



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48647
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF DIETARY PATTERN ON NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PREGNANT WOMEN IN LOW AND HIGH STRATA BETWEEN THE AGE GROUP OF 30-39 YEARS IN MUMBAI

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The data could be published if we have a well-designed research. Negative information from appropriate studies is important.</p> <p>However, the study has too many problems to enumerate. The instrument used to separate the women into two groups has never been validated. It does not focus on a woman's knowledge and abilities. Indeed, two thirds of the score depend on the husband's wealth. Was the study blinded, how were the women selected, who was excluded, who gave the instructions, were they offered anything to complete the survey, and so on?</p> <p>Let us set all the methodology flaws aside. This report is based on a major ethical violation. Publication associates the publisher and editors with the charge.</p> <p>Everywhere in the world, patients bond with their physician. They want to please the physician. They seek approval from their physician. Pregnant women are especially vulnerable. Study after study has demonstrated that vulnerable populations will tell the physician what they think the physician wants to hear. No patient review board would approve the study.</p> <p>Our obstetrician colleague(s) has (have) used a vulnerable population for his/her research. Apparently, the need to get a publication has confused their sense of right and wrong.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Guarnieri
Department, University & Country	USA