

Original Research Article

Formulation and Quality evaluation of Ready-To-Use *Gari* from Cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp blends

ABSTRACT

Gari is a popular, easy to prepare, storable and low cost staple food made from cassava roots, but lacks the right balance of nutrients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of incorporating mango fruit mesocarp flour as a supplement on the functional, physicochemical and sensory properties of *gari*. Four blend ratios and codes of 100:0 (C₁₀₀M₀G), 90:10 (C₉₀M₁₀G), 80:20 (C₈₀M₂₀G) and 70:30 (C₇₀M₃₀G) were developed for cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp flour respectively. The proximate composition, vitamin and elemental composition, functional properties and sensory attributes of the samples were analyzed using standard methods. Results from this study revealed that increase in mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation in the *gari* increased the protein (1.01 to 1.42%), fat (negligible increase), ash (0.47 to 1.28%), carbohydrate (82.99 to 87.15%), Vitamin A (3.00 to 160.66 µg/100g), Vitamin C (10.23 to 33.34 mg/100g), calcium (0.43 to 1.04%), potassium (0.07 to 0.28%), sodium (0.05 to 0.22%) contents as well as sensory attributes whose values ranged from 5.7 to 7.9 on a 9 point hedonic scale; while decreasing the moisture (12.60 to 7.85%) and crude fibre (2.93 to 2.30%) contents in addition to the bulk density (0.66 to 0.51 g/ml), water absorption capacity (2.11 to 1.30 g/g) and swelling capacity (1.09 to 0.78 g/g). Therefore, adding mango fruit mesocarp flour as supplement has the ability to enhance the macro- and micro-nutrient content, functional properties and sensory characteristics of *gari*. Sensory evaluation revealed that C₇₀M₃₀G was the most preferred blend formulation.

Keywords: *Gari*; cassava mash; mango fruit mesocarp flour; micronutrients; sensory characteristics; proximate composition; functional properties

1. INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition remains a challenge in developing countries especially in Sub-Saharan Africa. Nevertheless, this has strengthened the resolve of relevant stakeholders to improve food processing, enrichment and fortification initiatives, which will ultimately boost the nutritive quality of staple foods. Staple foods are those foods eaten regularly, and in such quantities that they constitute the dominant part of the diet and supply a major proportion of energy and nutrient needs [1].

Gari is the most popular staple food derived from cassava and it is a creamy-white, granular flour with a slightly fermented flavour and a slightly sour taste made from fermented, gelatinized fresh cassava roots [2]. It demands a considering its position in the dietary regime of a developing country like Nigeria [3]. *Gari* is a convenient product because it is stored and marketed in a ready-to-eat form [4]. It is eaten as eba (hot water *gari* stiff dough) with traditional soups soaked in water or liquid milk, sweetened and consumed with other food items [5].

Nigeria is reported to be the highest producer (about 34 million tons) of cassava in the world [6]. Nutritionally, cassava contains 62% water, 35% carbohydrate, 1% protein, 0.3% fat and 1.0% minerals [6]. Some of these nutrients become depleted during processing due to long exposure to thermal heat. Apart from high temperature associated with *gari* production, it is to be noted that dewatering of the mash usually leads to the leaching of useful substances such as amino acids, sugars, peptides, vitamins such as vitamin C as well as unwanted cyanogenic glucosides further diminishing the nutritional value of nutrient-deficient staple [5].

Mango (*Mangifera indica*) is the king among tropical fruits and is greatly relished for its succulence, exotic flavour and delicious taste in most countries of the world [7]. The fruit contains amino acids, carbohydrates, fatty acids, minerals, organic acids, proteins, vitamins (A and C) and dietary fiber [8]. Benue State is the largest mango producer in

Comment [CB1]: *Gari* is a popular staple food made from cassava roots, it is creamy-white and granular in texture. It has a slight sour taste acquired during the fermentation process of its production

Comment [CB2]: What year?

