



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_32836
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating African rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) genotype yield increase through mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium inoculation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title : rewrite.</p> <p>Abstract -Abstract is an attempt to summarize the total work done,so need not to be required to mention the section heading like aims,study design and so on...rewrite the English properly like A total of 50 plans were raised instead of planted...</p> <p>. Introduction : Check the English. Should be written in a proper way following the norms to meet the standard of the journal –please write the full form of N/ha/year. Please use the text citation in the correct form</p> <p>Materials and methods The heading “Material and Methods” should be changed to “Materials and Methods”. -Rewrite and check spelling and grammar. Write down the methodology in a proper scientific way. It is too much elaborated.</p> <p>Results and discussion Heading of the table like “Pre-plant soil physico-chemical properties “ should be changed.It should be physico-chemical properties of soil before plantation.... In many tables like(Table 3. Effects of Mycorrhizae and Rhizobium inoculation on yield and yield components of rice) did not mention the particular genotype. - Overall results are not so clear. Please more concentrate on the discussion part. Check grammatical mistakes.</p> <p>Reference Please check the format of the reference.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<p>This study is scientific, but the write-up is poor, sometimes the link of the sentences related to study has been lost, the manuscript, (especially the Introduction, Materials and methods and discussion) is lacking the standard norms of the journal.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: State the results more clearly Emphasise should be given to the discussion part.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The Manuscript may be accepted after major revision (abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion);</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Barna Chakraborty</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Vidyasagar University , India</i>