

1  
2

3           **Comparison of the effectiveness of Alum, Chlorine, Sodium Hypochlorite and**  
4           ***Moringa's* seeds in reducing bacterial loads in the treatment of restaurant wastewater**

5

6           **ABSTRACT**

7           The effectiveness of different wastewater-treating agents were individually analysed and  
8           compared to one another in reducing bacterial counts (total bacterial and total coliform  
9           counts) during the treatment of restaurant wastewater. These agents include alum, chlorine,  
10          sodium hypochlorite and seeds of *Moringa oleifera*. Wastewater samples were collected at  
11          interval and analysed for bacteriological and physiochemical properties. Bacteriological  
12          analyses include total bacterial and coliform counts, while physiochemical analyses include  
13          pH, total titratable acidity (TTA), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total hardness,  
14          alkalinity and mineral components. *Moringa oleifera* seeds, was found to be very effective as  
15          a sedimentation agent, but least effective in reducing bacterial counts. Also, it was discovered  
16          that the seeds of *M. oleifera* aid the increase in the bacterial population. Alum, a non-  
17          bactericidal, sedimentation agent, was found to reduce total bacterial and coliform counts  
18          mainly by the use of flocculation. Chlorine was found to be bactericidal against all bacteria  
19          except *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, while sodium hypochlorite was found to be most effective  
20          in reducing bacterial growth during the study.

21          **INTRODUCTION**

22          Wastewater is any water that has been adversely affected in quality by anthropogenic  
23          influence (Beychok, 1999). It comprises of liquid wastes discharged by domestic residences,  
24          commercial properties like restaurants, industries and agricultural facilities, and it can  
25          encompass a wide range of potentially contaminants and concentrations (Guerrero and Calpe,  
26          1998).

27          According to a 2015 United Nation's water analytical brief, wastewater is defined as a  
28          combination of one or more of:

- 29          • domestic effluent consisting of blackwater (excreta, urine and faecal sludge) and  
30          greywater (kitchen and bathing water);

- 31 • water from commercial establishments and institutions, including hospitals and  
32 restaurants;
- 33 • industrial effluent, stormwater and other urban run-off;
- 34 • agricultural, horticultural and aquaculture effluent, either dissolved or as suspended  
35 matter (Corcoran *et al.*, 2010).

36 Unless properly treated, wastewater can harm the health of the public, the environment, local  
37 economy, recreation, residential and business development, and also other aspect of everyday  
38 life. According to Tchobanoglous *et al.* (2003), before the mid-1800s, human wastes and  
39 other kinds of waste were usually dumped or conveyed to the nearest body of water without  
40 treatment. Due to this, groundwater and other sources of drinking water were regularly  
41 contaminated, and epidemic of cholera, typhoid, dysentery and other water-borne disease  
42 were common, with outbreaks especially devastating.

43 While the treatment of wastewater is to primarily reduce the biodegradable pollutants and  
44 disease-causing agents in the water, efforts are also being made to make such treated water  
45 useable again. According to United Nation World Water Development Report (2015), 780 million  
46 people lack access to clean, usable water in developing countries. While there are many  
47 factors responsible for this, a singular factor has been discovered to have the most disastrous  
48 effect on the accessibility of people to fresh, clean water in developing countries: pollution  
49 and contamination. Therefore, several attempts are regularly being made to recycle  
50 wastewater in order to reuse them.

51 According to Beychok (1999), there are three stages in the treatment of wastewater. The  
52 primary stage, in which large solid particles and trashes are screened off or mechanically  
53 removed from the rest of the wastewater; the secondary stage, in which combination of  
54 biological and physical processes such as filtering are employed to reduce the amount of  
55 organic wastes such as oil, and; the tertiary (or advanced) stage, in which several methods  
56 such as microbial denitrification and ozone treatment, are used to reduce nutrients, toxic  
57 substances and excessive amount of dissolved materials.

58 Several chemical and biological agents have been identified over the years for the treatment  
59 of wastewater (Nester *et al.*, 2005). Four of these agents were compared for effectiveness in  
60 reducing the bacterial load and population during the treatment wastewater in this study to

61 obtain relatively safe and useable water. These include Alum (aluminium sulphate), *Moringa*  
62 *oleifera*'s seeds, chlorine and sodium hypochlorite.

