



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_48185
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between folate status and complete blood count (CBC) parameters in sickle cell anaemia (SCA) at steady state in Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1) When a contracted word is firstly written, the author must be the whole word of it. What is RDW in ABSTRACT? 2) In general, the anemia induced by folate deficiency will show high MCV and MCH. Why do the results in this study show low MCV or MCH? 3) The authors say that the result of the lower haematocrit or the higher RBC in SCA patients comes from folate deficiency. Can the higher nutrition containing folate treat such anemia? 4) Is the result in this study related to SCA, itself?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1) The revised manuscript must be careful against typing errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1) The revised manuscript can be accepted in this journal.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nagahito Saito</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Japan</b>