



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_44777
Title of the Manuscript:	Contouring And Preservation Of Soft Tissue Emergence Profile Around Dental Implant In Esthetic Zone- Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The purpose of the article must be defined. For example : The purpose of this report was to present a ... (introduction)</p> <p>2. discussion : In this section of the scientific article the results are explained and compared with the data obtained by other researchers.</p> <p>3. The first paragraph from 55 to 66 looks like the beginning of an introduction, not a discussion.</p> <p>4. if you wish you can write an intruduction where you can show to the reader about the topic you will present</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>-organize the images in one same size</p> <p>-Show the importance of dynamic compression in your conclusion- Authors should provide adequate proof for their claims without overselling them</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2007.00128.x</p> <p>review this article</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana María Vélez Ochoa
Department, University & Country	Universidad CES, Colombia