



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_33540
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, nodulation and nutrients content of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp) following Zinc fertilizer rates in the semi-deciduous forest zone of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs minor revision under the following lines:</p> <p>1. Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The citation of the place of research (line 8-12: at the Plantation Section 9 of the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, KNUST/Kumasi10 (Ghana)) is not required and needs to be deleted. 2. The name of varieties (Asontem, Agyenkwa and Zamzam) should be deleted. <p>2. Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line no. 43 "[8]" should be replaced with "Epstein and Bloom [8]". <p>3. Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line no. 53-54, one sentence (The site is 53 located at 06° 45' N and 01° 31' W in the rainforest belt of Ghan) is written twice, it 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>should be written once.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Line no. 57, The source of cowpea varieties is not mentionedLine no. 77, Stem should be replaced with stemLine no.108, LSD should written in full at its first citation <p>4.Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">In materials and methods it was mentioned that 5 plant samples were chosen randomly for the study, but in the result section, the average value of 5 replicate with standard deviation is lacking in both tables and figures. <p>Acknowledgement is missing</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>
--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mohd. Shahnawaz</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</i>