1 2

3

4

7

8 ABSTRACT

Original Research Article

Soil compaction and fertilizer amendments on the growth and biomass yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L.)

Comment [G1]: delete

Comment [G2]: soil amendment

Comment [G3]: and its effect on plant growth and

Comment [G4]: delete

Two factorial pot experiments arranged in a Completely Randomised Design (CRD) with three replications were carried out to assess the impact of different levels of soil compaction and fertilizer amendments on root growth and biomass yield of maize (Zea mays L.) and soybean (Glycine max L.). The treatments were different rates of bulk densities - 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³ and fertilizer amendments of 100% poultry manure (applied at 15 g/plant), 100% 15:15:15 NPK fertilizer (applied at 2.89 g/plant) and ½ rate each of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer (applied at 7.5 g poultry manure + 1.45 g NPK/plant), and control (no fertilizer amendments). Soil compaction reduced plant heights of maize and soybean. Increasing soil compaction resulted in the accumulation of most of the root biomass in the uncompacted soil above the compacted layer. Addition of soil amendments increased the relative root biomass of maize in the uncompacted soil, while that in the compacted soil was reduced. In the case of soybean, although the relative root biomass in the uncompacted soil was relatively greater than that of maize, application of soil amendments tended to slightly decrease the relative root biomass over that of the control. The shoot biomass of both crops decreased with increasing soil bulk density. All the applied soil amendments significantly increased the shoot biomass of maize and soybean over the control. The magnitude response of the crops to the soil amendments was greater in soybean than in maize. Soil compaction and amendments significantly influenced root: shoot ratio of both crops. At the bulk density 1.3 to 1.5 Mg m⁻³, the root/shoot ratio decreased with increasing compaction. Beyond the bulk density of 1.5 to 1.7 Mg m⁻³, the root: shoot ratio increased with increasing soil compaction. The fertilizer amendments applied significantly influenced the root: shoot ratio of maize but not soybean. The fertilizer amendments increased the biomass of both root and shoot but more so in the former than the later. The fertilizer amendments x compaction interactions showed that the root: shoot ratio was influenced by the type of crop, and the confounding effects of factor interactions on the relative increases/decreases in shoot and root growth. Overall, soil compaction accounted for 52 to 100% of the variations in the magnitude of the measured parameters of maize, and 62 to 98% for soybean. The ideal bulk density for shoot biomass production of both crops should, therefore, be within the range of 1.3 - 1.5 Mg m⁻³. At soil bulk density of 1.5 Mg m⁻³ and above, soil amendment should be added to ameliorate the negative impact of soil compaction.

9

10 Keywords: Bulk density, maize, poultry manure, soil compaction, soybean

11

12 **1. INTRODUCTION**

The urgent need to feed the increasingly growing populations worldwide calls for farmer motivation, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa. In Ghana, for instance, farmers are provided with inputs such as machinery (mainly tractors), fertilizers and improved seeds. This is to ensure a paradigm shift from the use simple farming tools such as the hoes and cutlasses to mechanized farming. This invariably shortens the time needed to cultivate the soil and

18 subsequently solves the problems associated with inadequate farm labour. Although tractor 19 mounted implements ensure efficiency on farms, indiscriminate use may cause physical 20 degradation of the land with soil compaction being a major problem. Soil compaction caused by heavy machinery with high inflation pressure of the tires on wet soils happens mostly 21 22 during soil tillage [1]. It results in reduced soil porosity, high soil bulk density and root 23 penetration resistance [2 - 4]. These impede germination, seedling emergence, root and shoot growth and crop yield as a result of reduced soil fertility, aeration, hydraulic properties 24 25 and, water and nutrient uptake [5 - 8]. It must, however, be emphasized that soil compaction 26 in agricultural fields are not only attributed to tractor mounted implements. Grazing animals 27 and anthropogenic activities are also contributing factors. Texture, moisture, structure and 28 initial bulk density are soil factors which affect plants' response to compaction [9].

29

51

30 Currently, considerable attention is being paid to soil physical properties which may possibly 31 inhibit the growth and development of roots and seedlings of crops in the field. This is due to the fact that problems associated with soil compaction are becoming more severe as the 32 use of bigger and heavier farm machinery is promoted. According to Oldeman et al. [10], 33 34 about 18 million hectares of lands in Africa has been degraded by compaction resulting in sealing and crusting of soil. Increasing the productivity of these lands will require the 35 amelioration of soil compaction for prolific crop growth and yield. The study of root tolerance 36 to soil compaction particularly under different soil amendments in the field where 37 environmental conditions cannot be controlled is difficult, expensive and time consuming. 38 39 Therefore, studies have been carried out in fairly controlled environments to facilitate the choice of interventions to adopt in order to deal with the problem of soil compaction. In the 40 field, this approach is time consuming and very expensive. Controlled experiments in the 41 42 laboratory, however, offer a good opportunity in the screening of crop genotypes for 43 tolerance to soil compaction [11]. While much is known about the negative effects of soil compaction on the growth and yield of many crops, the impact of combined soil amendments and compaction caused by conventional tillage has not been extensively 44 45 researched [12]. Furthermore, the use of soil amendments to reduce the adverse impact of 46 47 soil compaction on root growth has received less research attention. It is in the light of these research gaps that this study was carried out to contribute to the much needed information 48 and knowledge on the impact of soil amendments in enhancing root growth and tolerance to 49 50 soil compaction for sustained crop growth and yield.

