

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_45838
		KNOWLEDGE, PREVENTIVE PRACTICES AND RISK PERCEPTION OF HIV INFECTION AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN A LGA, EBONYI STATE NIGERIA
	Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

A RURAL COMMUNITY OF IGBAGU, IZZI



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 a) Some few grammatical terms were used that need clarification (correction already made). b) Discussion was to long from line 185 -245 Please important finding should be discuss not the entire research work pertinent finding, interesting finding not talking about all the finding. c) Some of the figure does not add up to 443 the sample size please correct and recalculate. d) The repetition was too common because both the table and the discussion of the table are virtually the same why the repetition. e) 	
Minor REVISION comments	Some area need clarification as already highlighted via the tract changes please take note Please let us reclasify, do it in the scientific method: a) Brief introduction b) Objective c) Method and Setting d) Results e) Conclution The study area was too long, stop wasting your time on this what is it done at your setting that is pertinent to your study Tertiary institution / or teaching hospital is enoughno body care about your registrars. Please be brief and specific	
Optional/General comments	The author did a good research work and the basic setting was satisfactory, detail and clear.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed the highlight that part in the manuschies his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Olorunfemi
Department, University & Country	South Africa

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write