Original Research Article

Determination of Minerals, Total Phenolic Content, Flavonoids, Antioxidants and Antimicrobial Activities of Ethanolic Extracts of sweet *Lupinus Angustifolius* of Palestine ~~Ethanolic Extract in Palestine~~

.

ABSTRACT

Aims: To establish ~~fin~~the most suitable ~~d out the best~~ extraction method for ~~of~~ sweet lupine seeds and to determine minerals, phenolic content, flavonoids, antioxidant activity and antimicrobial activities.

Study design: Known and standard experimental procedures are employed.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Chemistry, Bethlehem University, from January 2019 to March 2019.

Methodology: Seeds were ground ~~grinded~~ and extracted by Soxhlet extractor using ethanol with different percentages (50%, 60%, 70%, 80% and 95%). Sodium, potassium and ferrous ion ~~ion~~ content were determined. ~~As for pharmacological properties,~~ R~~r~~esistance to bacteria was performed against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, while a~~A~~ntioxidant activity was determined by FRAP method. Two types of flavonoids were measured~~: in this work:~~ f~~F~~lavonones and dihydroflavonols via the reaction with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine. Phenolics were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method.

Results: 50% ethanol resulted in ~~produced~~ the highest content of extract (18.6%) while 70% and 60% showed the lowest content (10.0% for both). 80% ethanol extracted sample showed the highest content for sodium (56.51 mg Na/g extract), while 60% and 50% ethanol extracts showed the highest content ~~percentage~~ of potassium (2.25 and 2.33 mg K/g extract, respectively). The maximum concentration of ferrous ion was obtained with 70% ethanol (6.854 mg Fe^{+2}/g extract). 95% ethanolic extract showed the highest antioxidant activity (20.24 mg $FeSO_4$/g extract). Similar ~~The same~~ results were~~as~~ obtained for total phenolic content and flavonoids: 24.60 mg g~~G~~allic acid/g extract for p~~P~~henolics and 116.02 mg r~~R~~utin/g extract for flavonoids. Extracts showed no bacterial activity against both types of bacteria used.

Conclusion: 95% ethanol extracted samples showed the highest~~best~~ antioxidant activity and the highest flavonoids and phenolic content. Sweet lupine extract did not perform any antimicrobial activity against both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria.

Keywords: Sweet lupine, Soxhlet extractor, Minerals, Total phenolics, Flavonoids, Antimicrobial Activity, Antioxidant Activity.

Comment [PM1]: In the text you talk about ethanol extracts

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM2]: Which country?

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM3]: What content?

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM4]: Be consistent. Ethanolic versus ethanol

Formatted: Strikethrough

1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet *Lupinus a~~A~~ngustifolius*, also called “narrow-leafed lupine” is a member of the legume family (subfamily Papilioniodeae) containing both herbaceous annual and shrubby perennial types with attractive long racemes of flowers [1]. There are twelve lupine species within the *Lupinus* genus, all of which are native to Europe and the Mediterranean regions. Sweet lupine is widely cultivated in Australia, the color of its flower varies from blue, to pink and white in demonstrated forms [2,3]. *Lupinus angustifolius* is one of the four lupines that are widely known and fully domesticated for agriculture purposes (*Lupinus albus, Lupinus angustifolius, Lupinus luteu* ~~*L.*~~ and *Lupinus mutablis*).

For several years, lupine flour has been used in pasta, milk, soya substituents and diet products. Lupine seeds are also eaten as snacks in most regions of~~in~~ the world [4]. Lupine seeds can contain toxicologically relevant bitter quinolizidine ~~alkaloids which~~alkaloids, which cause symptoms of poisoning of~~in~~ humans affecting the nervous, circulatory and digestive systems [References needed]. Typical symptoms of lupine alkaloid poisoning are dizziness, confusion, tachycardia, nausea and~~,~~ dry mouth, loss of motor~~is~~ coordination and in high doses, cardiac arrest and respiratory paralysis [5]. The levels of quinolizidine alkaloids in lupine seeds vary depending on the botanical and geographical origin of the lupine variety from which they derive. In contrast to bitter lupine, sweet lupine has low level of toxic ~~poisoning~~ alkaloid and suitable for human consumption even without debittering [6].

