SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_48741
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal inoculation on growth and yield of two sweet potato varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agre highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 51 to 52 : arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) do not produce or secrete organic acid to solitize phosphorus. For the moment, no study has shown that AMF solubilize phosphorus. Reference 11, which is the case here, does not deal with AMF but rather with ericoid mycorrhizal (EfM) and ectomycorrhizal (EfM) fungi. This part is therefore to be seriously revised because the author seems to confuse AMF, erM and ecM which are fundamentally different. Line 55 : "efficient nutrient acquisition in infertile soils", it is rather the acquisition of nutrients in less fertile soils because the AMF only mobilize the little available nutrient in the soil because of the increase of the contact surface by the hyphae because the author of plants. It is not contact and claroideoglomus etunicatum AMF species (with and without inocu	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Lines 43 to 45 : Associate with a broad range of species and are more widely distributed	

greed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nanuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	than other types of mycorrhizal associations. Give the bibliographic reference of this statement. Refresh bibliographic references because those used in this manuscript are usually too old. Preferably use items up to 5 years old. In addition, add the DOI references whenever possible as the case of reference 11, for example.	
	Line 70 : "mixed commercial inoculum" write instead " mycorrhizal mixed commercial inoculum"	
	Line 89: why the one weighing more than 100 g only?	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript cannot be published in the state In general, this manuscript must be rewritten by supporting it with more recent articles (up to 5 years old if possible) and the statistical analyzes must also be redone (one-way ANOVA). Which means that the discussion will also be redone. This will lead to a new conclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed v
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	HARO Hadou
Department, University & Country	Burkina Faso

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write