

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_45762
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of Candidiasis in pregnant and non-Pregnant women attending Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida Specia Niger state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT must be written in the style of the journal (see journal Instructions to authors on SCIENCE DOMAIN web site). The first letter in Candidia albicans MUST be capital letter throughout The manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper presents useful clinical data for the following of candida albicans in Pregnant women. Tables support the discussion of the paper. After compulsory revision above the manuscript can be accepted.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed which have a comment of the manus of the m
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

ialist Hospital and General Hospital, Minna

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	USA