



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48566
Title of the Manuscript:	REDUCING ACRYLAMIDE EXPOSURE: A REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION OF SULFUR-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS - A CARIBBEAN OUTLOOK
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work entitled "REDUCING ACRYLAMIDE EXPOSURE: A REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION OF SULFUR-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS - A CARIBBEAN OUTLOOK" was revised. The text is well written in English, but it makes an excessive use of the colon and semicolon. Regarding the content, I consider that the topic is relevant and may be attractive for readers in the fields of food science, food products, agricultural, and toxicology. However, there are some topics in which the idea is unclear or the discussion is left unfinished. Likewise, the chronological order of some (few) of the references cited is not the correct one. The lack of figures, graphs and tables makes reading the manuscript difficult. I suggest that these observations be taken into account so that the document can be accepted for publication in the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some changes, observations and comments are indicated in the revised manuscript. Please refer to them to make the corrections.	
Optional/General comments	No comments.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	César Leyva-Porras
Department, University & Country	Advanced Materials Research Center (CIMAV), México