Lupine seeds, like other legumes are ~~good~~ sources of vitamin, protein and fiber~~s~~. Studies reported the pharmacological benefits of lupine alkaloids, with activity on circulatory system, metabolism against obesity and improving bowel health [7].

Due to the low concentration of biologically active materials in plants, it is necessary to use effective methods for extraction of these substances, specially using solvents that are environmentally friendly. Consequently, ethanol was the solvent of choice with different percentages to extract polyphenols and ~~flavonoids which~~flavonoids, which are responsible for the pharmacological properties such as antioxidants and antimicrobials. Therefore, a ~~complete~~ comprehensive determination of lupine properties is essential, not only because of its potential toxicity to humans but also for its pharmacological properties.

2. MATERIAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1. Raw Materials and Equipment

Sweet lupine seeds were obtained from the local market, while ~~all other fine reagents~~/chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich ~~Company.~~ Deionized water was used in all preparations, and commercial alcohol was used for extraction. An Analytik Jena Specord 40 UV-VIS spectrophotometer was used for the determination of the antioxidant activity~~capacity~~, phenolic content and flavonoids. A m~~M~~odel FP 640 flame photometer was used for the measurements of sodium and potassium content. Bacteria strains were provided from Holy Family Hospital in Bethlehem, Palestine.

2.2. Extraction of Seeds

Lupine seeds were ground~~grinded~~ and extracted by Soxhlet extractor using different percentages of ethanol (50%, 60%, 70%, 80% and 95%) for three hours. The s~~S~~olvent was ~~then~~ evaporated under vacuum and the residue was stored away from direct light.

2.3. ~~Preparation of Samples (~~ Stock S~~s~~olution~~)~~

Residue was dissolved in 50% ethanol (200 mg/100 mL) and this served as a stock solution for the determination of sodium, potassium, ferrous ion ~~iron~~, antioxidant activity, total phenolic content and flavonoids.

2.4. Determination of S~~s~~odium and P~~p~~otassium

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [PM5]: What are diet products?

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM6]: In the abstract you talk about «phenolics», but here you talk about «polyphenols»

Comment [PM7]: Which Sigma-Aldrich? Europe, USA, South Africa, etc.

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM8]: At what temperature?

Sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometry against reference standards for both elements. From the calibration ~~curves~~curves, the concentration of the extracted samples was determined.

2.5. Determination of Ferrous ~~l~~ion (Fe^{+2})

Fe^{+2} in sample extract was determined by a titrimetric method: redox titration of Fe^{+2} with potassium dichromate using sodium diphenylamine sulfonate, a pH independent redox indicator. Endpoint was detected as the color turned to violet.

2.6. Determination of Antimicrobial Activity

Antibacterial activity was studied on sweet lupine against *S. aureus* (Gram positive) and *E. coli* (Gram negative) bacteria. "An "Agar Well" method was used to test the resistance of extract to bacteria [8]. In this method, three wells were created in the Agar plates of the Muller-Hinton broth [9]: the first of which was for negative control (H_2O), the second was for positive control (Amoxicillin), and the third one was ~~is~~ for sample (the extract). High concentrations of extracts (1.2 g/100 mL) were used for the determination of antibacterial activity. Petri dishes were incubated at 37ºC for 24-48 hours.

2.7. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity ~~or capacity~~ was determined by the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) [10] method that relies on reduction by antioxidants of the complex ferric ion-TPTZ (2,4,6-tri (2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine). The binding of Fe^{+2} to the ligand makes a complex that gives the blue color intensity. The absorbance was measured to test the concentration~~amount~~ of iron ~~reduced which~~reduced, which is correlated with the concentration ~~amount~~ of antioxidant.