52 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

53 2.1 Experimental set up and design

54 Pot experiments were conducted at the Department of Horticulture, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Kumasi. Soil samples, classified as Orthi-55 Ferric Acrisol [13] were collected from a depth of 0 - 40 cm from the Plantations Section of 56 the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, KNUST. A total of 72 12 L volume plastic 57 buckets were used for the experiment; 36 buckets each for maize and soybean. Each 58 59 bucket was graduated at 2 L interval and had a surface area of 0.07 m². Each bucket 60 assembly consisted of a top 2 L space for watering, followed by a 2 L soil core (1.3 Mg m⁻³), 61 and a bottom 8 L core for the 3 levels of compaction (1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m³). The buckets 62 had three drainage holes at the bottom, and were arranged on raised wooden platforms. Two different experiments were conducted using maize (Zea mays L.) and soybean (Glycine 63 max L.) as test crops. Each experiment was a 3×4 factorial arranged in a Completely 64 Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications. The treatments were soil at three 65 compaction levels (i.e., bulk densities of 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m³), and four levels of fertilizer 66 amendments: control (no fertilizer), 100% poultry manure (applied at 15 g/plant), 100% 67 15:15:15 NPK fertilizer (applied at 2.89 g/plant) and 1/2 rate each of poultry manure and 68 15:15:15 NPK fertilizer (applied at 7.5 g poultry manure/plant + 1.45 g 15:15:15 NPK/plant). 69

Comment [G5]: A pot

Comment [G6]: Where Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil used
Comment [G7]: 36 buckets each for
Comment [G8]: add verity of two plant
Comment [G9]: 50%
Comment [G10]: Where Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental manure used

Comment [G11]: 7.5 g/plant



70

Plate 1. Maize at 4 weeks after planting under different compaction levels





Plate 2. Soybean at 4 weeks after planting under different compaction levels

76

77

78 2.2 Soil compaction

In order to obtain and replicate the desired bulk densities, it was necessary to standardize the method of packing of the soil into the bucket. The volume of the bucket was obtained from the litre graduations (2 L intervals) of the buckets. The soil cores were packed to the 82 various bulk densities by dropping a 2 kg metal block from a height of 30 cm onto the soil 83 surface which was completely shielded by a wooden board. For the bulk densities of 1.3, 1.5 84 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³, half of the requisite air-dried soil was packed into the bottom 8 L volume of the bucket covered with a wooden shield and the metal mass dropped 5, 7 and 9 times 85 86 respectively. The shield was then removed and the rest of the soil packed onto the first half 87 using the wooden shelve and the metal mass and drops of 8, 10 and 12 times for the 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³, respectively. The 2 L soil core with a bulk density of 1.3 Mg m⁻³ was 88 89 imposed over each of the bottom 8 L core using the shield and two drops of the metal block. The mass of soil to attain the 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³ bulk densities was 10.4, 12.0 and 13.6 90 91 kg, respectively.









96 97

98 2.3 Data collection and analyses

99 A tape measure was used to measure plant heights at 2 weeks' interval until harvesting at 60 days after planting. Plant shoot samples were cut at the soil surface level, and the 100 101 samples were oven-dried at 105°C for 30 minutes to destroy the tissues. They were later 102 dried at 80°C until the weight was constant, and were weighed for the dry shoot mass. The fresh root mass was obtained after cutting the soil core into two, comprising a top layer of 103 1.3 Mg m⁻³ and the bottom layer of the compacted treatments. The total fresh root mass 104 105 comprised the roots in the top soil core (designated non compacted 1.3 Mg m⁻³), the bottom core of the compacted treatments (1.3, 1.5. and 1.7 Mg m⁻³) and the roots that passed 106 between the soil core and the bucket (i.e. roots along the soil core). The latter was obtained 107 108 by scrapping the roots along the soil core with a knife. The roots in the soil cores were 109 retrieved after washing off the soil over a nest sieves and weighing the cleaned roots. The 110 dry mass was recorded by weighing after oven drying the sample at 60°C for 48 hours. The 111 data collected were subjected to analysis of variance using GenStat statistical package 112 (12th Edition). The Least significant difference (Lsd) at 5% was used to compare treatment 113 means.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 114

115 3.1 Plant height

116 The analysis of variance showed soil compaction and amendments to significantly (P < .05) 117 influence the plant height of maize and soybean (Table 1). Plant height used as an indicator of growth of both crops, generally followed the normal growth curve of plants with time, 118 increasing from 7 to 60 days after planting (DAP) at which time the study was terminated. 119 The productivity of soil depends not only on its physical properties but chemical and 120 121 biological properties. The application of mineral fertilizers and poultry manure significantly (P 122 < .05) increased the height of both maize and soybean (Table 1). The interaction effect of Comment [G12]: LSD at 0.05

Comment [G13]: The macro-elements must be valued at least during periods of growth or at the end of the experiment

123 soil compaction and fertilizer amendments (P < .05) was significant on the height of maize

124 but not soybean.

125

Table 1. Impacts of soil compaction and fertilizer amendments on the plant height of maize