34 Nigeria, while Nigeria ranks 8th [9] in the world with total production of 730,000 metric tons [10]. The shelf life of mango
35 fruits poses a lot of concern to the rural and urban dwellers, since there is no efficient storage facility that exists. In other
36 words, due to higher moisture content (85%); mango has very poor keeping quality and cannot withstand any adverse
37 climatic conditions during storage[11]. As a result, large amount of mango produced in Nigeria, especially Benue State in
38 North Central Nigeria suffer from huge post harvest losses.
39 Previous studies have reported *gari* supplementation using locally available plant materials [2, 5, 12-16]. Aside those
40 reported so far, there are several other potential possibilities for the formulation of *gari* using supplements from other plant
41 materials to produce different qualities. To the best of our knowledge, no research has been carried to produce *gari*
42 supplemented with mango fruit mesocarp flour. It is believed that processing mango fruit mesocarp into flour and adding it
43 as a supplement to *gari* produced from cassava roots will improve the nutritional quality, greatly reduce postharvest losses
44 in cassava roots and mango fruits, combat hunger, enhance the health and socioeconomic status of consumers and
45 farmers alike, and introduce a new variety of *gari* product to the consumers with better organoleptic quality attributes. The
46 study was therefore, designed to evaluate the effect of using mango fruit mesocarp flour as a supplement on the
47 functional, physicochemical and sensory properties of *gari*.

Comment [CB3]: This can't be here include it at the end of the sentence

49 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

51 2.1 Sources of Materials

52 Freshly harvested and matured Cassava roots (*Manihotesculenta*) were procured from the Research farm of the
53 Department of Crop Science, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, while freshly harvested, matured and moderately ripe
54 mango fruit (*Brokin*) were purchased from Wurukum market in Makurdi Metropolis. Chemicals of analytical grade were
55 used for the present research. Equipment were supplied by laboratories under Centre for Food Technology and
56 Research, Benue State University and in Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture, Makurdi,
57 respectively.

58 2.2 Sample Preparations

59 2.2.1 Mango fruit mesocarp flour production

60 Mango fruit mesocarp flour was produced following a previous method described by Sengevet *al.*[17]. Briefly, 25 kg of
61 matured moderately-ripe mango fruits, *Mangifera indica* (*Brokin* local variety) were sorted, washed, peeled and the
62 mesocarp manually sliced (1.50 – 2.50 mm thick) using clean stainless steel kitchen knife. The slices of mango mesocarp
63 were spread on a tray covered with low density polyethylene to avoid non-enzymic browning as a result of direct contact
64 of the slides with the metal tray and oven-dried at 60°C for 24 h to a moisture content of 8.95%. It was then milled after
65 cooling, using disc attrition mill and sieved through a 212 µm sieve to obtain mango fruit mesocarp flour.

66 2.2.2 Cassava mash production

67 The cassava mash was produced using an earlier method of Arisaet *al.* [15] with slight modification. 18 kg of the cassava
68 roots were washed, peeled manually, rewashed to remove sand and pieces of unwanted materials and grated using
69 mechanical grater to obtain the cassava mash. The cassava mash was bagged and allowed to ferment for 48 h. Following
70 fermentation, the cassava mash was dewatered in a hydraulic press and the cake sifted (to remove fibrous materials from
71 the cassava cakes) using a raffia woven sieve (0.3 x 0.3 cm pore size).

72 73 2.2.3 Procedure for *gari* production from cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp flour

74 The blend formulations of the cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp flour were designed using Complete Randomized
75 Design (CRD) which was made up of three replications of four different treatments, in addition to the control, with each
76 sample weighing 4 kg as shown in table 1. *Gari* was produced using modified method of Amponsah[2]. A large frying pan
77 was set on fire and allowed to heat for about 5 min. The treatments were roasted separately for about 15 minutes by
78 constant stirring to prevent lumping, scotching and to ensure even heating of the granules. The products obtained were
79 designated as: C₁₀₀M₀G, C₉₀M₁₀G, C₈₀M₂₀G and C₇₀M₃₀G respectively. All the roasted *gari* samples were cooled and
80 packaged until used for analyses.