## 63 MATERIALS AND METHODS

64 **Sample Collection:** Wastewater samples were collected from Falegan Restaurants, a large  
65 eatery along Banks/State Ministries Secretariats Road in Ado-Ekiti in Ekiti State, Nigeria that  
66 carters for the many staffs of over fifteen banks and the state ministries located along that  
67 road. The wastewater samples collected were those used for washing and rinsing of dishes  
68 and plates used for eating. They were labeled as follows:

69 **Sample A:** Soap solution used for dishwashing

70 **Sample B:** Initial water used for first rinsing

71 **Sample C:** Final water used for second rinsing

72 1 litre of each sample was collected once in a week for four weeks between the hours of  
73 9:00am and 3:00pm. They were transported to the laboratory for immediate analyses, while  
74 the remaining samples were kept in the refrigerator for later analyses.

75 **Bacteriological Analyses:** Microbial analyses were carried out as described in Olutiola *et al.*  
76 (1991). Attempts were made to isolate microorganisms in the wastewater samples. Ten-fold  
77 serial dilution was carried out using 1ml of the each of the samples; dilutions 9 and 10 were  
78 inoculated on MacConkey Agar and Standard Plate Count Agar (SPCA). The samples were  
79 cultured in duplicates and incubated in an inverted format. Total bacterial count (TBC) and  
80 total coliform count (TCC) were done after 24 hours using colony counter. Stock cultures  
81 from distinct colonies on the plates were prepared using Nutrient Agar. Identifications of the  
82 microorganisms were carried out using cultural and morphological characteristics and  
83 confirmed using physiological and biochemical tests.

84 **Physiochemical Analyses:** Some physiochemical parameters of the wastewater samples such  
85 as pH, biochemical oxygen demand, total titratable acidity and others were determined during  
86 the course of the research as described in the Encyclopaedia of Chemical Technology (2005).

87 **Preparation of Purifying Agents:** *Moringa oleifera*'s seeds were prepared as described in  
88 N'Dabigengesere and Narasiah (1998). 1g, 2g and 3g of the powdered seeds were dissolved  
89 in 100ml of distilled water, shaken vigorously and left for 24 hours, after which they were

90 filtered to give clear, colourless liquids of concentrations 1%, 2% and 3% respectively. Also,  
 91 for alum, chlorine and sodium hypochlorite, 1g, 2g, and 3g of their powdered forms were  
 92 each dissolved in 100ml of distilled water to give concentrations 1%, 2% and 3%  
 93 respectively.

94 **Treatment and Purification of Wastewater Samples:** 1000ml of each wastewater sample  
 95 was filtered using a filter-bed constructed using sterilized granite stones of decreasing sizes  
 96 and washed sand to remove big solid particles in the wastewater samples. The samples were  
 97 then filtered using a pre-weighed filter paper, which was then dried and weighed again to  
 98 determine the total soluble solid (TSS) of each sample of wastewater. 1ml of each  
 99 concentration of the purifying agents was then used to treat 1000ml of each wastewater  
 100 sample. The treated wastewater samples were then kept for 24 hours and analysed  
 101 microbiologically to determine the TBC and TCC. Isolates that survived the treatments were  
 102 cultured and identified using morphological, physiological and biochemical methods.

103 **RESULTS:** The bacteriological analyses of the wastewater samples were similar, showing  
 104 distinctly formed colonies on both SPCA and MA used. The sets of samples, together with  
 105 the total bacterial and total coliform counts are shown in **Table 1** below.

106 Table 1: **Bacterial Counts of Wastewater Samples (CFU/ml)**

Set	Sample	Total Bacterial Count		Total Coliform Count	
		10 <sup>-9</sup>	10 <sup>-10</sup>	10 <sup>-9</sup>	10 <sup>-10</sup>
I	A	120	20	121	96
	B	178	89	115	35
	C	14	4	106	34
II	A	2	15	80	29
	B	59	22	105	24
	C	25	11	49	12
III	A	40	7	43	14
	B	60	32	98	87
	C	50	19	80	37
IV	A	150	140	74	27
	B	168	142	112	99
	C	128	72	54	40

107 The physiochemical analyses of the wastewater samples also showed a similar trend in all  
 108 parameters tested for. The results are shown in **Table 2**.

