

#### SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_44777
Title of the Manuscript:	Contouring And Preservation Of Soft Tissue Emergence Profile Around Dental Implant In Esthetic Zone- Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



### SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu
Compulsory REVISION comments	<b>TOPIC:</b> Minor change in the topic as the term "Emergence Profile" is suitable for	his/her feedback here)
	tooth/crown not for soft tissue.	
	ABSTRACT: Grammatical mistakes (highlighted in the manuscript submitted along with	
	this). Insufficiently reflecting the content of the manuscript.	
	INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND: It is missing as a separate heading and included in the	
	abstract.	
	PRESENTATION OF CASE:	
	Need to be more specific about type of malalignment of teeth. Instead of directly jumping	
	to investigations from chief complaint, a brief description of intraoral examinations and	
	findings will be better. Need to be more specific about pre-operative investigations, types of	
	radiographs, 3-dimensional imaging, and types of clinical photographs (though it has been	
	mentioned that pre-operative photographs and radiographs were taken, they have not been	
	included in the manuscript).	
	How was space loss determined? How much space (in mm) was available and how much	
	was needed? After how long of orthodontic treatment the patient came for surgery? Was	
	written informed consent taken before surgery? What was the optimum space (in mm)?	
	Need to be more specific about local anaesthesia, type of flap used, osteotomy kit used	
	(brand), type of suture placed. How was the pilot drill angulation checked (any radiographs	
	taken?) How was the dimensions of implant to be placed determined? Was bone mapping	
	done? If yes, how? What was the biotype? Suture removal was done after 15 days??	
	Brand name of light cured microfilled composite? A brief description of the indirect	
	technique of contouring and polishing the provisional crown? How was the laboratory	
	processed customized acrylic crown fabricated? What type of abutment was placed?	
	(Good to include photograph). How was impression taken?	
	DISCUSSION: Need of properly and adequately relating the evidence with what has been	
	done in this case and the results of this case.	
	<b>REFERENCES:</b> Cited in the discussion part only.	
Minor REVISION comments	No space between few words and "present tense" used at some places (have been highlighted in the manuscript).	
Optional/General comments	(Proper collection of photographs and radiographs including these mentioned helpw if	
	(Proper selection of photographs and radiographs including those mentioned below if possible):	
	1. Pre-operative and Post-operative photographs and radiographs.	
	2. Photographs showing clearly the emergence profile (cervical portion) of the	
	provisional restoration before and after modification.	
	3. Clinical photographs showing the soft tissue profile before and after modification of	

## ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### SDI Review Form 1.6

the emergence profile of the provisional restoration.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Arati Sharma
Department, University & Country	Nepal