81
82
83
84

85 **Table 1. Blend formulations of different treatments for the production of enhanced gari**

Treatment	Sample code	Ration	Weight of Cassava mash		Weight of Mango fruit mesocarp flour	
			Kg	%	Kg	%
T ₁	C ₁₀₀ M ₀ G	1:0	4.0	100	0.0	00
T ₂	C ₉₀ M ₁₀ G	9:1	3.6	90	0.4	10
T ₃	C ₈₀ M ₂₀ G	4:1	3.2	80	0.8	20
T ₄	C ₇₀ M ₃₀ G	7:3	2.8	70	1.2	30

86 C₁₀₀M₀G= 100% Cassava mash: 0% Mango fruit mesocarp flour (Control); C₉₀M₁₀G= 90% Cassava mash: 10% Mango
 87 fruit mesocarp flour; C₈₀M₂₀G= 80% Cassava mash: 20% Mango fruit mesocarp flour and C₇₀M₃₀G= 70% Cassava mash:
 88 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour.

89 **2.3 Determination of proximate composition**

90 The moisture, crude protein, fats, fibre and ash contents of the formulated *gari* samples were determined according to the
 91 standard methods of AOAC[18]. The total carbohydrate was determined by difference: %Carbohydrate = 100% – (
 92 moisture + % protein + % fat + % crude fiber+ % ash).

93 **2.4 Determination of vitamin and elemental composition**

94 The vitamin and mineral content profile of vitamin A, vitamin C, Calcium, potassium and sodium inherent in the *gari*
 95 samples were performed according to methods previously described by AOAC[18].

96 **2.5 Determination of functional properties**

97 The Bulk density was determined by the method of AOAC[19]. Water absorption capacity was determined by the method
 98 of Abuet *al.*[20] and Swelling capacity by the method of Leachet *al.* [21].

99 **2.6 Sensory Evaluation**

100 The organoleptic characteristics of the *gari* samples were evaluated by a 20 member trained panelists drawn from Centre
 101 for Food Technology and Research, Benue State University, Makurdi, comprising both staff and students who were
 102 already familiar with the consumption of *gari*. Each of the *gari* samples were soaked in slightly cold portable drinking
 103 water. All samples were uniformly sweetened with equal amount of sugar and presented to the panelists in disposable
 104 cups with spoons for scooping. The panelists were provided with a questionnaire. The samples were evaluated for
 105 appearance, aroma, taste and general acceptability using a 9-point hedonic scale in which 9 = like extremely and 1 =
 106 dislike extremely as previously used by Meilgaard *et al.*[22]. The order of presentation of samples to the panel was
 107 randomized. Tap water was provided for each panelist to rinse their mouth between evaluations.

108 **2.7 Statistical analysis**

109 The data obtained were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple range test was used to separate
 110 means where significant differences existed and data analyses was achieved using the Statistical Package for Social
 111 Statistics (SPSS) software version 20.0. Results were expressed as the means of three separate determinations.

112 Results on the *gari* samples were expressed on a dry weight basis. All analyses were performed in triplicate
 113 determinations.

114 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

115 **3.1 Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on the proximate composition of gari**

116
 117 Results of proximate compositions (moisture, fat, crude fibre, protein, ash and carbohydrate) of the formulated *gari* blends
 118 are presented in Table 2. The moisture and crude fibre contents of the cassava-mango *gari* samples decreased, while the
 119 protein, ash and carbohydrate contents increased with increasing addition of mango fruit mesocarp flour. The crude fat
 120 was negligible in all samples. Moisture plays a very important role in the keeping quality of foods and high moisture can
 121 have an adverse effect on their storage stability[23]. The moisture contents of the formulated blends of *gari* were low. The
 122 low moisture content in foods could be as result of some of the water being tightly bound to food matrixes thereby making

