



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_48262
Title of the Manuscript:	Movement disorder early in the presentation of two children with Subacute Sclerosing PanEncephalitis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please, explain if in vaccine status corresponds to the national calendar of child immunization, were present products against measles. 2. Did you have a history of clinical symptoms of measles in both cases? 3. Please, explain, on which day since admission lumbar puncture was performed? 4. Please, explain the methods used for serological survey (ELISA test, Virus Neutralization Assay, etc...). 5. Were mathematical calculations used to determine intrathecal antibody synthesis and the permeability of the blood-brain barrier? 6. Please add a CSF data (such as cytosine, protein, glucose, chloride level etc.). What other studies were performed? What pathogens were excluded? 7. Please add a MRI scans in both cases 8. Does Isoprinosine enter the measles treatment protocol in your country? "Isoprinosine" is commercial brand of TEVA Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Maybe worth replacing it with the pharmaceutical title? 	
Minor REVISION comments	Several spelling errors in the title and in the text	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Do you have the ethical approval by ethic committee in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yueh-Feng Sung</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taiwan</i>