



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_48241
Title of the Manuscript:	Value of Telomere Length in Peripheral Blood Leucocyte as a Prognostic Marker for Breast Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic is interesting. However the manuscript is not clearly written, nor easy for the reader to understand. There are data missing. For example in the abstract the authors mention : "Results: Our results showed the mean length of telomere was 32± ? ," There are many syntactic and grammatical mistakes. The sample size is very small. For example there were only 4 cases of grade I and two cases of stage I. Have the authors check the power of the study? The tables and the figures mentioned are not available to check.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiota Mitrou
Department, University & Country	Hellenic Ministry of Health, Greece