127 and soybean

Dulle density (Marm ⁻³)	Plant height (cm)			
Bulk density (Mg m)	Maize	Soybean		
1.3	124.92	45.50		
1.5	99.58	38.67		
1.7	76.83	31.83		
Lsd (5%)	4.05	1.74		Comment [G14]: LSD 0.05
Amendments (g/plant)				
Control	97.00	33.11		
Poultry manure	100.67	42.00		
NPK fertilizer	105.33	38.11		
² Poultry Manure + ¹ / ₂ NPK Fertilizer	98.78	41.44		
_sd (5%)	4.68	2.01		Comment [G15]: LSD 0.05
Amendment (g/plant) x Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)				
Control x 1.3	123.67		``、	Comment [G16]: of soil
Control x 1.5	90.33			Comment [G17]: with
Control x 1.7	77.00		``	Commont [G19]: with
NPK x 1.3	132.00			Comment [G10]: with
NPK x 1.5	110.67			
NPK x 1.7	73.33			
PM x 1.3	122.67			
PM x 1.5	100.67			
PM x 1.7	78.67			
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.3	121.33			Comment [G19]: with
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.5	96.67			
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.7	78.33			
Lsd (5%)	8.10			Comment [G20]: LSD 0.05
Lsd = Least significant difference; PM = Poultry ma	anure			
				Control x 1 3 123 67
The mean height at harvest ranged from 76.83 to	124.92 cm under bu	lk density of 1.7 an	dù	NPK x 1 3 132 00
1.3 Mg m ⁻³ , respectively. The corresponding value	es for soybean were	31.83 and 45.50 cn	1 .	PM x 1.3 122.67
n all cases the differences among the 3 levels of	bulk density were si	gnificant (P < .05).	A	¹ / ₂ PM + ¹ / ₂ NPK x 1.3 121.33
comparison of plant height at 1.3 Mg m ⁻³ as base	value, showed a pro	gressive reduction	of	mean
20 and 38% for maize and 15 and 30% for sove	ean at 1.5 and 1.7 I	Ma m ⁻³ , respectively		Control x 1.5
Between the latter two bulk densities, plant height	reduction was 23 an	d 18% for maize an	d	NPK x 1.5
soubean respectively. Muhammad of al [14]	observed that plant	height is a genet	c \	PM x 1.5

1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK x 1.5

Comment [G22]: LSD

tables in the research paper

This system must be in the table and other

mean ...

136 soybean, respectively. Muhammad *et al.* [14] observed that plant height is a genetic 137 characteristic which is modified by environmental factors at the active growth stages. The 138 results have indicated that increasing soil compaction significantly (P < .05) reduced the 139 height of maize and soybean with the former being more sensitive than the latter to 140 compaction. The reduction in plant height could be due to factors that limited cell elongation 141 which include impedance to root growth, poor soil aeration and low water and nutrient 142 uptake as similarly reported by several authors [11, 15].

144 The plant height of maize (Table 1) followed the trend of NPK > poultry manure > $\frac{1}{2}$ poultry 145 manure + $\frac{1}{2}$ NPK > control with a range of 97 to 105 cm under control and NPK fertilizer 146 respectively. The differences between NPK, and both the control, and $\frac{1}{2}$ poultry manure + $\frac{1}{2}$ 147 NPK were significant, as well as, that between the control and poultry manure. However, the

5

¹⁴³

height difference between poultry manure and both half rates (integrated application) and NPK were not significant. In the latter, the NPK recorded the greatest plant height in contrast to poultry manure (PM) in the former. Plant height of soybean was thus in the order poultry manure > 1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK > NPK > control with a range of 33 to 42 cm for the control and PM respectively. Significant differences (P < .05) were observed between the control and all the soil amendments; and between PM and both NPK and half rates. The results as presented showed that soil fertility improvement through mineral fertilizer and poultry manure application is essential for the growth of the test crops and a better expression of their potential genetic height. Under these conditions, more nutrients are made available for uptake and for the needed metabolic activities for cell elongation and growth. Application of soil amendments at all levels of soil compaction tended to enhance plant height relative to compacted soil without amendments. The plant height of both crops at soil bulk density of 1.3 and 1.5 Mg m⁻³ was ameliorated more under NPK than poultry manure and $\frac{1}{2}$ PM + $\frac{1}{2}$ NPK. However, at 1.7 Mg m⁻³, the latter treatments were more effective than NPK. The beneficial effects of organic matter on soil physical properties, such as bulk density and porosity may be implicated in these observations.

165 3.2 Dry shoot biomass yield

Soil compaction significantly (P < .05) influenced the shoot biomass of maize and soybean (Table 2). In the case of maize, shoot biomass ranked as 1.3 > 1.5 > 1.7 Mg m⁻³ with a range of 69.95 to 115 g/plant for the 1.7 and 1.3 Mg m⁻³, respectively. The difference among the treatments were significant (P < .05). All the soil amendments significantly increased the shoot biomass of maize and soybean over the control. Shoot biomass of maize ranged from 78.43 and 109.05 g/plant for the control and NPK respectively with a trend of NPK > 1/2 PM+ 1/2 NPK > PM > control. In all cases, the differences among the treatments were significant (p < 0.05). The increase of shoot biomass over the control were 28, 18 and 10% under NPK, ½ PM+ ½ NPK, and PM, respectively. The shoot biomass of soybean followed the same trend as maize with yield ranging between 35.56 and 67.91 g/plant. Yield increments over the control were 48, 41 and 28% under NPK, $\frac{1}{2}$ PM+ $\frac{1}{2}$ NPK, and PM, respectively. The magnitude of response to soil amendments was greater in soybean than in maize. The soil compaction x amendments interaction significantly influenced shoot biomass yield of maize and soybean. It revealed the magnitude of the soil amendments in increasing the biomass yield at each level of soil compaction. The depressive effect of soil compaction on shoot yield was therefore ameliorated by soil amendments.