123 it unavailable to food pathogens proliferative activities [24] and may promote shelf life stability of the formulated
 124 *gari* samples. The result obtained for moisture contents in the present study were in agreement with that of Olaoye *et*
 125 *al.* [23] and Oluwamukomi [13] who also reported values less than 13% for *gari* samples produced from bitter and sweet
 126 cassava varieties, and sesame enriched *gari* respectively. Moisture content of *gari* is dependent on extent of roasting,
 127 particle size distribution and fermentation time [5]. The reduction of the fibre content observed in this study might have
 128 been due to the dilution effect of the supplement on the fibre content of "*gari*" [25]. However, the crude fibre content of
 129 cassava-mango *gari* blends reported in this study were higher than those reported by Bamidele *et al.* [26], Karim *et al.* [27],
 130 and Agbara and Ohaka [5] who reported values of 1.53-2.19%, 1.93-1.98% and 1.21-1.92% for cassava-cocoyam *gari*,
 131 cassava-sweet potato *gari* and melon seed meal enriched *gari* (produced from cassava, sweet potato and Irish potato),
 132 respectively. Crude fibre enhancement is beneficial to *gari* consumers since dietary fibre is believed to reduce the
 133 incidence of colonic cancer, diabetes, heart and certain digestive diseases [5]. The protein, ash and carbohydrate contents
 134 of the fortified blends were higher than the unfortified *gari* sample (control). This could be attributed to the incorporation
 135 of the mango fruit mesocarp flour in the blends. The protein content of 1.01-1.42% obtained in this study was lower than
 136 those of Kuree *et al.* [28] who reported values of 2.56-3.58% for sweet potato *gari*. Cassava roots and mango fruits are
 137 generally poor sources of protein. Ash content of a food product is an indication of its total mineral element content [24].
 138 The increase in ash content of *gari* blends with increasing levels of substitution may be as a result of the relatively high
 139 ash content of the mango fruit mesocarp flour. Sengeve *et al.* [29] reported ash content of mango mesocarp flour to be
 140 2.7%. This is an indication that the blends are good repository of minerals. This implies that the formulated cassava-
 141 mango *gari* could be harnessed in mitigating the effects associated with inadequate micronutrient intakes affecting people
 142 especially in developing economies. Carbohydrate is a fuel provider to the body. The carbohydrate content of a food
 143 material indicates its glycemic index (i.e. its impact on blood glucose level upon digestion and absorption) [24]. The
 144 significant variation in carbohydrate content may be attributed to alterations in other constituents (protein, fat, ash fibre
 145 and moisture) [29].

146 **Table 2. Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on the proximate composition of *gari***

Constituents	Gari sample				LSD
	C ₁₀₀ M ₀ G	C ₉₀ M ₁₀ G	C ₈₀ M ₂₀ G	C ₇₀ M ₃₀ G	
Moisture (%)	12.60 ^a	9.40 ^b	8.55 ^b	7.85 ^b	-
Protein (%)	1.01 ^a	1.30 ^a	1.37 ^a	1.42 ^a	0.50
Fat (%)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.41
Crude fibre (%)	2.93 ^a	2.61 ^a	2.37 ^a	2.30 ^a	-
Ash (%)	0.47 ^c	0.80 ^b	1.21 ^a	1.28 ^a	-
Carbohydrate (%)	82.99 ^a	85.89 ^b	86.50 ^b	87.15 ^b	-

147 Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with the same superscript in a row are not significantly different.
 148 C₁₀₀M₀G= 100% Cassava mash: 0% Mango fruit mesocarp flour (Control); C₉₀M₁₀G= 90% Cassava mash: 10% Mango
 149 fruit mesocarp flour; C₈₀M₂₀G= 80% Cassava mash: 20% Mango fruit mesocarp flour and C₇₀M₃₀G= 70% Cassava mash:
 150 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour

151
 152 **3.2 Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on some vitamin and elemental composition**
 153 **of *Gari***

154 Results of the vitamin and elemental composition of the formulated cassava-mango *gari* blends are presented in Table 3.
 155 Vitamin A, Vitamin C, calcium, potassium and sodium, all showed an increase as a result of inclusion of 10%, 20% and
 156 30% of mango fruit mesocarp flour to the blend formulations. Samples supplemented with mango fruit mesocarp flour had
 157 higher vitamin A and vitamin C profile than the control. They also differed significantly among one another. Vitamin A
 158 promotes good vision, immune system integrity, growth, cellular differentiation and proliferation. Deficiency of vitamin A
 159 mostly occurs in third world countries and occurs mainly in children under the age of 5 years. This can lead to blindness
 160 and it responsible for most cases of blindness in children. This explains why vitamin A fortification of food is very
 161 important. Vitamin C is involved in protein metabolism, collagen synthesis and an important physiological antioxidant
 162 [30]. The mineral elements were highest in the cassava-mango *gari* sample containing 70% Cassava mash and 30%
 163 Mango fruit mesocarp flour. Mineral elements are required in humans in trace amounts to maintain good health; excess of

it might be toxic[24]. The amount of metal ions in the cassava-mango *gari* blends observed in Table 3 is commensurate with the ash content values presented in Table 2. Calcium is particularly higher than the other mineral elements in all the samples evaluated. This shows that the *gari* samples are a better source of Calcium than Potassium and Sodium. Calcium is helpful in the formation of strong bone and teeth, preventing osteoporosis and osteomalacia[31]. Potassium is useful in the prevention of hypertension [31]. Potassium influences the contraction of smooth, skeletal, and cardiac muscles and profoundly affects the excitability of nerve tissue[24]. Within the body, sodium play important roles in the maintenance of fluid balance, nerve transmission/impulse conduction and muscle contraction[24]. Inadequate intake of micronutrients (minerals) has been associated with severe malnutrition, increased disease conditions and mental impairment [32].

Table 3. Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on some vitamin and elemental composition of *gari*.

Nutrient	<i>Gari</i> sample			
	C ₁₀₀ M ₀ G	C ₉₀ M ₁₀ G	C ₈₀ M ₂₀ G	C ₇₀ M ₃₀ G
Vitamin A (µg/100g)	3.00 ^d	50.31 ^c	100.81 ^b	160.66 ^a
Vitamin C(mg/100g)	10.23 ^d	20.18 ^c	28.18 ^b	33.34 ^a
Ca (%)	0.43 ^d	0.61 ^c	0.89 ^b	1.04 ^a
K (%)	0.07 ^a	0.11 ^a	0.16 ^a	0.28 ^a
Na (%)	0.05 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.15 ^a	0.22 ^a

Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with the same superscript in a row are not significantly different.

C₁₀₀M₀G= 100% Cassava mash: 0% Mango fruit mesocarp flour (Control); C₉₀M₁₀G= 90% Cassava mash: 10% Mango fruit mesocarp flour; C₈₀M₂₀G= 80% Cassava mash: 20% Mango fruit mesocarp flour and C₇₀M₃₀G= 70% Cassava mash: 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour. Ca= Calcium, K=Potassium and Na=Sodium.

3.3 Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on some functional properties of *gari*

