SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_48518
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of Major Bacterial Contaminants of Drinking Water in Nigeria: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments	This manuscript brings information on the microbiological risk for human beings due to microbiological contamination of drinking water on this area. The authors should be better explain how this work could be useful to local authority to managing to of water resources in order to prevent the microbiological risks for local population. Anyway, the manuscript needs major revision before eventually publication. • Pag. 1 Abstract-lines 17-18 The gaps reported in most of the studies reviewed were mainly oversight gaps in monitoring by the National Agency for Food and Drug. Administration and control, NAFDAC, the agency charged with monitoring food and drugs in the country. The sentence should be reformulated • KEY WORDS: Pathogens, Microbiological Contamination. • Which were criteria the ten papers chosen? • Introdution. The introduction is poor of fundamental references. The English language must be improve and the object of this paper should be also mentioned. Lines 24-56 The quality of household drinking water is an important determinant of health and overall well being of household members (Ref). The major source of the microbial contamination of household rinking water has been traced to feaces, both human and animal (REF). According to the UNICEF report, around 90.8 per cent of households in Nigeria drink water contaminated by feaces and other contaminating agents like E coil. The report noted that although 64.1 per cent of the population of the country had access to improved drinking water sources, the states that make up the North-East region were, however, lagging behind with 62-04 per cent, which so the report, about two out of every three households use improved water sources (REF). According to the report, about two out of every three households use improved water sources (REF). According to the report, about two out of every three households use improved water sources and the major causative agents of water born developing countries, although poor sanitation and consorties are provided in the resul	
Ontional/Conoral commonts		
Optional/General comments		

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefania Marcheggiani
Department, University & Country	Istituto Speriore di Sanità, Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)