



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_48409
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Phytochemical Characteristics of CaesalpinioideaeSeeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The work is original. 2. The manuscript is well written. 3. Rb and Pb are not known nutrients, and thus should be excluded in the mineral content. 4. Boron (B), Iodine (I), Silicon (Si) and Vanadium (V) are known nutrients, and should be subjected to the investigation. 5. It would be nice if the protein and vitamins were included in the research. 6. Protein should be omitted in conclusion section (line 239) 	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some grammar and formatting problems that need to be revised and corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hoang Le Son
Department, University & Country	International University - Vietnam National University HCM City, Vietnam