zinc levels (The 2nd Global Conference on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Ashutosh Sarker (ICARDA))

Country	Variety	Content (ppm)	
		Fe	Zn
Bangladesh	Barimusur-4	86.2	---
	Barimusur-5	86	59
	Barimusur-6	86	63
	Barimusur-7	81	---
Nepal	Sisir	98	64
	Khajurah-2	100.7	59
	Khajurah-1	---	58

	Shekhar	83.4	---
India	Pusa Vaibhav	102	---
	L4704	125	74
	IPL 220	73-114	51-64
	Pusa Ageti Masoor	65.0	---
Syria	Idlib-2	73	---
	Idlib-3	72	---
Ethiopia	Alemaya	82	66

199 **Table 10. Iron biofortified bean variety released by Harvest Plus** (Garg *et al.*, 2018)

Rwanda	Democratic Republic of Congo
RWR 2245, RWR 2154, MAC 42, MAC 44, CAB 2, RWV 1129, RWV 3006, RWV 3316, RWV 3317, and RWV 2887	COD MLB 001, COD MLB 032, HM 21-7, RWR 2245, PVA 1438, COD MLV 059, VCB 81013, Nain de Kyondo, Cuarentino, Namulenga.

200

201 5. CONCLUSION

202 Largest number of hungry people especially children and women live in India which is quite
203 alarming. In a developing country like India, where maximum people does not have sufficient access to
204 afford commercially fortified food, diversified diet and food ~~supplimentes~~supplements, biofortification is an
205 acceptable cost effective way to eliminate malnutrition. And evidences revealed that a nutritious food like
206 pulse is one of the good options to fortify.

207 6. REFERENCES

- 208 Alfthan G, Eurola M, Ekholm P. Effects of nationwide addition of selenium to fertilizers on foods, and
209 animal and human health in Finland: from deficiency to optimal selenium status of the population. Journal
210 of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 2015;31: 142–147.
- 211 Ali B, Ali A, Tahir M and Ali S. Growth, Seed yield and quality of mungbean as influenced by foliar
212 application of iron sulfate. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 2014;12(1): 20-25.
- 213 Allen L, Benoist B, Dary O and Hurrell R. Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients. World Health
214 Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006.
- 215 Aragão FJL, Barros LMG, De Sousa MV, Grossi de Sá MF, Almeida ERP, Gander et al. Expression of a
216 methionine-rich storage albumin from the Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa* HBK, Lecythidaceae) in

Formatted: Font: Italic

transgenic bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Fabaceae). Genetics and Molecular Biology, 1999;22(3): 445-449.

Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. The Journal of nutrition, 2001;131(2): 568S-580S.

Beard JL & Connor JR. Iron status and neural functioning. Annual review of nutrition, 2003;23(1): 41-58.

Beebe S, Gonzalez AV and Rengifo J. Research on trace minerals in the common bean. Food and Nutrition Bulletin, 2000;21(4): 387-391.

Bharati HP, Kavthekar SO, Kavthekar SS and Kurane AB. Prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies clinically in rural school going children. International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics, 2018;5(1): 234-238.

Bhatnagar M, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Reddy SD, Anjaiah V and Sharma K K. Crop Biofortification Through Genetic Engineering: Present Status and Future Directions. Institute of Biotechnology, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad 500 030 India. 2011. file:///H:/Papers/Bio/2011Bhatnagaretal.BiofortRev.392-407.pdf.

Biari A, Gholami A and Rahmani HA. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. Journal of Biological Science, 2008;8: 1015–1020.

Blair MW, Astudillo C, Grusak MA, Graham R and Beebe SE. Inheritance of seed iron and zinc concentrations in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Molecular Breeding, 2009;23(2): 197-207.

Bouis HE and Saltzman A. Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from Harvest Plus, 2003 through 2016. Global Food Security, 2017;12: 49–58.

Bouis HE and Welch RM. Biofortification—a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. Crop Science, 2010;50: S-20.

Bouis HE, Eozenou P and Rahman A. Food prices, household income, and resource allocation: socioeconomic perspectives on their effects on dietary quality and nutritional status. Food and Nutrition Bulletin, 2011;32 (1): S14–S23.