Formatted: Strikethrough

2.7.1. Analysis

For sample extract: 800 µL of sample (Stock solution) was mixed with 1000 µL FRAP, and for standard: 80 µL of standard $FeSO_4$ (0.1–2.0 mM) was mixed with 1000 µL H_2O and 1000 µL FRAP. Solutions were incubated at 37 ºC for 15 minutes and the absorbance of the colored product was measured at λ=593 nm against 50% ethanol as blank.

2.8. Total Phenolics Content

The total concentration~~amount~~ of phenolic compounds was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu method [11,12].

2.8.1. Analysis

For sample extract,~~:~~ 1.20 mL of 7.5% Na_2CO_3 was mixed with 100 µL sample and 1.8 mL diluted Folin- Ciocalteu reagent (1:1). Standard preparation was done as ~~the~~ follow~~s~~ing:, 1.20 mL Na_2CO_3 was mixed with 40 µL standard Gallic acid (90-900 ppm) and diluted Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (1:1). The mixtures were incubated for one hour at 30 ºC where the sample turned to ~~color was turned to~~ greenish-blue, and absorbance was measured at λ=765 nm.

2.9. Flavonoids

The colorimetric identification and quantification of the two types of flavonoids (flavonones and dihydroflavonols) was based on their reaction with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNP) in the presence of KOH in methanol [13,14].

2.9.1. Analysis

For sample extract and standard (r~~-R~~utin, ~~(~~5 – 100 ppm), ~~:~~ 200 µL of s~~sample (S~~tock solution~~)~~ was mixed with 400 µL 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine and placed in a water bath at 50 ºC for 60 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, 800 µL of a 10% KOH/methanol

solution was added to the mixture, where after ~~then~~ 350 µL of the total mixture was diluted to 5.0 mL with 100% methanol. Absorbance was measured at λ=486 nm using ~~appropriate~~ a UV-VIS spectrophotometer.

Formatted: Strikethrough

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1~~. Extraction~~. Extraction

Lupine seeds were extracted with different percentages of ethanol. R~~ and r~~esults are summarized in table 1. As shown, the highest percentage of extract was obtained when 50% ~~of~~ ethanol was used (18.6%). On the other hand, the lowest percentage was obtained ~~was~~ when 60% and 70% of ethanol were used (10.0% for both).

Table 1. Percentages of residue obtained from sweet lupine seeds

Solvent	Result
95% EtOH	12.2%
80% EtOH	10.9%
70% EtOH	10.0%
60% EtOH	10.0%
50% EtOH	18.6%

3.2. Determination of S~~s~~odium and P~~p~~otassium

Results of sodium and potassium are illustrated in table 2. ~~As table 2 shows,~~ T~~t~~he highest concentration~~amount~~ of sodium was obtained when 80% of ethanol was used while the lowest concentration~~amount~~ was obtained with 50% ethanol. This can be attributed to the fact that sodium is present in sweet lupine as an organic salts that tends to dissolve in ethanol more than in water. In a previous study on ~~bitter~~ *Lupinus albus* seeds [15], the highest concentration~~amount~~ of sodium w~~a~~se obtained with~~as~~ with 50% ethanol, suggesting that sodium is present as ~~in~~ inorganic complexes in the ~~bitter~~ seeds. The highest concentration~~amount~~ of potassium in sweet lupine was obtained ~~was~~ when 50% and 60% ethanol were used. This result is in agreement with results reported by Hanania et al. (2018) where ~~of~~ bitter lupine seeds w~~h~~ere extracted with 60% ~~ethanol~~ ethanol, which resulted in ~~produced the~~ highest potassium concentrations~~amount~~ [15].

