



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPR_48277</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Prevalence of Group A Streptococcus as a Re-Emerging Microorganism in Port Harcourt Metropolis</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |  |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>In this paper authors have to seek the prevalence of <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> among patients attending University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. This study was hospital based with total of 100 (one hundred) throat swabs examined. The methods and analyses used include crystal violet blood agar culture, bacitracin sensitivity testing, Gram stain, catalase test and microscopic examination. This study found that 5% of throat swab examined detected <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> from children between the age of &lt;1 – 25 years. The isolates classified as <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> were only those that grew on crystal violet blood agar, which is gram positive and catalase negative cocci..</p> <p>The study is very interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly.<br/> <b>Following Explanations are needed-</b><br/> <b>Page 3: Materials and methods</b> <i>is to be replaced by</i> Materials and methodology<br/> <b>Page 11 : Conclusion</b> <i>is to be re-written with more elaboration and concluding remarks with point wise</i></p> |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>Manuscript is interesting and structured properly, but need to be improvised linguistically.</p> <p><i>The review manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</i></p>  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Bharat Raj Singh</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow, India</b> |