Broadley MR, White PJ, Bryson RJ, Meacham MC, Bowen HC, Johnson SE et al.. Biofortification of UK food crops with selenium. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 2006;65(2): 169-181.

Brown KH, Peerson JM, Rivera J & Allen LH. Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc concentrations of prepubertal children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American journal of clinical nutrition, 2002;75(6): 1062-1071.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

248 | Bürkert B, and Robson A. 65Zn uptake in subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.) by three
249 | vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a root-free sandy soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 1994;
250 | 26(9): 1117-1124.

Formatted: Font: Italic

251 | Cakmak I. Agronomic biofortification. Conference brief #8, In: Proceedings of the 2nd Global Conference
252 | on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Rwanda. 2014.

253 | Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. Cereal
254 | Chemistry. 2010; 87(1): 10-20.

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

255 | CDC. Breastfeeding Report Card, United states: Outcome Indicators (Publication, from Centers for
256 | Disease Control and Prevention, National Immunization Survey. 2010.

257 | Cui H, Kong Y & Zhang H. Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and aging. Journal of signal
258 | transduction, 2012; pp. 13.

259 | Dary M, Chamber-Peerez MA, Palomares AJ, and Pajuelo E. "In situ" phytostabilisation of heavy metal
260 | polluted soils using *Lupinus luteus* inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria.
261 | Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2010; 177(1): 323-330.

Formatted: Font: Italic

262 | De Santiago A, Quintero JM, Aviles M and Delgado A. Effect of Trichoderma asperellum strain T34 on
263 | iron, copper, manganese, and zinc uptake by wheat grown on a calcareous medium. Plant and Soil,
264 | 2011;342(1-2): 97-104.

265 | De Valença AW, Bake A, Brouwer I D and Giller K E. Agronomic biofortification of crops to fight hidden
266 | hunger in sub-Saharan Africa. Global food security, 2017;12: 8-14.

267 | Diapari M, Sindhu A, Warkentin TD, Bett K and Tar'an B. Population structure and marker-trait
268 | association studies of iron, zinc and selenium concentrations in seed of field pea (*Pisum sativum*
269 | L.). Molecular Breeding, 2015;35(1): 30.

Formatted: Font: Italic

270 | Dinkins RD, Reddy MS, Meurer CA, Yan B, Trick H, Thibaud-Nissen F et al.. Increased sulfur amino acids
271 | in soybean plants over expressing the maize 15 kDa zein protein. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental
272 | Biology-Plant, 2001;37(6): 742-747.

273 | Duffner A, Hoffland E, Stomph TJ, Melse-Boonstra A, Bindraban PS. Eliminating zinc deficiency in rice-
274 | based systems. VFRC Report 2014/2. Virtual Fertilizer Research Center, Washington, D.C. 2014.

275 | Elahi MM, Kong YX and Matata BM. Oxidative stress as a mediator of cardiovascular disease. Oxidative
276 | medicine and cellular longevity, 2009;2(5): 259-269.

277 | Failla ML. Trace elements and host defense: recent advances and continuing challenges. The Journal of
278 | nutrition, 2003;133(5): 1443S-1447S.

279 | FAO, IFAD, WFP. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. FAO, Rome. 2015.

280 Fasim F, Ahmed N, Parsons R and Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from
 281 the air environment of a tannery. FEMS microbiology letters, 2002;213(1): 1-6.

282 Flores T, Karpova O, Su X, Zeng P, Bilyeu K, Sleper DA et al. Silencing of GmFAD3 gene by siRNA leads
 283 to low α -linolenic acids (18: 3) of fad3-mutant phenotype in soybean [*Glycine max* (Merr.)]. Transgenic
 284 research, 2008;17(5): 839-850.

285 Fordyce FM. Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment. In Essentials of medical geology.
 286 Springer, Dordrecht. 2013;pp. 375-416

287 Garcia-Banuelos ML, Sida-Arreola JP and Sanches E. Biofortification – promising approach to increasing
 288 the content of iron and zinc in staple food crops. Journal of Elementology, 2014;19(3): 865–888.

