



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_49066
Title of the Manuscript:	Separation, analysis and validation of metal ions in aqueous samples using capillary electrophoresis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Paper presents the separation of metal ions using capillary electrophoresis. The paper is interesting however before publication it should be corrected. First of all, Authors should compare their results with the already published and common method applied for metal ions analysis like for instance AAS which could be selected as reference one according to validation parameters such as LOD, LOQ, range of linearity, precision. Furthermore, Authors should complete validation parameters and statistical analysis. Some minor corrections are presented below:</p> <p>I.22 However-change into: However, I.40 and validation change into: , and validation I.42 change into: pertaining to I.54 soft ware change into software I.141 Please present results of an analysis of real samples I.216 Please give standard deviations for each value. I.228 Please perform a linear regression analysis for each calibration curve.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	-	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jolanta Flieger</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Medical University of Lublin, Poland</i>