



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_48594
Title of the Manuscript:	Allelopathic Activity of Some Medicinal Plants Against Plant pathogenic Bacteria ( <i>Erwinia carotovora</i> )
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript presents a relevant theme, however, almost the entire structure that makes up the scientific article needs to be rewritten, because the quality of the text is compromised. Need to correct verbal agreement, use of scientific language, place the manuscript in the norms of the periodical and format the text. You need to add bibliographic references. The information is relevant, and can probably be useful in the area of plant breeding. In the meantime I advise you to redo the entire scientific text (I DO NOT CORRECT THE RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS BEFORE THE TEXT IS WITH THE QUALITY AND COMMITMENTED SCIENTIFIC RELIABILITY), because there is potential in the proposal of the work, more precise of severe corrections, mainly of formatting and norms of the newspaper. One should reject the submission more, it may be relevant to its acceptance for publication if the text goes through a deep review !!! Further details are in the comments made in the fix.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Paulo Alexandre</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Brasil</i></b>