289 Garg M, Sharma N, Sharma S, Kapoor P, Kumar A, Chunduri V et al. Biofortified crops generated by
 290 breeding, agronomy, and transgenic approaches are improving lives of millions of people around the
 291 world. Frontiers in nutrition, 2018;5: 12. doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00012

292 Garrett RG, Gawalko E, Wang N, Richter A and Warkentin TD. Macro-relationships between regional-
 293 scale field pea (*Pisum sativum*) selenium chemistry and environmental factors in western
 294 Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2013;93(6):1059-1071.

295 Gilbert C and Foster A. Childhood blindness in the context of VISION 2020: the right to sight. Bulletin of
 296 the World Health Organization, 2001;79: 227-232.

297 Glick BR. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Canadian journal of
 298 microbiology, 1995;41(2): 109-117.

299 Global Hunger Index, 2018.

300 Global Nutrition report, 2018.

301 Graham RD, Welch RM & Bouis H. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the
 302 nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. Advances in Agronomy,
 303 2001;70: 77–142.

304 Hambidge KM and Walravens PA. Disorders of mineral metabolism. Clinics in
 305 gastroenterology, 1982;11(1): 87-117.

306 Hanafy MS, Rahman SM, Nakamoto Y, Fujiwara T, Naito S, Wakasa K. et al. Differential response of
 307 methionine metabolism in two grain legumes, soybean and azuki bean, expressing a mutated form of
 308 Arabidopsis cystathionine γ -synthase. Journal of plant physiology, 2013;170(3): 338-345.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

309 Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Agronomic Approach to Increase Seed Zinc Content and
 310 Productivity of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Varieties on Zinc Deficient Soils of Southern Ethiopia.
 311 Advances in Life Science and Technology, 2016;42.

312 Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Effects of zinc application strategy on zinc content and
 313 productivity of chickpea grown under zinc deficient soils. Journal of Soil Science and Plant
 314 Nutrition, 2017;17(1): 112-126.

315 Hidoto L, Tar'an B, Worku W and Mohammed H. Towards zinc biofortification in chickpea: performance of
 316 chickpea cultivars in response to soil zinc application. Agronomy, 2017;7(1): 11.

317 Hussain S, Maqsood M A and Rahmatullah M. Increasing grain zinc and yield of wheat for the
 318 developing world: A Review. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 2010; 326-339.

319 Hussain S, Maqsood MA, Rengel Z, Aziz T and Abid M. Estimated zinc bioavailability in milling fractions
 320 of biofortified wheat grains and in flours of different extraction rates. International Journal of Agriculture
 321 and Biology, 2013;15(5): 921–926.

322 (ICMR (2010) <http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf>)

323 Johnson S E, Lauren JG, Welch RM and Duxbury JM. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed
 324 priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), lentil (*Lens culinaris*),
 325 rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Nepal. Experimental Agriculture, 2005;41(4): 427-
 326 448.

327 Khalid S, Asghar HN, Akhtar MJ, Aslam A and Zahir ZA. Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant
 328 growth promoting rhizobacteria. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 2015;47(3): 1191-1194.

329 King JC, Brown KH, Gibson RS, Krebs NF, Lowe NM, Siekmann JH et al. Biomarkers of Nutrition for
 330 Development (BOND)—zinc review. The Journal of nutrition, 2015;146(4): 858S-885S.

331 Klauni EJ and Kamendulis ML. The role of oxidative stress in carcinogenesis. Annual Review of
 332 Pharmacology and Toxicology, 2004;44: 239-267.

333 Koide RT, and Z Kabir. Extra radical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* can hydrolyse
 334 organic phosphate. New Phytologist, 2000;148: 511–517.

335 Lawson PG, Daum D, Czauderna R, Meuser H and Harling JW. Soil versus foliar iodine fertilization as a
 336 biofortification strategy for field-grown vegetables. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2015;6: 450.

