



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ EJNFS_ 49036
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL AND DIETARY PATTERN OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS OF KOGI STATE UNIVERSITY, ANYIGBA KOGI STATE,
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript "Physical activity level and dietary pattern of undergraduate students of Kogi State University, Anyigba Kogi State". I find the paper very well written, concise enough and easy to follow. The topic is clear, the experiment was well designed, the analysis was well explained, the authors compare their results with other studies' findings and the discussion covered all my queries. Study limitations are mentioned. Please make sure that there is an agreement between the references in the text and the list of references. Please confirm that the referencing style follows that currently in use in JSRR. I recommend it for publication.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Elisabeth Meyer</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brazil</i>