



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48096
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate composition, Functional and Sensory Properties of Pearl Millet, Soy flour and Baobab Fruit Pulp Composite flour as a Complementary Food
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors are advised to revise their background and try to focus on the aim of the study as much as possible. Most of the information given is about breastfeeding but not much is given about the science and the idea behind combining the foods they are studying</p> <p>Results in tables 2,3 and 4 should be revised. It is not clear why results in each column have similar standard deviation. This raises a very grave concern that perhaps the authors analysed only one sample!! This will require a thorough explanation before the paper can be published</p> <p>Be sure of the referencing style you are using. The current form you have is mixed up and confusing</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The conclusion part of the abstract should be thoroughly revised. It does not communicate anything.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gaston Ampek Tumuhimbise</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Makerere University, Uganda</i>