337 Manzeke GM, Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F, Chikowo R, Tendayi T, Cakmak I. Soil fertility
 338 management effects on maize productivity and grain zinc content in smallholder farming systems of
 339 Zimbabwe. Plant and Soil, 2012;361(1-2): 57–69.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

340 Markesbery WR and Lovell MA. DNA oxidation in Alzheimer's disease. Antioxidants and redox
341 signaling, 2006;8(11-12): 2039-2045.

342 Márquez-Quiroz C, De-la-Cruz-Lázaro E, OsorioOsorio R, Sánchez-Chávez E. Biofortification of cowpea
343 beans with iron: iron's influence on mineral content and yield. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition,
344 2015;15(4): 839-847.

345 Martre P, Porter JR, Jamieson PD and Triboï E. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein
346 composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. Plant
347 physiology, 2003;133(4): 1959-1967.

348 Meenakshi JV, Johnson NL, Manyong VM, DeGroote H, Javelosa J, Yanggen DR et al.. How cost-
349 effective is biofortification in combating micronutrient malnutrition? An ex ante assessment. World
350 Development, 2010;38(1):64-75.

351 Molina MG, Quiroz CM, de la Cruz Lazaro E, Martinez JRV, Parra JMS, Carrillo MG et al.. Biofortification
352 of cowpea beans (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) with iron and zinc. Mexican Journal of Agricultural
353 Sciences, 2016;17: 3427-3438.

354 Molvig L, Tabe LM, Eggum BO, Moore AE, Craig S, Spencer D et al. Enhanced methionine levels and
355 increased nutritive value of seeds of transgenic lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) expressing a sunflower
356 seed albumin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1997;94(16): 8393-8398.

357 Murgia I, Arosio P, Tarantino D, & Soave C. Biofortification for combating 'hidden hunger' for iron. Trends
358 in plant science, 2012;17(1): 47-55.

359 Nair RM, Thavarajah P, Giri RR, Ledesma D, Yang RY, Hanson P et al. Mineral and phenolic
360 concentrations of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var. *radiata*] grown in semi-arid tropical
361 India. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 2015;39: 23-32.

362 Nandan B, Sharma BC, Chand G, Bazgalia K, Kumar R and Banotra M. Agronomic Fortification of Zn and
363 Fe in Chickpea an Emerging Tool for Nutritional Security – A Global Perspective. Acta Scientific
364 Nutritional Health, 2018;2(4): 12-19.

365 National Family Health Survey Report-4, M/o Health & Family Welfare (2015-16).

366 Norton LR and Hoffmann RP. Selenium and asthma. Molecular Aspects of Medicine, 2012;33(1): 98–
367 106.

368 Ofuya ZM, & Akhidue V. The role of pulses in human nutrition: a review. Journal of Applied Sciences and
369 Environmental Management, 2005;9(3): 99-104.

370 Petry N, Boy E, Wirth JP and Hurrell RF. Review: The potential of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)
371 as a vehicle for iron biofortification. Nutrients, 2015;7: 1144–1173.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

372 Phattarakul N, Rerkasem B, Li LJ, Wu LH, Zou CQ, Ram H et al. Biofortification of rice grain with zinc
 373 through zinc fertilization in different countries. *Plant and Soil*, 2012;361(1-2): 131–141.

374 Phi QT, Park YM, Seul KJ, Ryu CM, Park SH, Kim JGet al. Assessment of root-associated *Paenibacillus*
 375 *polymyxa* groups on growth promotion and induced systemic resistance in pepper. *Journal of*
 376 *Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 2010;20(12): 1605-1613.

377 Pilbeam DJ, Greathead HMR and Drihem K. Selenium. In: AV Barker, DJ Pilbeam, eds. *A handbook of*
 378 *plant nutrition*, 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2015;165–198.

379 Pixley KV, Palacios-Rojas N and Glahn RP. The usefulness of iron bioavailability as a target trait for
 380 breeding maize (*Zea mays* L.) with enhanced nutritional value. *Field Crops Research*, 2011;123(2): 153-
 381 160.

382 Podder R, Tar'an B, Tyler R, Henry C, DellaValle D and Vandenberg A. Iron fortification of lentil (*Lens*
 383 *culinaris* Medik.) to address iron deficiency. *Nutrients*, 2017;9(8), p.863.

