



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_48549
Title of the Manuscript:	In vivo antifibrotic potential of extracts of Acanthospermum hispidum DC. evaluated in wistar rats using diethylnitrosamine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors poisoned rats and attempt to show that an uncharacterized ethanolic extract of a local plant (line 137) "rich in therapeutics" acts as a cure. Nonsense. This type of report only encourages fake medicine, profits for alchemists, and poor patients losing money to pseudo drugs. The lack of method detail immediately warns the reader to be suspicious.</p> <p>44. What time of year was plant collected? Roots also? 60. How was powder prepared? 61. Pure ethanol or did it have water? Ow much water? 73. what is standard treatment? 74. How was water and extract dosed, oral, SC, IP, IM, IV???? 77. How was sylmarin dosed? 82. How was ethanol extract dosed? ~160. What is "relative" wt of liver? Relative to what? Were the technicians blinded to the experimental procedure? 237. "extract is rich in therapeutics." This statement cannot be allowed.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Michael Guarnieri.
Department, University & Country	USA