SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48256
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact study of institutional food supplementation on nutritional status of pre-school children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Some references were old (for example: Jelliffe (1966) WHO, 1986), Please used recent references.	
	Need reference "Morbidity pattern regarding, suffering from any kind of illness such as cold, cough, fever, vomiting, diarrhoea etc. was recorded for one month."	
	Material and methods: Miss statistical analysis	
	"In the investigation and socio-economic information was collected from the parents by using questioner." Where was this information in results?	
	Results : Lack of information about the difference between "cyclic menu" and "supplemented food". Must be put in a numbered table and in the beginning of results. Furthermore, the authors must describe the composition of dishes. What are a Uppit and Bisibelebath dishes?	
	Table 1: The values were so similar to have statistical differences. Which was the test used to do statistical analysis?	
	Lack of discussion, the results must be compared with other studies already done. Furthermore, the authors only described the results obtained in Tables and Figures, without explained why these results occur (example: high values, because higher intake of carbohydrates or fat, more meals, more liquids, socio –economic factors)	
	Conclusion of work should not have references	
Minor REVISION comments	The authors must standardize the word pre-school, because in some places it was together or separed by hyphen.	
	Material and methods "Nutritional status of preschool children was assessed based on anthropometry, clinical examination and Morbidity pattern" Please, put the word "morbidity" in lowercase.	
	MUAC, ICMR first time the words appeared. Please, the authors must indicate the meaning.	
	Reference: Anon., 2010. Why was the reference in abbreviation?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luana Fernandes
Department, University & Country	Portugal

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)