384 Prieto MB and Cid JLH. Malnutrition in the critically ill child: the importance of enteral
 385 nutrition. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 2011;8(11): 4353-4366.

386 Rahman MM, Erskine W, Materne MA, McMurray LM, Thavarajah P, Thavarajah D et al. Enhancing
 387 selenium concentration in lentil (*Lens culinaris* subsp. *culinaris*) through foliar application. *The Journal of*
 388 *Agricultural Science*, 2015;153(4): 656-665.

389 Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, Shivay YS, and Nain L. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of
 390 plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 2012;50:
 391 118-126.

392 Ray H, Bett K, Tar'an B, Vandenberg A, Thavarajah D and Warkentin T. Mineral micronutrient content of
 393 cultivars of field pea, chickpea, common bean, and lentil grown in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Crop*
 394 *Science*, 2014;54(4): 1698-1708.

395 Rengel Z, Batten GD and Crowley DD. Agronomic approaches for improving the micronutrient density in
 396 edible portions of field crops. *Field crops research*, 1999;60(1-2): 27-40.

397 Richardson AE. Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by
 398 plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 2001;28(9): 897-906.

399 Rietra RPJJ, Heinen M, Dimpka C, Bindraban PS. Effects of nutrient antagonism and synergism on
 400 fertilizer use efficiency. VFRC Report 2015/5. Virtual Fertilizer Research Centre, Washington, DC. 2015;p
 401 47.

402 Salih HO. Effect of Foliar Fertilization of Fe, B and Zn on nutrient concentration and seed protein of
 403 Cowpea "*Vigna unguiculata*". *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 2013;6(3): 42-46.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

404 Schmidt MA, Parrott WA, Hildebrand DF, Berg RH, Cooksey A, Pendarvis K et al. Transgenic soya bean
 405 seeds accumulating β -carotene exhibit the collateral enhancements of oleate and protein content
 406 traits. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 2015;13(4): 590-600.

407 Schneeman BO. Linking agricultural production and human nutrition. *Journal of the Science of Food and*
 408 *Agriculture*, 2001;81(1): 3-9.

409 Shankar AH and Prasad AS. Zinc and immune function: the biological basis of altered resistance to
 410 infection. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 1998;68(2): 447S-463S.

411 Shirley R, Ord E, and Work L. Oxidative stress and the use of antioxidants in
 412 stroke. *Antioxidants*, 2014;3(3): 472-501.

413 Shivay YS, Prasad R and Pal M. Effects of source and method of zinc application on yield, zinc
 414 biofortification of grain, and Zn uptake and use efficiency in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*
 415 L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 2015;46(17): 2191-2200.

416 Sida-Arreola JP, Sánchez E, Ojeda-Barrios DL, Ávila-uezada GD, Flores-Córdova MA, Márquez-Quiroz C
 417 et al. Can biofortification of zinc improve the antioxidant capacity and nutritional quality of
 418 beans? *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 2017;29(3): p.237.

419 Singh MK and Prasad SK. Agronomic aspects of zinc biofortification in rice (*Oryza sativa*
 420 L.). *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, India section B: biological Sciences*, 2014;84(3):
 421 613-623.

422 Smith SE, Read DJ. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. 3rd ed. London, UK: Elsevier 2007.

423 Smrkolj P, Germ M, Kreft I, & Stibilj V. Respiratory potential and Se compounds in pea (*Pisum sativum*
 424 L.) plants grown from Se-enriched seeds. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 2006;57(14): 3595-3600.

425 Smrkolj P, Osvald M, Osvald J, & Stibilj V. Selenium uptake and species distribution in selenium-
 426 enriched bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seeds obtained by two different cultivations. *European Food*
 427 *Research and Technology*, 2007;225(2): 233-237.

428 Song S, Hou W, Godo I, Wu C, Yu Y, Matityahu I et al.. Soybean seeds expressing feedback-insensitive
 429 cystathionine γ -synthase exhibit a higher content of methionine. *Journal of experimental*
 430 *botany*, 2013;64(7): 1917-1926.