Results of the functional properties of *gari* from blends of cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp flour are shown in Table 4. The results revealed that the higher the percentage of mango fruit mesocarp flour in the formulated *gari*, the lower will be the bulk density, water absorption capacity and the swelling capacity. Similar trend was reported by Hounyèvouet *al.*[14] for *gari* processed from yam bean and cassava tubers. Table 4 showed that the addition of mango fruit mesocarp flour did not significantly affect the bulk density of the blend formulations, although the numerical value of the control sample (C₁₀₀M₀G) was higher (0.66 g/ml) than the rest of the samples (0.51-0.54 g/ml). The bulk density values reported in this study were comparable to those obtained by Agbara and Ohaka[5] who reported values of 0.54 – 0.67g/ml for *gari* produced from Cassava, Irish and Sweet potatoes supplemented with melon seed meal. Bulk density gives an indication of the relative volume of packaging material required[17]. Aside the control, WAC of samples supplemented with mango fruit mesocarp flour did not show any significant difference. WAC decreased from 2.11 g/g in 100% cassava *gari* (C₁₀₀M₀G) to 1.30 g/g in 70%: 30% cassava-mango *gari* (C₇₀M₃₀G). Water holding capacity measures the extent to which macromolecules can entrap large amount of water without the possible incidence of exudation[33]. It depends on several often interrelated factors such as the nature of the molecules, presence of lipids, hydrophilic and hydrophobic balance in the molecule, thermodynamic properties of the system (such as bond energy and interfacial tension) as well as the physicochemical environment such as pH, ion concentration, temperature and pressure[20]. The swelling capacity in the fortified *gari* samples were lower (0.78-0.83 g/g) than the control sample (1.09 g/g). The lowering effect of enrichment on swelling index of fortified products can be attributed to reduce starch component in the enriched samples leading to lower capacity of the samples to absorb water[33]. A good *gari* should swell thrice its dry volume and a bulk density of 0.55 – 0.82g/ml[5].

209
210
211
212
213
214
215

Table 4. Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on some functional properties of *gari*

Parameter	<i>Gari</i> sample				LSD
	C ₁₀₀ M ₀ G	C ₉₀ M ₁₀ G	C ₈₀ M ₂₀ G	C ₇₀ M ₃₀ G	
Bulk density (g/ml)	0.66 ^a	0.54 ^a	0.53 ^a	0.51 ^a	0.14
WAC (g/g)	2.11 ^a	1.63 ^b	1.56 ^b	1.30 ^b	-
Swelling capacity (g/g)	1.09 ^a	0.83 ^b	0.80 ^b	0.78 ^b	-

216 Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with the same superscript in a row are not significantly different.
217 C₁₀₀M₀G= 100% Cassava mash: 0% Mango fruit mesocarp flour (Control); C₉₀M₁₀G= 90% Cassava mash: 10% Mango
218 fruit mesocarp flour; C₈₀M₂₀G= 80% Cassava mash: 20% Mango fruit mesocarp flour and C₇₀M₃₀G= 70% Cassava mash:
219 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour. WAC=Water Absorption Capacity

3.4 Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on the organoleptic attributes of *gari*

220 The mean sensory scores for the soaked *gari* are presented in Table 5. The results indicated there was preference
221 for C₇₀M₃₀G on the basis of appearance (7.0), aroma (7.9), taste (7.5) and general acceptability (7.3). The sensory
222 evaluation of the *gari* samples showed that the higher the percentage of mango fruit mesocarp flour inclusion, the better
223 were the sensory scores. This implies that the incorporation of mango fruit mesocarp flour to the original unfortified *gari*
224 was able to improve the organoleptic attributes to a reasonable level. The result also revealed that the organoleptic
225 attributes of taste and general acceptability did not differ significantly in all the samples.

Table 5. Effect of mango fruit mesocarp flour supplementation on the organoleptic attributes of *gari*

Attribute	<i>Gari</i> sample				LSD
	C ₁₀₀ M ₀ G	C ₉₀ M ₁₀ G	C ₈₀ M ₂₀ G	C ₇₀ M ₃₀ G	
Appearance	6.5 ^b	5.7 ^c	7.0 ^a	7.0 ^a	-
Aroma	5.7 ^b	5.8 ^b	6.5 ^a	7.9 ^a	-
Taste	7.4 ^a	6.0 ^a	7.0 ^a	7.5 ^a	1.77
General acceptability	6.5 ^a	5.9 ^a	6.6 ^a	7.3 ^a	1.42

226 Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with the same superscript in a row are not significantly different.
227 C₁₀₀M₀G= 100% Cassava mash: 0% Mango fruit mesocarp flour (Control); C₉₀M₁₀G= 90% Cassava mash: 10% Mango
228 fruit mesocarp flour; C₈₀M₂₀G= 80% Cassava mash: 20% Mango fruit mesocarp flour and C₇₀M₃₀G= 70% Cassava mash:
229 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour.