109 Table 2: **Physiochemical Analysis of Wastewater Sample (mg/l)**

Set	Sample	pH	Alkalinity	TTA	Total Hardness	Phosphate	Chloride	BOD	TSS
I	A	9.0	136.0	26.0	84.0	1.8	67.5	300	400
	B	5.8	6.0	4.0	76.0	1.0	12.4	280	300
	C	5.2	4.0	3.0	80.0	0.8	8.9	250	300
II	A	10.3	225.0	80.0	90.0	2.0	69.0	230	300
	B	6.6	62.0	5.0	58.0	1.7	11.6	210	200
	C	5.6	31.0	3.0	85.0	1.4	9.0	200	200
II	A	12.4	286.0	91.0	96.0	2.4	74.6	300	300
	B	9.8	70.0	15.0	60.0	1.6	10.7	270	100
	C	8.9	42.0	10.0	80.0	1.2	8.9	230	100
IV	A	9.2	168.0	42.0	84.0	2.6	69.1	350	400
	B	6.7	40.0	11.0	72.0	1.6	12.4	350	300
	C	6.4	10.0	9.0	84.0	1.2	11.2	200	200

110

111 Forty distinct microorganisms were isolated from the wastewater samples before and after  
 112 treatment, identified using morphological and biochemical tests. These isolates and their  
 113 counts were given in **Table 3** and **Table 4**.

114 Table 3: Isolated Organisms and Percentage of Occurrence before Treatment

Microorganisms	Bacterial Colony Count	Percentage of Occurrence (%)
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	3	7.5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	6	15
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	3	7.5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4	10
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	3	7.5
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	8	20
<i>Proteus spp</i>	4	10
<i>Salmonella spp</i>	2	5
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	2	5
<i>Streptococcus faecalis</i>	5	12.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100</b>

115 Table 4: **Bacterial Counts of Wastewater Samples after Treatment**

Treatment Agents	Concentration (%)	Total Bacterial Count (cfu/ml)		Total Coliform Count (cfu/ml)	
		Untreated wastewater	Treated wastewater	Untreated wastewater	Treated wastewater
<i>M. oleifera</i> seeds	1	28	38	81	98
	2	28	57	81	168
	3	28	TNTC	81	TNTC
Alum	1	19	09	67	01
	2	19	08	67	17
	3	19	14	67	14
Chlorine	1	40	TNTC	106	TNTC
	2	40	TNTC	106	TNTC
	3	40	TNTC	106	TNTC
Sodium Hypochlorite	1	71	24	164	45
	2	71	15	164	14
	3	71	NG	164	NG

116 **Keys:** TNTC – Too Numerous To Count; NG – No Growth

## 117 **DISCUSSION**

118 The wastewater sample sets showed varying numbers in the total bacterial count (TBC) and  
 119 total coliform count (TCC). The use of detergent is suspected to be responsible for the  
 120 reduced TBC and TCC observed in Sample A, since detergents are known to have  
 121 antimicrobial ability (Wang *et al.* 2012). Sample B sets showed the highest TBC and TCC  
 122 which might be due to the reduced effect of the detergents diluted concentration.

123 Physiochemical properties of the samples showed high alkalinity values for samples A, which  
 124 was also indicated in the pH values. This could be majorly attributed to the detergent used in  
 125 the washing (Pipeline, 2001). Also, phosphate, a major constituent of detergent, has a  
 126 corresponding decreasing value similar to those of alkalinity. The total soluble solid (TSS) is  
 127 mostly similar in samples B and C because most of the food particles would have been  
 128 removed into sample A. There is a direct proportionality in the relationship between TSS and  
 129 BOD, and the values gotten in this study are in the same range with some past studies on  
 130 domestic wastewater (Tchobanoglous *et al.*, 2003).

131 The bacteriological analyses of the wastewater samples in Table 3 showed the presence of  
132 bacteria, especially coliform bacteria, with *Shigella dysenteriae* and *Escherichia coli* showing  
133 the highest percentage of occurrence. *S. dysenteriae* is the major causative agent of dysentery  
134 in man, and it is spread and transmitted by houseflies (Nester *et al.*, 2001). Also, water  
135 contaminated with *S. dysenteriae* has been implicated in reported cases of diarrheal outbreaks  
136 in nearby communities (Adegunloye, 2006). Though most restaurants tried to be hygienic in  
137 their food preparations, the ubiquity of houseflies coupled with observed carelessness of  
138 some workers makes the contamination of prepared food possible. The recorded high  
139 occurrence of *E. coli* is probably due to its versatility and ability to survive almost anywhere  
140 (Thompson, 2007). Although most strains of *E. coli* do not cause disease, the virulent strains  
141 are prominent causative agents of gastroenteritis, urinary tract infections and neonatal  
142 meningitis (Todar, 2007), and the same factors responsible for contamination of food in the  
143 incidence of *S. dysenteriae* may be accorded to the high occurrence of *E. coli* in restaurant-  
144 related gastroenteritis (CFSAN/FDA, 2006)

145 Also, as shown in Table 3, *Salmonella spp* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are the least  
146 occurring bacteria. While *Salmonella* is also a well-known cause of gastroenteritis, their few  
147 numbers of colony observed may be due to the fact that high temperature associated with  
148 cooking easily inactivate the bacterium (Frazier *et al.*, 2002). *P. aeruginosa* is versatile due to  
149 its ubiquity and ability to exist in soil, water, skin flora, and many natural and artificial  
150 environments (Balcht and Smith, 1994). Although *Pseudomonas spp* are known to be  
151 spoilage of many foods types as reported by Franzetti and Scarpellini (2007), the presence of  
152 *P. aeruginosa* here as a food contaminant is probably incidental or as a result of the water  
153 used.

