SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_48241
Title of the Manuscript:	Value of Telomere Length in Peripheral Blood Leucocyte as a Prognostic Marker for Breast Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Remove the abbreviations. State them where they are first used in the manuscripts.	
	What stages were the cancer stages? Are they stages 0 – Illc as seen in the abstract or from stage 1 – Illc as seen in the methods? They are both highlighted in red. Revised.	
	Revise this reference' WHO 2012 (Lakhani S et al 2012) [9],"	
	The tables for the results are seen in the manuscript. Not until we see them we can tell the state of the P=values.	
	Check SDI authors' guideline for approved way of reporting P- value.	
	Revise some of the references they are not in accordance with SDI referencing style.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Attend to the raised issues and fix the grammatical errors.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)