SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48159
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatitis B infection is the most common comorbidity in People living with HIV/AIDS; A retrospective study of the Efia Nkwanta Region
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		part in the manuscript. It is manda
Compulsory REVISION comments	 There is inadequate literature review especially on Hepatitis B and HIV/AIDS comorbity and its prevalence as well as the association between the drug combinations and body mass index with hepatitis B. The references are too few and only a few are recent. The clause 'most common' might not be necessary as part of the title. Abstract Aim is not clear Methods: Data for a retrospective study cannot be collected within a time frame only patients record within a stipulated time frame can be considered. There are gross grammatical errors. The word sociodemographic alone does not relate the information, 'Sociodemographic characteristics' can be used instead. Conclusion: Should be rewritten to reflect the scientific implications of the results and future prospects of the study. Background: There are repetition of words and paragraphs with scientific statements without references. Methodology: Should be reframed and minimize use of conjunctions. Grammatical errors should be corrected. Discussion: Comparison between dissimilar groups should be expunged. E.g. retrospective study should not be compared with findings of cross-sectional studies or meta-analysis. Results: Should include other serological markers if available and avoid qualitative grading of glycosuria and proteinuria because of its clinical implications and physiological interferences. Is there no record for complete blood count? Tables: Tables 2,3,4, 5 & 6 have no keys. Drug name should be written in full on the keys. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Authors should harmonize findings and correct typographical errors	
Optional/General comments		
	Manuscript is timely but requires current scientific information to make it sound and robust	

PART 2:

	Author's comment (if agreed with part in the manuscript. It is mandated
<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> Yes, there are two ethical considerations from two review boards for a retrospective study. Clarify please	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hauwa Bako
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria

gional Hospital

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that ndatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that datory that authors should write his/her feedback here)