154 In the initial stage of treatment of the wastewater samples, the soil bed used was constructed  
155 with washed and sterilized granite stones of different sizes. This is to prevent the introduction  
156 of  $Fe^{3+}$  which is common if ordinary pebbles are used. Hence, granite stones, which contain  
157 minute amount of iron and are relatively resistant to leaching (Burks and Minnis, 1998) are  
158 used. The soil bed reduced the amount of solid particles present in the wastewater, achieving  
159 the primary treatment. However, oil particles passed through soil bed which would require  
160 other method of treatment as reported in Pipeline (2001) and Nester *et al.*, (2001).

161 For the treatment of the wastewater samples with the purifying agents, extract of *Moringa*  
162 *oleifera*'s seeds showed remarkable sedimentation ability. However, it had positive effect on

163 the microbial population, surprisingly aiding the proliferation of the microorganisms. This is  
164 in line with the experiment carried out by Kalogo *et al.* (2000), where the effect of a  
165 continuous supply of water extract of *Moringa oleifera*'s seed (WEMOS) on the hydrolytic  
166 microbial population of biomass grown in mesophilic up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket  
167 reactor treating wastewater was investigated. In the experiment, it was found out that various  
168 hydrolytic bacteria could degrade WEMOS and that a continuous supply of it increased the  
169 diversity of the bacteria.

170 During the course of the present study on *M. oleifera*'s seeds effect on wastewater, it was  
171 found out that with the increasing concentration of the seeds' extract, there is are relative  
172 increases in the total bacterial and coliform counts. This corroborates the earlier reports of  
173 Kalogo *et al.* (2000) and Howgrave-Graham *et al.* (1994) where it was indicated that the  
174 extract is a source of substrate for the microorganisms present in the wastewater.

175 In the treatment with alum, there were decreases in the TBC and TCC in the treated  
176 wastewater samples. Although alum is not bactericidal in action, it has high sedimentation  
177 ability and it produces 'flocs' when dissolved in water which eventually settle at the bottom.  
178 Flocs collect suspended particles, including microorganisms, as they are settling down,  
179 reducing the numbers of the 'free' microorganisms. Alum also produced more sludge than the  
180 extract of *M. oleifera*'s seeds as reported by N'Dabigengesere and Narasiah (2005).

181 Chlorine was found to have bactericidal effect on all the microorganisms except  
182 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. This was discovered when there was a single type of growth on  
183 the media used after culturing the chlorine-treated wastewater samples. The growth was  
184 identified to be *P. aeruginosa* which had proliferated and spread uninhibited due to the  
185 absence of competition from other microorganisms. This indicated that the organism was  
186 resistant to chlorine.

187 At the 3% concentration, sodium hypochlorite showed inhibitory effects on all the microbes  
188 present in the wastewater, including *P. aeruginosa* which had shown resistance to chlorine at  
189 3%. This confirmed the strong bactericidal effect of sodium hypochlorite as stated by  
190 Kuroshima *et al.*, (2007), and its effectiveness as a better disinfectant than chlorine for  
191 bacteria, especially coliforms (Tsai and Lin, 1999). At lower concentrations, however, the  
192 bactericidal effect was reduced as few microbial growths were observed.

193



194 **CONCLUSION**

195 The analyses carried out on wastewater indicated that if untreated, wastewater could be a  
196 source of contamination and, subsequently, infections and diseases to the public. The  
197 bacteriological analyses (total bacterial and coliform counts) showed the need for wastewater  
198 to be treated before being reused or released to the environment.

199 Pertaining to reduction of the bacterial load of wastewater during treatment, the research  
200 showed that different agents could be used to treat wastewater, either singly or  
201 synergistically. Although *Moringa* seeds and alum showed strong coagulating and  
202 sedimentation ability, while sodium hypochlorite and chlorine showed strong bactericidal  
203 effect on the wastewater samples treated, the combination of alum and sodium hypochlorite is  
204 recommended due to their pronounced effectiveness when compared to the remaining two  
205 agents.

