



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_49239
Title of the Manuscript:	SEASONAL VARIATIONS IN IMABOLO STREAM WATER QUALITY IN ANKPA URBAN AREA OF KOGI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper researched the "Seasonal variations in Imabolo Stream water quality in Ankpa urban area of Kogi State, Nigeria, but the case was not typical and could not provide the valuable reference.</p> <p>Author/authors should compare with similar/different national or/and international studies. Also, I suggest the author discuss these results with more earlier studies.</p> <p>Literature should be checked. The references in the text and the references in the end should match.</p> <p>Only the WHO reference was used for the limit values for the physico-chemical parameters. The data should also be compared with Nigeria's own national standards/law and regulations.</p> <p>Recommendations should be included in the Conclusion section.</p> <p>In some parts of the text NO₃-N is given in some parts of NO₃. Since there are two different parameters, the whole text must have an integrity.</p> <p>The importance of the study should be given more clearly</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Oylum Gokkurt Baki</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sinop University, Turkey</i>