Table 2. Impacts of soil compaction and fertilizer amendments on shoot biomass of maizeand soybean

Pulk density (Mam-3)	Shoot biomass (g/plant)			
Bulk density (wg m)	Maize	Soybean		
1.3	115.72	69.84		
1.5	92.62	57.70		
1.7	69.95	32.66		
Lsd (5%)	4.42	1.63		
Amendments (g/plant)				
Control	78.43	35.56		
Poultry manure	109.05	67.91		
NPK	87.39	49.64		
1/2 Poultry Manure + 1/2 NPK	96.17	60.50		
Lsd (5%)	5.11	1.89		
Amendment (g/plant) x Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)				
Control x 1.3	111.02	48.90		
Control x 1.5	91.55	41.67		
Control x 1.7	59.60	16.10		
NPK x 1.3	133.23	87.65		
NPK x 1.5	104.48	68.12		
NPK x 1.7	89.43	47.97		
PM x 1.3	92.87	64.42		
PM x 1.5	77.42	57.90		
PM x 1.7	65.00	26.59		
1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK x 1.3	125.75	78.39		
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.5	97.02	63.13		
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.7	65.76	39.98		
Lsd (5%)	8.85	3.27		

202 Lsd = Least significant difference; PM = Poultry manure

203

204 Shoot biomass therefore decreased with increasing soil bulk density as similarly reported in 205 several studies [e.g. 15 - 17]. The reduction in shoot biomass of maize as bulk density increased from 1.3 Mg m³ to 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m³ was 20 and 40%, respectively. Shoot 206 207 biomass of soybean (Table 2) varied from 32.66 to 69.84 g/plant for the 1.7 and 1.3 Mg m⁻³, respectively with significant differences (P < .05) among the treatments. The reduction in shoot biomass, using that of 1.3 Mg m⁻³ as a base gave 17 and 57 % at the 1.5 and 1.7 Mg 208 209 210 m³, respectively. The adverse impact of soil compaction on shoot biomass in both soybean and maize was greater at 1.7 Mg m⁻³ with the former being more. The response of maize 211 and make was greater at 1.7 Mg m⁻¹ with the former being more. The response of make and soybean shoot biomass to increasing bulk density appears to suggest optimum bulk density for shoot biomass production to be 1.3 Mg m⁻³ with a range between 1.3 and 1.5 Mg m⁻³. The magnitude of response, however seem to be influenced by the stage of growth as 212 213 214 215 well as the fertility level of the soil. In this context, Ocloo [18] found the ideal range of bulk density for the growth of maize and soybean seedlings to be 1.1 to 1.5 Mg m⁻³ with 1.3 Mg 216 217 m³ as the most preferable in terms of shoot biomass yield and root penetration ratio. Beutler 218 and Centurion [19], on the other hand, reported that soybean growth and yield started to decline beyond a bulk density of 1.36 Mg m⁻³ on soil with no fertilizer and 1.48 Mg m⁻³ on 219 220 soils that received fertilizer treatment.

221

The reduction in shoot yield with increasing soil compaction may be attributed to one or a combination of the adverse conditions that were created in the soil environment. In this study, increasing soil compaction increased soil bulk density, reduced both total and aeration porosity with the later below the artificial critical level of 10% for favourable gaseous

exchange at the 1.7 Mg m^{-3} . The implication of these conditions include increased impedance to root growth, which in turn, reduces the requisite water and nutrient uptake for 226 227 228 satisfactory root and shoot growth. The reduced aeration porosity and its negative impact on gaseous exchange resulting in reduced oxygen supply accumulation of carbon dioxide could 229 adversely affect root growth and indirectly affect shoot growth. Similar observations have been reported in numerous studies [e.g. 5, 11, 14, 18, 20]. Efforts to increase and sustain 230 231 crop growth and yield on compacted soils include breaking compacted layers through 232 233 ripping by tines and subsoiling [21 - 23], biological drilling [12], and ameliorating the negative impact of compaction through the application of mineral and organic sources of 234 235 nutrients to enhance vigorous root growth [19, 24, 25].

236

The percentage increment by soil amendment in shoot yield at each level of soil compaction, using the yield from the control as standard is presented (Table 3). In both crops, the impact was greatest under NPK and at the highest level of soil compaction. The magnitude of impact was greater on soybean than on maize as indicated earlier by the main effect of soil amendments. The effect of poultry manure was also greater at the 1.7 Mg m⁻³ than the remaining bulk densities.

243

Table 3. Percentage increment in shoot biomass yield by soil amendments at each level ofsoil compaction

Soil amondmont	1.3 Mg m ⁻³		1.5 M	/lg m⁻³	1.7 Mg m ⁻³	
(a/plant)	Maize	Soybean	Maize	Soybean	Maize	Soybean
(g/piant)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Control	-	-		-	-	-
NPK	17	44	12	39	33	66
Poultry manure	-	24	1	28	23	39
1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK	18	38	6	34	9	60
PM - Poultry manure						

246 PM = Poultry manure

247

248 The results have shown the need for soil amendments in enhancing shoot biomass yield but more so on compacted soils and for soybean cultivation. The need for mineral fertilizer in 249 250 enhancing crop growth on soils low in nitrogen and soil organic matter has also been demonstrated, even in the case of soybean contrary to the general notion that nitrogen-fixing 251 252 legumes do not need fertilizers, especially, N. On such soils, as was used in this experiment, N would be needed. In this context, integrated plant nutrition, using combined mineral and 253 254 organic sources of nutrients could be an advantage considering the near addictive effects of 255 the ½ NPK+ ½ PM on shoot biomass yield observed in this study. In soybean, the calculated sum of half biomass yield of sole NPK and PM was 78.2, 62.95 and 36.3 g/plant at the 1.3, 256 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m³, respectively. The corresponding yields of the $\frac{1}{2}$ NPK+ $\frac{1}{2}$ PM were 78.39, 63.13 and 39.98 g/plant. In maize, the sum of the sole NPK and PM was 113.06, 257 258 90.95 and 77.22 g/plant at the 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³. The corresponding yields of the 1/2 259 PM+ 1/2 NPK were 125.75, 97.02 and 65.76 g/plant. 260

