



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_33540
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, nodulation and nutrients content of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp) following Zinc fertilizer rates in the semi-deciduous forest zone of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Comments have been appended to the attached PDF file. -Authors have conducted well the study, but methodology needs revision, as authors didn't provide any clue about 'both experiments', in methodology, there is not seen any details about Minor and major cropping system. So please provide those details, to strengthen the MS technically sound.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mehmood Ali Noor</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China</i>