SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_48637
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Nurses about Infection Control Measures in The Renal Dialysis Centre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Assessment of Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Nurses about Infection Control Measures in single Renal Dialysis Centre / Benghazi city. Abstract: should be subdivided into Background, Material and Methods, Resullts and Conclusions.	
	Introduction: correct the following mistakes: 1- Spacing mistakes (staff, but/(BSIs)for) 2- Citation of reference before not after dot	
	Methods: Study design: add study time.	
	Data Collection: Spacing mistakes.	
	Sample Population: change to sample size, remove the study time.	
	Results: write all the results of your study first then add tables and figures. Table1: Spacing mistakes. Graphs: change the word graph to figure	
	Discussion: rewrite the discussion in a way that you support your findings with former studies agree/disagree with your results and supporting your results with facts.	
	References: rewrite the reference using Vancouver (numeric) referencing style.	
Minor REVISION comments	Nice Work need rewriting of Discussion and reference for publishing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Siddig Mohamed Ahmed Mulah
Department, University & Country	Libya

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)