SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_48735
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION AND FARMER KNOW-HOW ON CONSERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR CEREALS AND PULSES IN THE FAR NORTH OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Table 2: which criteria did you use to classify cultures as "principal" or "secondary"? I think principal or secondary culture might be varied from one Division to another. Give as table footnote the meanings of figures in parentheses. Are values of culture system, principal culture and secondary culture in percentage? Please specify it. Are the quantity stored and area cultivated specific to principal and secondary cultures or are related to the entire cultivated cereals and pulses? This table is not easily understandable. Figure 2: caption for Division illustration should be given instead caption illustrating the interval at the top of the figure.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Abstract: Other important results may be given in abstract	
	Introduction: The Introduction is well written, concise and refers exactly to the subject of this research. However, small corrections are needed in introduction section.	
	 Line 38: the author's names "Delobel and Tran" should be added before the reference number. Line 42: please add the quote mark at the end of the sentence. Line 43: a full stop should be added at the end of sentence. Line 45: the full stop after the word "billion" should be removed. Lines 49-50: the sentence "(), the use of plant material [12, 13, 14, 15] » should be revised and corrected. Line 53: the reference number [18] should be used after the word « aid » in line 54. 	
	 Material and methods: Line 62: the word "Departments" should be Divisions, and this through the text. Figure 1 should be Figure 1 should be in subsection 2.1. The map is very difficult to read, please look for the better image. 	
	 Results: Table 1: all abbreviation within the table should be given as table footnote. Line 139: "Destined stocks" is not easily understandable, please rewrite it. Line 171: please avoid starting sentence by using a figure. Figure 5: please use your own images in results section. Line 221: the word "millet" is used twice. Please used "soy" or "soybean" through the text. 	
	Discussion: - Lines 292, 298, 299, 303, 306, 312, 314, 315: Author's names should be given before the reference numbers. - Line 334: please cheek the word "Stitophilus" and correct it. References: all the journal names should be abbreviated.	
Optional/General comments	The paper presents original results of author's research, which are very interesting and could have practical value and are up-to-date.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Kosini
Department, University & Country	Saint-Jérôme Catholic University Institute of Douala, Cameroon

Created by: EA Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Checked by: ME