



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_48637
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Nurses about Infection Control Measures in The Renal Dialysis Centre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Change the title to include that the study was done in Libya. Practices like this are uncommon in the United States and Europe.	
Optional/General comments	Sample size is very small although it does reflect a potential systemic problem throughout the system. Use the conclusions to advance study to include a broader amount of dialysis clinics in Libya and maybe compare to the EU and US (USRDS). Stay away from surveys and use data for analysis. Surveys can be unpredictable in these cases. Surveys are typically difficult and don't hold their weight well over time.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John D. Sullivan
Department, University & Country	Boston University, United States