261

262 3.3 Root biomass

263 The results of this study (Table 4) showed that soil compaction and amendments and their 264 interactions significantly (P < .05) affected root biomass, distribution and penetration ratio. 265 In this study, total effective root biomass refers to the sum of the mass of roots retrieved 266 from the uncompacted and compacted soil cores excluding those between the inner walls of the buckets and soil cores (i.e., roots along the periphery of the soil cores). Total 267 268 effective dry root biomass of maize ranged from 27.64 and 67.87 g/plant for the 1.7 and 1.3 269 Mg m⁻³, respectively. The differences in root biomass among the 3 levels of compaction 270 were significant (P < .05). The reduction in root biomass as bulk density increased from

2711.3 to 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³ was 50 and 59%, respectively. With regard to soybean, total dry272root biomass ranged between 8.17 and 10.49 g/plant for the 1.5 and 1.3 Mg m⁻³,273respectively following a trend of 1.3 > 1.7 > 1.5 Mg m⁻³. Root biomass at 1.3 Mg m⁻³ was274significantly (P < .05) greater than those of 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³ which did not significantly275differ from each other. The reduction in total root biomass relative to that of the 1.3 Mg m⁻³276was 22 and 14 % for the 1.5 and 1.7 Mg m⁻³, respectively.

277

Table 4. Impacts of soil compaction and fertilizer amendments on effective root biomass ofmaize and soybean

Bulk donsity (Ma m ⁻³)	Effective root l	Effective root biomass (g/plant)			
	Maize	Soybean			
1.3	67.87	10.49			
1.5	33.98	8.17			
1.7	27.64	9.05			
Lsd (5%)	2.34	1.73			
Amendments (g/plant)					
Control	24.34	5.83			
Poultry manure	49.10	13.82			
NPK	63.99	14.79			
1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK	59.15	13.88			
Lsd (5%)	2.41	1.68			
Amendment (g/plant) x Bulk density	(Mg m ⁻³)				
Control x 1.3	27.97	5.58			
Control x 1.5	25.23	3.46			
Control x 1.7	19.81	8.46			
NPK x 1.3	105.55	13.72			
NPK x 1.5	50.13	11.61			
NPK x 1.7	36.29	11.93			
PM x 1.3	74.73	11.25			
PM x 1.5	27.31	7.25			
PM x 1.7	23.67	9.40			
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.3	63.23	11.42			
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.5	33.24	10.35			
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.7	30.80	6.43			
Lsd (5%)	5.88	3.47			

280 Lsd = Least significant difference; PM = Poultry manure

281

282 The results of this study showed the application of soil amendments to significantly influence the total root biomass of both maize and soybean. Total dry root biomass of maize was in 283 the order of NPK > 1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK > PM > control with a range of 24.34 - 63.99 g/plant for 284 the control and NPK, respectively. All the soil amendments significantly (P < .05) out yielded 285 286 the control. Root biomass of the NPK was significantly greater than those of PM and ½ PM + 1/2 NPK which did not differ significantly. Considering the control as base value, the 287 288 percentage increase in root biomass of maize was 42, 43 and 62% under PM, ½ PM + ½ 289 NPK and NPK, respectively. In the case of soybean, total biomass ranged from 5.83 to 290 12.42 g/plant with a similar trend as that of maize. From a base value of 5.83 g/plant, NPK, 291 1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK and PM increased root biomass by 53, 38 and 37%, respectively. The 292 impact of the application of soil amendments in ameliorating soil compaction for root 293 biomass yield was therefore greater for maize than soybean. The development of extensive 294 root system enhances the ability of plants to abstract nutrients and water from the soil. The 295 constraining impact of soil compaction on root growth therefore tends to limit the availability of water and nutrients for satisfactory plant growth and yield [21, 27]. The results of the 296

study have clearly demonstrated the ameliorative impact of soil amendments in reducing the adverse effects of soil compaction on root biomass yield. The provision of readily available nutrients favoured root development and vigour for effective nutrient and water uptake from the soil. The subsequent translocation of the nutrients and water to the shoot may underscore significant increases in shoot biomass.

The ameliorative impact of soil amendments on soil compaction effects on root growth became more evident when the soil amendment and compaction interactions were examined. The results as presented in Table 4 showed that at each level of soil compaction, all the soil amendments significantly increased total root biomass over the control with no amendment. The increases in root biomass were greater in maize than soybean. The magnitude of reduction in total root biomass indicated that the negative impact of soil compaction was greater on maize (a monocot) than soybean (a dicot) roots. A similar observation was reported by Materechera et al. [26]. Chen and Weil [27] also found that rye toots decreased more rapidly than rapeseed roots as bulk density increased. In order to sustain crop growth and yield in compacted soils, ameliorative strategies to address the adverse impacts of soil compaction on root growth and biomass production need to be developed. In this context, the application of adequate amounts of soil amendments has been found to offset the negative effects of soil compaction on root growth [24, 25, 28].

317 3.4 Root/shoot biomass ratio

The results (Table 5) showed soil compaction and amendments to significantly influence root: shoot ratio. The impact of soil compaction showed root/shoot ratio to range from 0.37 to 0.59 for maize and 0.14 to 0.27 for soybean. In maize, the significantly greater ratio at 1.3 Mg m⁻³ was reduced by 37% at the 1.5 Mg m⁻³. In soybean, the reduction was 7%. The implication is that, at the lower range of bulk density, 1.3 to 1.5 Mg m⁻³, the reduction in root biomass resulting from increasing compaction is greater than that in the shoot biomass. The tendency was for root: shoot ratio to decrease. This is evidenced in this study by a reduction in shoot and root biomass yield of maize by 20 and 50%, respectively when bulk density increased from 1.3 to 1.5 Mg m³. The corresponding decrease in soybean was 17 and 22%. However, beyond 1.5 Mg m⁻³, the tendency was for root: shoot ratio to increase with increasing soil compaction.

