

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_48435
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A FUZZY EXPERT SYSTEM FOR DIAGNOSING BREAST CANCER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is sound, the reported results are interesting. But, I recommend a careful revision of the paper looking for typos and the improvement of the writing.	
Optional/General comments		

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hugo Cruz-Suárez
Department, University & Country	Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, México

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her