431 Sperotto RA, Ricachenevsky FK, de Abreu Waldow V and Fett JP. Iron biofortification in rice: it's a long
 432 way to the top. *Plant Science*, 2012;190: 24-39.

433 Srivastava MP, Tewari R, and Sharma N. Effect of different cultural variables on siderophores produced
 434 by *Trichoderma* spp. *International Journal of Advance Research*, 2013;1: 1-6.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

435 Stein AJ, Meenakshi JV, Qaim M, Nestel P, Sachdev HPS, Bhutta ZA. Technical Monograph
 436 4. Analysing the Health Benefits of Biofortified Staple Crops by Means of the Disability-Adjusted Life
 437 Years Approach: A Handbook Focusing on Iron, Zinc and Vitamin A. Washington, WA: HarvestPlus.
 438 2005.

439 Steinbrenner H, Al-Quraishy S, Dkhil MA, Wunderlich F and Sies H. Dietary selenium in adjuvant
 440 therapy of viral and bacterial infections. *Advances in nutrition*, 2015;6(1): 73-82.

441 Subramanian KS, Tenshia V, Jayalakshmi K, & Ramach V. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
 442 (*Glomus intraradices*) (fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and*
 443 *Sustainable Development*, 2009;1(1): 029-038.

444 Thavarajah D, Ruszkowski J, Vandenberg A. High potential for selenium biofortification of lentils (*Lens*
 445 *culinaris* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2008;57: 10747–10753.

446 Thavarajah D, Warkentin T, Vandenberg A.. Natural enrichment of selenium in Saskatchewan field peas
 447 (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 2010;90: 383–389.

448 Thavarajah P. Evaluation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) micronutrient composition: Biofortification
 449 opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. *Food research international*, 2012;49(1): 99-
 450 104.

451 Thavarajah P, Sarker A, Materne M, Vandemark G, Shrestha R, Idrissi O et al. A global survey of effects
 452 of genotype and environment on selenium concentration in lentils (*Lens culinaris* L.): implications for
 453 nutritional fortification strategies. *Food Chemistry*, 2011;125(1):72-76.

454 Underwood EJ and Suttle NF. 3rd ed. Wallingford: CABI International Publishin. The mineral nutrition of
 455 livestock, 1999;p. 614.

456 Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huising J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G et al. Integrated soil fertility
 457 management in sub-Saharan Africa: unravelling local adaptation. *Soil*, 2015;1(1): 491–508.

458 Ventura M, Melo M and Carrilho F. Selenium and Thyroid Disease: From Pathophysiology to Treatment.
 459 *International Journal of Endocrinology*, 2017;9

460 Viteri FE. Anemia and physical work capacity. *Clinics in Haematology*, 1974;3:609-626.

461 Voortman R and Bindraban PS. Beyond N and P: Toward a land resource ecology perspective and
 462 impactful fertilizer interventions in sub-Saharan Africa. VFRC Report 2015/1. Virtual Fertilizer Research
 463 Center, Washington, DC. 2015.

464 Wani P, Khan M and Zaidi A. Co-inoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to
 465 promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake in chickpea. *Acta Agronomica Hungarica*, 2007;55(3): 315-323.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

466 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant
467 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.

468 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant
469 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.

470 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human
471 diets—iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist, 2009;182(1): 49-84.

472 Whiting SN, de Souza MP, and Terry N. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by
473 *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Environmental Science and Technology, 2001;35: 3144–3150.

474 Yang F, Chen L, Hu Q and Pan G. Effect of the application of selenium on selenium content of soybean
475 and its products. Biological Trace Element Research, 2003;93(1-3): 249-256.

476 Zhang L, Yang XD, Zhang YY, Yang J, Qi GX Guo DQ, Xing GJ et al. Changes in oleic acid content of
477 transgenic soybeans by antisense RNA mediated posttranscriptional gene silencing. International journal
478 of genomics, 2014;8.

479 Zhang Y, Shi R, Rezaul KM, Zhang F and Zou C. Iron and zinc concentrations in grain and flour of winter
480 wheat as affected by foliar application. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 2010;58(23): 12268-
481 12274.