206 Generally, it is recommended that treatment of wastewater should involved combination of  
207 coagulating and bactericidal agents as shown above, in addition to pre-treatment processes  
208 for removal of those constituents such as solid particles, soluble particles and oil particles  
209 which these agents cannot remove.

210

211 **REFERENCES**

212 Adegunloye D.V. Carrier rate of enteric bacteria associated with diarrhoea in children and  
213 pupils in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* Short Communication November 24,  
214 2005.

215 Balcht, Aldona and Smith, Raymond (1994). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Infections and*  
216 *Treatment. Informa Health Care.* pp. 83–84. ISBN 0-8247-9210-6.

217 Beychok, M.R. (1999). *Aqueous Waste from Petroleum and Petrochemical Plants* 4<sup>th</sup> Edition.  
218 John Wiley & Sons. LCCN 67-19834

219 Burks, B.D., and Minnis, M.M. (1998) *Onsite Wastewater System.* Madison, WI: Hogart  
220 House Ltd.

221 Encyclopaedia of Chemical Technology (2005).

222 Franzetti, Laura and Scarpellini, Mauro (2007) Characterisation of *Pseudomonas spp.*  
223 isolated from foods. *Annals of Microbiology* 57 (1) 39-47

224 Frazier, W.C., and Westhoff, D.C. (2002) *Food Microbiology* McGraw-Hill Publishing  
225 Company, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pp 477-494.

226 Guerrero, R and Calpe, A. (1998) Water Resources Management: A Global Priority. National  
227 Academy of Science and Technology, Manila, Philippines.

228 Howgrave-Graham, A.R., Ishewood, H.A. and Wallis, F.M. (1994) Evaluation of two up-flow  
229 anaerobic digesters purifying industrial wastewater high in organic matter. *Water Science and*  
230 *Technology* 29: 225 – 229.

231 Kalogo, Y., Rosillon, F., Hammes, F. and Verstraete, W. (2000) Effect of Water Extract of  
232 *Moringa oleifera* seeds on the Hydrolytic Microbial Species Diversity of a UASB Reactor  
233 Treating Domestic Wastewater. *Letter in Applied Microbiology* 31: 259 – 264.

234 Kuroshima, K.N., Barreriros, M.A., Lacava L.A. and Costodio, P.S. (2007) The influence of  
235 dioxide chloride on water quality. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 129: 1 – 3.

236 N'Dabigengesere, A and Narasiah, S. (1998). Use of *Moringa oleifera*'s seed as a primary  
237 coagulant in wastewater treatment. *Environmental Microbiology* 19:789-800.

238 Nester, E., Anderson, D., Robert, C., Pearsall, N. and Nester, M. (2001) Microbiology: A  
239 Human Perspective, McGraw-Hill Inc., USA 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pp 787-798.

240 Olutiola, P., Famurewa, O. and Sonntag, H. (1991). An Introduction to General  
241 Microbiology: A Practical Approach. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Bolabay Publications, Nigeria.

242 *Pipeline* (2001) Basic Wastewater Characteristics. 8(4): 2 – 6.

243 Retail Establishments; Annex 3 – Hazard Analysis". Managing Food Safety: A Manual for  
244 the Voluntary Use of HACCP Principles for Operators of Food Service and Retail  
245 Establishments. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug  
246 Administration Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. April 2006. Archived from the  
247 original on 2007-06-07. Retrieved 2007-12-02.

248 Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F and Stensel, H. (2003) Wastewater Engineering (Treatment,  
249 Disposal and Reuse), Metcalf & Eddy Inc., 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. McGraw-Hill.

250 Thompson, Andrea (2007-06-04). "E. coli Thrives in Beach Sands". Live Science. Retrieved  
251 2007-12-03.

252 Todar, K. "Pathogenic E. coli". Online Textbook of Bacteriology. University of Wisconsin-  
253 Madison Department of Bacteriology. Retrieved 2007-11-30.

254 Tsai, C.T. and Lin, S.T. Disinfection of hospital waste sludge using hypochlorite and chlorine  
255 dioxide. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 86(5): 827 – 833.

256 United Nations World Water Development Report (WWDR), 2015 Water for a Sustainable World

257 Wang Z., Shen Y., Ma J., Haapasalo M. The effect of detergents on the antibacterial activity  
258 of disinfecting solutions in dentin. *J Endod.* 2012 Jul;38(7):948-53. doi:  
259 10.1016/j.joen.2012.03.007. Epub 2012 Apr 24.

260

261

262

UNDER PEER REVIEW