4. CONCLUSION

230 This work has revealed that it is possible to produce *gari* with the inclusion of mango fruit mesocarp flour. Adding mango
231 fruit mesocarp flour as supplement to the blend mixture to produce *gari* has the ability to enhance the macro- and micro-
232 nutrient content, the functional properties and sensory characteristics of the product. Generally, increase in the mango fruit
233 mesocarp flour concentration in the *gari* increased the protein, fat (negligible increase), ash, carbohydrate, vitamin A,
234 vitamin C, calcium, potassium, sodium contents as well as organoleptic attributes of appearance, aroma, taste and
235 general acceptability; while decreasing the moisture and crude fibre contents in addition to the bulk density, water
236 absorption capacity and swelling capacity. Sensory evaluation showed that the most preferred blend formulation
237 was C₇₀M₃₀G containing 70% Cassava mash and 30% Mango fruit mesocarp flour.

245

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. Dimensions of need-An atlas of food and agriculture. Staple foods: what do people eat? . Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 1995.
2. Amponsah PO. Protein Enhancement of Gari Using Soybean Flour Blend. The International Journal of Engineering and Science. 2018;7(8):47-52
3. Okafor N. Commercialization of fermented Foods in Sub-Saharan Africa, in Applications of Biotechnology to Traditional Fermented Foods. Report of an Ad-Hoc Panel of the Board on Science and Technology for International Development. Washington D.C., USA. 1992; p.165-9.
4. Owuamanam C, Hart A, Barimalaa I, Barber L, Achinewhu S. Nutritional evaluation of gari diets from varying fermentation time using animal model. Researcher. 2010;2(8).
5. Agbara G, Ohaka S. Evaluation of the quality of melon (*Citrullus colocynthis*) seed meal enriched gari produced from cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and Irish potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2018;3(6):36-42.
6. Nwafor O, Akpomie O, Erijo P. Effect of fermentation time on the physico-chemical, nutritional and sensory quality of cassava chips (Kpo-Kpo garri) a traditional nigerian food. American Journal of BioScience. 2015;3:59-63.
7. Ravani A, Joshi D. Mango and it's by product utilization—a review. Trends in Post Harvest Technology. 2013;1(1):55-67
8. Legesse MB, Emire SA. Functional and physicochemical properties of mango seed kernels and wheat flour and their blends for biscuit production. Afr J Food Sci Technol. 2012;3(9):193-203.
9. Morton JF. Fruits of warm climates. Miami, U.S.A.: JF Morton; 1987.
10. Yusuf SA, Salau AS. Forecasting mango and citrus production in Nigeria: A trend analysis. 2007. Accessed on 27th January, 2018. Available on: <http://mpira.ub.uni-muenchen.de/2691/>
11. Akhter S, Abid H, Yasmin A, Masood S. Preparation and evaluation of physical and chemical characteristics of instant mango juice powder. Pak J Biochem Mol Biol 2010;43(2):58-60.
12. Chinwe OU, Ozumba. I. C., Adejumo OA, Ayuba O.L., Nwosu Caesar., Bosa SO, et al. Sensory and Comparative Analysis of Ordinary Garri and Cocoggarri (Nutritionally enriched). International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences. 2016;2(8):27-32.
13. Oluwamukomi M. Chemical and sensory properties of gari enriched with sesame seed flour (*Sesamum indicum* L.). FUTA Journal of Research in Sciences. 2015;1:123-31.
14. Hounyèvou A, Ahounou J, Houssou A, Fandohan P, Aïhou K, Adjanohoun A, et al. Yam bean (*Pachyrhizus erosus*) tuber processing in Benin: production and evaluation of the quality of yam bean-gari and yam bean-fortified gari. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2013;7(1):247-59.
15. Arisa N, Omosaiye O, Adelekan A, FA A-M. Chemical and sensory qualities of gari fortified with groundnut flour. African Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2011;2:116-19.
16. Sanni SA, Oguntona CR, Oguntona E, Maziya-Dixon B. Chemical Composition, Pasting and Sensory Properties of Iron-Fortified Cassava Gari. Food. 2010;4(1):55-60.
17. Sengevi I, Akpapunam M, Ingbian E. Physicochemical and sensory properties of instant Kunun-Zaki flour blends from sorghum and mango mesocarp flours. Nigerian Food Journal. 2012;30:8-16.
18. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C. USA. 1990.
19. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 19th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington D.C., USA. 2012; p. 18-62.
20. Abu JO, Enyinnaya CC, James S, Okeleke E. Quality evaluation of stiff porridges prepared from Irish potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) and pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) starch blends. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2012;49(3):349-55.
21. Leach H, McCowen D, Schoch T. Swelling and solubility patterns of various starches, structure of starch granule. Cereal Chemistry. 1959;36:534-44.
22. Meilgaard MC, Civille GV, Carr BT. Sensory Evaluation Techniques. 4th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton. 2007.
23. Olaoye O, Lawrence I, Cornelius G, Ihenetu M. Evaluation of quality attributes of cassava product (gari) produced at varying length of fermentation. American Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015;2(1):1-7.
24. Iombor TT, Onah MI, Girgih AT. Evaluation of the Nutritional Quality and Consumer Acceptability of Wheat-Sesame (*Triticum aestivum*-*Sesame indicum*) Composite Bread Blends. J Nutrition Health Food Sci. 2016;4:1-7.
25. Oluwamukomi M, Adeyemi I. Physicochemical characteristics of "gari" semolina enriched with different types of soy-melon supplements. European Journal of Food Research & Review. 2013;3:50-62.

