



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_48611
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the effectiveness of Alum, Chlorine, Sodium Hypochlorite and Moringa's seeds in reducing bacterial loads in the treatment of restaurant wastewater
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Author describing and analysing in his article titled 'Comparison of the effectiveness of Alum, Chlorine, Sodium Hypochlorite and Moringa's seeds in reducing bacterial loads in the treatment of restaurant wastewater' is Excellent.</p> <p>With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and noted down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author has clearly specified Abstract and other information in universal format but Research objectivises are not specified • Author used various statistical tools for analysing the Research Analysis. • Hence, Research results are accurate • Article design and analysing pattern is very good. But too many paragraphs inserted and sentences, Tables constructions are not looking good • Sufficient references are included • This article is more useful to potential researchers who are taken research study on Waste Management topics. <p>Finally, this article is graded Excellent.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SrinivasaRao Kasisomayajula
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, India