



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_48487
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different doses of fertiliser and crop geometry on growth, quality, consumptive water use, water use efficiency and soil moisture extraction in late sown Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.) crop
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good original research paper very much fit for publication by IJPSS. However, the following comments and evaluations should be critically looked into by the author(s) before the paper is considered for publication:</p> <p>Firsly the materials and methods section should be divided into sub-sections as follows: location of study area; data collection procedure; and data analysis procedure (and the statistical software used for data analysis should be imperatively mentioned and the reason(s) inderpinning the use of the software).</p> <p>Secondly, the results and discussion section should equally be divided into sub-sections following the specific objectives of the study. This will go a long way to ease understanding of the findings of the paper.</p> <p>Last but not the least the discussion of the findings of the paper should be done in a comparative manner i.e. comparing and contrasting the findings of the paper with the findings of other authors who have undertaken related research. It doesn't just suffice to say the findings of this work are in agreement with or corroborate the findings of this or that author. The discussion of findings should be in-depth.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Good original research paper very much fit for publication by IJPSS. However, the afore-cited comments and evaluations should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nyong Princely Awazi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Dschang, Cameroon</i>