351 Table 5. Impact of soil compaction on root/shoot ratio of maize and soybean

Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	Maize (per plant)	Soybean (per plant)
1.3	0.59	0.15
1.5	0.37	0.14
1.7	0.40	0.27
Lsd (5%)	0.04	0.08
Amendments (g/plant)		
Control	0.29	0.50
Poultry manure	0.56	0.25
NPK fertilizer	0.51	0.27
1/2 Poultry Manure + 1/2 NPK Fertilizer	0.44	0.23
Lsd (5%)	0.05	0.20
Amendment (g/plant) x Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)		
Control x 1.3	0.25	0.11
Control x 1.5	0.28	0.08
Control x 1.7	0.33	0.54
NPK x 1.3	0.79	0.16
NPK x 1.5	0.48	0.17
NPK x 1.7	0.41	0.25
PM x 1.3	0.80	0.18
PM x 1.5	0.35	0.13
PM x 1.7	0.37	0.36
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.3	0.50	0.15
½ PM + ½ NPK x 1.5	0.34	0.16
1/2 PM + 1/2 NPK x 1.7	0.47	0.16
Lsd (5%)	0.08	0.19

352 Lsd = Least significant difference; PM = Poultry manure

353

Increasing soil compaction from 1.5 to 1.7 Mg m⁻³ increased root/shoot ratio by 7 and 48 % 354 in maize and soybean respectively. The underlying reason in this case was that the 355 reduction in shoot biomass, 24 and 43% in maize and soybean, was greater than the 356 decreases in their corresponding root biomass of 19 and 10% at the 1.7 Mg m⁻³. According 357 to Marschner [20], the root cap, as a sensor of stress due to the restriction of root growth in 358 359 the compacted soil, is implicit in this process. It triggers the accumulation of Abscicic Acid 360 (ABA) in the roots which is transported to the shoot; this subsequently results in depression of shoot growth by inhibiting cell extension in shoot tissue and inducing stomatal closure. 361 362 This area of research has received very limited research attention. Yet, studies on the interdependence of shoots and roots in many ways and the role of phytohormones in their 363 response to various stress conditions in the rooting zone are required to inform the 364 365 development of strategies for sustainable plant growth and yield. Such stresses include moisture, nutrients, drought and compaction. It is however worthy to note the main findings 366 367 of the impact of soil compaction on root/shoot ratio. The magnitude and direction of change 368 in root/shoot ratio due to increasing soil compaction depend on the level of compaction and 369 the type of crop. At the lower range of soil compaction, 1.3 - 1.5 Mg m⁻³ in this work and 1.1 - 1.5 Mg m⁻³ in Ocloo [18], root/shoot ratio decreased with increasing compaction. Beyond 370 these ranges (i.e., 1.5 - 1.7 Mg m⁻³) in this study, and 1.5 - 1.9 Mg m⁻³ [18], root/shoot ratio 371 increased with increasing soil compaction. 372

373

The soil amendments applied significantly (P < .05) influenced the root/shoot ratio of maize but not soybean (Table 5). All the soil amendments increased root: shoot ratio in both maize 376 and soybean over the control. In the maize, the root/shoot ratio was in a decreasing order of 377 NPK > PM > $\frac{1}{2}$ PM+ $\frac{1}{2}$ NPK > control with a range of 0.31 to 0.59 for the control and NPK. 378 respectively. In the soybean, the range was 0.16 to 0.19 for the control and PM with a trend of PM > NPK > 1/2 PM+ 1/2 NPK = control. The increment in the root: shoot ratio indicated that 379 380 the application of the soil amendments increased biomass of both root and shoot but more 381 so in the former as indicated by the results. The increment in root biomass of maize were 62, 43 and 42% under NPK, 1/2 PM+ 1/2 NPK and PM, respectively. The corresponding 382 383 increases in shoot biomass were 28, 18 and 10%. In soybean, the increments in the root 384 and shoot biomass were 53 and 48% under NPK, 37 and 28% under PM, and 38 and 41% 385 under 1/2 PM+ 1/2 NPK.

387 A similar trend was observed under the amendment x compaction interaction (Table 5). In all cases. soil amendment significantly (P < .05) increased the root: shoot ratio at each level of 388 soil compaction. However, under each amendment x compaction level, root/shoot ratio 389 390 tended to decrease with increasing bulk density in maize contrary to the observed increases in root/shoot ratio with increasing bulk density under the main effect of soil compaction. The 391 392 latter scenario was observed in the case of soybean. The direction of change in the 393 magnitude of root: shoot ratio is therefore not as simple. It seems to be influenced by the 394 type of crop (cereal legume) and the confounding effects of factor interactions on the relative 395 increases/reduction in shoot and root growth. This can be viewed in the simple general observation that under abundant supply of essential nutrients, particularly N and P, root 396 397 growth is stimulated but more so in shoot in fertile than infertile soil [20, 29, 30]. The present 398 study has amply shown soil amendments to ameliorate the adverse impact of soil compaction on root and biomass yield. This, obviously, has implications for the magnitude of 399 400 the root/shoot ratio, which is the dry matter (photosynthate) portioned into the root as a 401 proportion of that in the shoot. The beneficial effects of the manure (other than nutrients) 402 such as soil moisture storage and availability could account for the greater soybean height 403 recorded under all treatments that incorporated poultry manure than NPK. This is indicative of the benefits of integrated plant nutrition [31; 32] involving the combination of mineral 404 405 fertilizer and poultry manures.