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Table 2. Sodium, potassium and ferrous content of extracts (mg/g)

Ethanol %	Sodium	Potassium	Ferrous
95%	10.29	0.15	3.726
80%	56.51	1.00	4.340
70%	17.59	0.6	6.854
60%	10.51	2.25	2.424
50%	9.20	2.33	1.839

3.3. Determination of Ferrous Ions

Table 2 also shows that as the percentage of ethanol decreases, the ferrous content increases until ~~it reaches~~ the 70% ethanol extraction, where the maximum content of ferrous was extracted~~is observed.~~. However, below 70% alcohol, the ferrous content decreases.

3.4. Antimicrobial A~~a~~ctivity

Sweet lupine extract showed no inhibition against neither *E. coli* nor *S. aureus*. The~~Our~~ negative results reported here are in agreement with previous studies in terms of *E. coli* [16]. The extract of *Lupinus a~~A~~ngustifolius* was weakly active on *E. coli*.

3.5. Determination of Antioxidant Activity and Total Phenolics Content

As illustrated in table 3, the highest activity of antioxidants was obtained when ~~using~~ 95% ethanol was used. Similar ~~The same~~ results were~~as~~ obtained for phenolics which ~~that~~ is an ~~important play a significant~~ antioxidant ~~role~~ as phytochemical in sweet lupine seeds. This result was expected since antioxidants such as phenolics are organic compounds that tend to dissolve in ethanol rather ~~more~~ than water [17]. Ethanol was used in accordance with the literature data, to ensure optimum extraction of phenols, because ~~since~~ the extraction efficiency of plant material using ethanol-water ~~extraction from plant material~~ is greater and environmentally friendly ~~when using ethanol-water system~~ than methanol-water extraction [18, 19]. Compared to bitter lupine, it was found that bitter lupine? seeds have a higher antioxidant activity since it contains a higher content of phenols [15]. Since ethanol ~~ethanolic~~ extract contains other compounds in addition to phenols. The~~,~~ Folin-Ciocalteu method was used because of ~~due to~~ its low sensitivity.

Table 3. Antioxidant a~~A~~ctivity and total p~~P~~henolics for sweet lupine extracts

Ethanol %	mg $FeSO_4$/g extract	mg Gallic acid/g extract
95%	20.24	24.60
80%	19.22	20.98
70%	12.03	18.35
60%	9.15	11.92
50%	7.23	12.28

3.6. Determination of Flavonoids Content

Flavonones and dihydroflavones are the two types of flavonoids that were determined in sweet lupine. As illustrated in table 4, 95% ethanolic? extract resulted in the ~~showed~~ highest concentrations of ~~significant amount of~~ flavonoids, i.e. ~~(~~115.02 mg r~~R~~utin/g extract~~)~~. It is worth mentioning that the concentration of these bioactive chemicals depends on many factors including climate, precipitation and soil conditions [20].

Table 4. Rutin (flavonoids) concentrations ~~Results~~ obtained from~~or~~ different percentage ethanol extraction ~~flavonoids content~~

Ethanol %	mg R~~R~~utin/g extract
95%	115.02
80%	11.77
70%	35.19
60%	22.56
50%	39.83

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the ~~above mentioned~~ results, antioxidants present ~~existing~~ in sweet lupine are organic compounds and are more likely to dissolve in ethanol than in water. Moreover, polyphenols (phenolcs?) and flavonoids have many biological properties in plant especially as antioxidants, while antibacterial agents are absent from sweet lupine seeds. Although

Comment [PM9]: Sweet/bitter lupine

Comment [PM10]: Sweet/bitter

Formatted: Strikethrough

Comment [PM11]: This paragraph needs to be clarified.