- 306 26. Bamidele P, Ogundele F, Ojbanire A, Fasogban M, Bello O. Nutritional composition of garri analogue produced from
307 Cassava and Cocoyam tubers. *Food Sci Nutr*. 2014;2(6):706-11.
- 308 27. Karim O, Adebanye B, Akintayo O, Awoyale W. Physicochemical and Sensory properties of Cassava- Sweet Potato
309 gari. *Ukrainian J Food Science* 2016;4(2):276-89.
- 310 28. Kure O, Nwankwo L, Wyasu G. Production and quality evaluation of garri-like product from sweet potatoes. *J Nat*
311 *Prod Plant Resour*. 2012;2:318-21.
- 312 29. Sengev IA, Gernah D, Bunde-Tsegba M. Physical, chemical and sensory properties of cookies produced from sweet
313 potato and mango mesocarp flours. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*. 2015;15:10428-
314 42.
- 315 30. Adedeji T. Development and quality evaluation of Jam from Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) and Pawpaw (*Carica*
316 *papaya*) juice. *Archive of Food and Nutritional Science*. 2017;1:063-71.
- 317 31. Akubor P. Evaluation of the Quality of Juice Prepared from African Bush Mango (*Irvingia garbonensis* Var.
318 *garbonensis*) Fruit Pulp. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*. 2017;6(4):1-9.
- 319 32. Ijah U, Ayodele H, Aransiola S. Microbiological and some sensory attributes of water melon juice and watermelon-
320 orange juice mix. *Journal of Food Resource Science*. 2015;4:49-61.
- 321 33. Alozie YE, Ekerette NN. Proximate Compositions, Physicochemical and Sensory Properties of Gari Fortified with
322 Soybean, Melon Seed and Moringa Seed Flours. *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. 2017;6(2):
323 105-10.
- 324

UNDER PEER REVIEW