

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_49181
	Title of the Manuscript:	Body weight and platelet count changes in zidovudine administered Wistar albino rats treated with ethanolic extracts of zanthoxyloide
	Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

of Annona muricata and Fagara



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The article is interesting and useful. The authors must specify if the rats in groups 3 and 4 have received zidovudine or not. This is an important issue. The authors wrote about "The decrease in sleep time seen in the negative control group" but the sleep time increased in this group of rats (2). There are a lot of grammatical errors, as: the body weight decreased on the average by 1.14g in week 2 and 3.32g in week while caused the body weight to significantly increased Platelet count was also observed to significantly reduce as well as their possible use haemorrhagic conditions to reduce bleeding without causing thromboembolism. coagulatory effects has necessitated ailments. increasing the synthesis of fibrinogen in plasma (fibrinogen is synthesized in the liver). The first use of abbreviations should be explained (NRTI and NACO). References are not very recent. The authors must add some new ones. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romeo-Gabriel Mihaila
Department, University & Country	Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her