Comment [PM12]: Could you perhaps add more information to the conclusion

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

50% ethanol was the highest percentage of extracted content (residue?), yet it may have inorganic compounds or compounds with no biological effect to bacteria or oxidation reactions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

CONSENT

Not applicable

REFERENCES

1. Drummond CS. Multiple continental radiations and correlates of diversification in *Lupinus* (Leguminosea). Testing for key innovation with incomplete taxon sampling. Systematic Biology. 2012; 61(3):443-60.
2. Planchuelo AM**.** Biodiversity of lupins in South America. Proceedings of the 8th International Lupin Conference, Asilomar, California. 1999:320.
3. Sujak A, Koltarz A, Strobel W. Compositional and nutritional evaluation of several lupin seeds. Food Chemistry. 2006; 98(4):711-719.
4. Lema M, Santalla M, rodiñne AP, De Ron AM. Field performance of natural narrow-leafed lupin from northwestern Sspain. Euphytica. 2005; 144([3]):341-351. Spanish.
5. Kurzbaum A, Safori G, Monir M, Simsolo C. Anticholinergic syndrome in response to lupin seed toxicity. Israeli Jjournal of Eemergency Mmedicine. 2008; 8(2):20-22.
6. Yáñez E, Gattás V, Ballester D. Valor nutritivo de lupino y su potencial como alimento humano. Arch. latinoam. nutr. 1979; 29: 510-520. Spanish.
7. Pilvi TK. Lupin protein attenuates the development of hypertension and normalises the vascular function of NaCl-loaded Goto-Kakizaki rats. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2006; 57(2):167-76.
8. Ericsson HM, Sherris JC. Antibiotic sensitivity testing. Report of an international collaborative study. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand B Microbiol Immunol. 1971; 217 (Ssuppl. B):1-90.
9. Mueller JH, Hinton J. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. and Med. 1941; 48: 330-333.
10. NurAlam M, Bristi NJ, Rafiquzzaman M. Review on *in vivo* and *in vitro* methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal. 2013; 21: 143-152.
11. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Methods in Enzymology. 1999; 299: 152-178.
12. Marcussi F, Campos MC, De Grandis RA, Sylos CM. Analytical methods applied for the determination of phenolic compounds in lettuce and their antioxidant activity. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015; 3(8):116-121.
13. Heim KE, Tagliaferro AR, Bobilya DJ. Flavonoid antioxidants: chemistry, metabolism and structure-activity relationship. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2002; 13: 572-84.
14. Chang CC, Yang MH, Wen HM, Chern JC. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis. 2002; 10, 178-182.
15. Hanania M, Radwan S, Karmi E. Extraction mMethod and eEvaluation of pPhenolics, fFlavonoids, aAntioxidant aActivity, aAntimicrobial aActivity and mMinerals of bBitter *Lupinus albus* in Palestine. Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature. 2018; 8(2), 137-143.
16. Erdemoglu N, Ozkan S, Tosun F. Alkaloid profile and antimicrobial activity of Lupinus. Phytochemistry Reviews. 2007; 6(1):197-201.
17. Borkowski B. Fenolokwasy i ich estry. Cz. I. Herba Polonica, 1993; 39, 71-83. Polish.

Comment [PM13]: Inconsistent writing. Please write consistently.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [PM14]: Write out.

Comment [PM15]: Write out

Comment [PM16]: Write out

Comment [PM17]: Write out

Comment [PM18]: Write out

18. Głowniak K, Zagorska G, Kozyra M. Solid-phase extraction and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography of free phenolic acids in some *Echinacea* species. J. Chromatogr. A. 1996; 730, 25–29.
19. Amarowicz R, Piskula M, Honke J, Rudnicka B, Troszynska A, Kozłowska H. Extraction of phenolic compounds from lentil seeds [Lens culinaris] with various solvents. Polish J. Food Nutr. Sci. 1995; 4(45),53–62.
20. Natera JFZ, Hernández IZ, Hérnandez AV, Macías RR, Pérez ES, López PMG. Performance of blue lupin *(Lupinus angustifolius* L.) cultivars on acid soils of Jalisco, Mexico. Acad. J. Agric. Res. 2017; 5(10):300-305.

Comment [PM19]: Write out

Comment [PM20]: Write out

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [PM21]: Write out