406

386

407 The data on plant parameters were examined for correlations with bulk density to ascertain 408 the direction of change (positive or negative) in the measured parameters with changes in 409 bulk density (Table 6). This will facilitate the acquisition of relevant information regarding the 410 response of the measured parameters of maize and soybean to changes in bulk density.

411

412 **Table 6.** Correlation matrix of soil compaction and crop parameters

Maiza	Coefficient of correlation					
BD	PH	SB	ERB	RSR		
BD	-1.00	-1.00	-0.93	-0.80		
PH		0.99	0.89	0.66		
SB			0.87	0.64		
ERB				0.93		
RSR						
Soybean						
BD	-1.00	-0.98	-0.62	0.83		
PH		0.96	ns	-0.69		
SB			ns	-0.85		
ERB				ns		
RSR						

413 BD = Bulk density; PH = Plant regard; SB = Shoot biomass; ERB = Effective root biomass;

414 RSR = Root/Shoot ratio

416 The results depicted the negative impact of increasing soil compaction on shoot biomass, 417 effective root biomass, and the root/shoot ratio of maize and soybean. In soybean, the root: 418 shoot ratio increased with bulk density. The coefficient of correlation (r) for maize were -1.0, 419 -0.93, and -0.80 for shoot biomass, effective root biomass, and root/shoot ratio, respectively. 420 Increasing soil compaction therefore decreases the magnitude of these measured 421 parameters. The negative r for root/shoot ratio indicates that root biomass is depressed 422 more than shoot biomass as soil compaction increases. An examination of the data revealed that root/shoot ratio of maize decreased as bulk density increased from 1.3 to 1.5 Mg m⁻³ 423 424 and increased from 1.5 to 1.7 Mg m⁻³. However, the magnitude of the rise could not offset that of the fall, resulting in a general trend of decreasing root/shoot ratio. With regard to 425 soybean, the r values -0.98, -0.62, and 0.83 for shoot biomass, effective root biomass, and 426 427 root/shoot ratio, respectively. All the measured parameters except root/shoot ratio 428 decreased in magnitude with increasing soil compaction. The positive correlation between bulk density and root/shoot ratio accords with the generally observed trend of the shoot 429 being more depressed than the root with increasing soil compaction, which is the general 430 431 response of plants to stresses, such as soil compaction, drought/moisture stress and 432 nutrient deficiency [20].

433 434 **4. CONCLUSION**

The study has clearly shown the impact of different levels of soil compaction, amendments 435 and their interactions on some soil physical properties and the growth and yield of maize 436 437 and soybean. Soil compaction further reduced crop growth, shoot and root biomass and root 438 penetration ratio of maize and soybean. The magnitude of reduction increased as bulk density increased. The main effects of soil amendments manifested in the enhancement of 439 the growth of maize and soybean over that of the control. Soil amendments enhanced plant 440 441 height at each level of soil compaction. A similar impact was observed in root and shoot biomass yield and root penetration ratio of both crops. Increasing soil compaction resulted in 442 the accumulation of most of the root biomass in the uncompacted soil above the compacted 443 444 layer. The addition of soil amendments increased the relative root biomass of maize in the 445 uncompacted soil while that in the compacted soil where reduced. In the case of soybean, 446 although the relative root biomass accumulated in the uncompacted soil was relatively 447 greater than that of maize, the application of soil amendments tended to slightly decrease 448 the relative root biomass over that of the control.

449

450 The shoot biomass of both crops decreased with increasing soil bulk density. The soil amendments significantly increased the shoot biomass of maize and soybean over the 451 control. The magnitude response of the crops to the soil amendments was greater in 452 sovbean than in maize. Soil compaction and amendments significantly influenced root: shoot 453 ratio of both crops. At the bulk density 1.3 - 1.5 Mg m³, the root: shoot ratio decreased with 454 455 increasing compaction. Beyond the bulk density of 1.5 - 1.7 Mg m⁻³, the root: shoot ratio increased with increasing soil compaction. The magnitude of the increase (1.5 - 1.7 Mg m⁻³) 456 could not offset that of the decrease (1.3 - 1.5 Mg m⁻³), resulting in a general trend of 457 decreasing root: shoot ratio. The soil amendments increased the biomass of both root and 458 shoot but more so in the former than the later. 459

460 461 **REFERENCES**

- 462 [1]. Reintam E, Kuht J, Loogus H, Nugis E, Trukmann K. Soil compaction and fertilisation
 463 effects on nutrient content and cellular fluid pH of spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare*464 L.). Agron. J. 2005; 3(2): 189-202.
- 465 [2]. Czyz EA, Tomaszewski J, Dexter AR. Response of spring barley to changes of 466 compaction and aeration of sandy soil under model conditions. Int. Agrophys. 2001; 467 15:9-12.

Comment [G23]: How to add organic fertilizer and mineral soil while not analyses any of the elements

Comment [G24]: You should check the language setting in the paper

Comment [G25]: The conclusion must be redrafted

Comment [G26]: References must be at least during the last ten years

- 468 [3]. Lipiec J, Hatano R. Quantification of compaction effects on soil physical properties
 469 and crop growth. Geod. 2003; 116: 107-136.
- 470 [4]. Usaborisut P, Niyamapa T. Effects of machine-induced soil compaction on growth
 471 and yield of sugarcane. Am. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 2010; 5 (3): 269-273.
- 472 [5]. Ishag M, Hassan A, Saeed M, Ibrahim M, Lal R. Subsoil Compaction effects on 473 crops in Punjab, Pakistan. I. Soil physical properties and crop yield. Soil Till. Res. 474 2001; 57-65.
- 475 [6]. Passioura JB. Soil compactions and plant growth. Plant cell Environ. 2002; 25: 311-476 318.
- 477 [7]. Lampurlanes J, Cantero-Martinez C. Soil bulk density and penetration resistance
 478 under different tillage and crop management systems and their relationship with
 479 barley root growth. Agron. J. 2003; 95: 526-536.
- 480 [8]. Glab T. Effect of soil compaction on root system development and yields of tall fescue. Int. Agrophys. 2007; 21: 233-239.
- 482 [9]. Domzal H, Hodara J. Physical properties of three soils compacted by machine
 483 wheels during field operations. Soil Till. Res. 1991; 19: 227-236.
- I10]. Oldeman IR, Hakkeling, RTA, Sombroek WG. (1991). World map of status of human
 induced soil degradation, an explanatory note. 181RC.Wageningen, Netherlands
 /UNEP, Nairobi, Kenya. 1991;34.
- 487 [11]. Asady GW, Smucker JM, Adams M.W. Seedling test for the quantitative
 488 measurement of roots tolerances to compacted soil. Crop sci. 1985; 25: 802-806.
- 489 [12]. Williams SM, Weil RR. Crop cover root channels may alleviate soil compaction effects
 490 on soybean crop. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2004; 68:1403-1409.
- 491 [13]. FAO. Soil map of the world. Revised Legend. World Soil Research Report No 60.
 492 FAO, Rome, 1990.
- 493 [14]. Muhammad R, Gul DK, Muhammad H, Shahid A. Impact of soil compaction on root
 494 length and yield of corn (*Zea mays*) under irrigated Condition. Middle-East J. Sci.
 495 Res. 2012; 11 (3): 382-385.
- Lowery B, Schular RT. Temporal effects of subsoil compaction on soil strength and
 plant growth. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1991; 55: 216-223.
- 498 [16]. Gediga K. Influence of subsoil compaction on the uptake of Ca from the soil profile
 499 and on maize yield. Soil Till. Res. 1991; 19 (2): 351-355.
- 500 [17]. Motavalli PP, Anderson SH, Pengtham Kecrati P. surface compaction and poultry
 501 litter effects on corn growth nitrogen availability and physical properties of claypan
 502 soil. Field crops Res. 2003; 84: 303-318.
- [18]. Ocloo CY. The response of roots and biomass yield of soybean and maize seedlings
 to different levels of soil compaction. A thesis submitted to the department of crop
 and soil sciences, college of agriculture and natural resources, Kwame Nkrumah
 University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana, in partial fulfilment of the
 requirements for the award of Master of Science Degree in Soil Science. 2011; 170p.
- 508 [19]. Beutler AN, Centurion JF. Soil compaction and fertilization in soybean productivity.
 509 Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba Brazil) 2004; 61: 626-631.
- 510 [20]. Marschner H. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Second edition, Academics Press.
 511 Harcourt Brace and company (Publishers). 1995; 889p.
- [21] Raza W., Yousaf S, Niaz A, Rasheed MK, Hussain I. Subsoil compaction effects on soil properties, nutrient uptake and yield of maize fodder (*Zea mays* L.). Pak. J. Bot. 2005; 37(2): 933-940.
- 515 [22]. McKenzie RH. Agricultural soil compaction: causes and management. Agri- facts.
 516 Practical information for Alberta's Agriculture Industry. Agdex 510-1. 2010; 10p.
- 517 [23]. Crush JR, Thom ER. (2011). Review: The effects of soil compaction on root
 518 penetration, pasture growth and persistence. Pasture Persistence-Grassland Res.
 519 Practice Ser. 2011; 15: 73-78.
- 520 [24]. USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). The Use of Soil 521 Amendments for Remediation, Revitalization, and Reuse. Solid Waste and

522 Emergency Response (5203P). USEPA/National Service Center for Environmental 523 Publications. 2007; 52p.

- Mackay AD, Gillingham A, Smith C, Budding P, Phillips P, Clarke-Hill W, Johnstone,
 P. Evaluation of the effects of grass species, irrigation, nitrogen fertilizer application
 and soil compaction on the response of modern dairy pastures to phosphorus
 fertilizer. Proc. of the New Zealand Grassland Assoc. 2010; 72: 153-158.
- 528 [26]. Materechera SA, Dexter AR Alston AM. Penetration of very strong soils by seedling
 529 roots of different plant species. Plant Soil. 1991; 135: 31-41.
- 530 [27]. Chen G, Weil RR. Root growth and yield of maize as affected by soil compaction and
 531 cover crops. Soil Till. Res. 2009; 117 (2011) 17-27.
- 532 [28]. Hakansson I, Lipiec J. A review of the usefulness of relative bulk density values in studies of soil structure and compaction. Soil Till. Res. 2000; 53 (2): 71-85.
- [29]. Reich PB. Root/shoot relationships: Optimality in acclimation and adaption or the
 —Emperor's new clothes? Third edition, revised and expanded. Yoav, W., Amram, E.
 and Uzi, K. (eds). Marcel Dekker, Inc. (Publishers). New York, Basel. 2002; pp. 205220.
- [30]. Agren IG, Franklin O. Root/shoot ratios, optimization and nitrogen productivity. Annals
 of Bot. 2003; 92: 795-800.
- [31]. Law-Ogbomo KE, Law-Ogbomo JE. The Performance of Zea mays as influenced by
 NPK Fertilizer application. Not Sci. Biol. 2009; 1(1): 59-62.
- 542 [32]. Obidiebube EA, Achebe UA, Akparobi S, Kator PE. Effect of different levels of NPK
 543 (15:15:15) on the growth and yield of maize in rain forest agro-ecological zone. Int. J.
 544 AgriSci. 2012; 2(12): 1103- 1106.

545 546

547 COMPETING INTERESTS

- 548 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
- 549 550