



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45019
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PESTICIDE ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH AND FRUIT YIELD OF MANDARIN CITRUS SEEDLESS IN BASIC DESIGN PERIOD AT THAI NGUYEN PROVINCE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: Line 6: trees are one year old or one to two years as described in the methodology section? - Line 8: the word "Agronomy" should be phenology or vegetative growth - Citations in the text should be indicated only by the reference number in brackets. The first citation should be [1], the second [2].... - Line 15: please remove "(Tahir <i>et al.</i>, 2015)", and "[6]" should be [1] - Line 17: please remove "(Afreh-Nuamah K., 1985)" - Line 19: remove "(Anjum and Javaid, 2005)" and ", (2007" - Line 21: please remove "(1999)" - Lines 24-25: please remove "(Monzo <i>et al.</i>, 2014)" - Line 29: add the word "the" before the word "effect" - Line 33: the letter 'U' from the scientific name "<i>Unshiu</i>" should not be capital - Line 38: "pesticide" should be corrected as "pesticide" - Each table should be placed in the text below the interpretation - Table 1: superscript letters should be explained as table footnote - Line 58: "(p<0.05)" should be (p > 0.05); please remove "the same table data showed that" - Lines 64, 74, 90, 110: "(p<0.05)" should be (p > 0.05) - Tables 4 and 5: the means should be compared by using superscript letters and F-values. There was a wide range of the raw data. These kind of data should transformed for harmonization. - The section Discussion should be added to your paper and your findings should be clearly discussed - Reference list: please see the author's guideline of the journal for proper citation 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: different sub-sections (Aims, Study design, Methodology, Results and Conclusion) should be used recommended in the journal author's guideline. - In the methodology sub-section, describe briefly how treatments were applied. - Line 13: the letter "M" from "Mandarin" should not be capital - excluding the abstract, the sections and sub-sections should be numbered - Line 14: the section introduction should be "1. Introduction" - Line 31: the section should be numbered as "2. Materials and methods" - Line 32: the sub-section should be numbered (2.1) - Line 41: please add the article "The" before the word "number". - Line 52: the sub-section should be numbered as "3." - Tables: the standard error should be added to the means. P-values should be replaced by F-values as for example (1.5^{ns}, 5*, 12**, 26***) - Line 65: the expression "In whichs" should be removed - Line 76: please correct the word "treatmend" as "treatment" - Line 79: please remove "the results in Table showed that" - Line 80: correct also the word "ans" as "and" - Line 86: please remove one of the double comma ", ," after the word "shoot" - Table 3: please correct the word "Aurtum". The word "leaf" in parenthesis should be removed. Means for diameter should be compared by using superscript letters as for other cases. - Lines 100-101: the expression "In the same table data showed that" should be removed - Line 105: the number "3" after the word "that" should be removed - Lines 107-108: Results are not the same with data, please remove the expression "the same data showed that" - Lines 114-115: please remove the expression "the same data in Table showed that" - Line 126: please remove the expression "data in Table 4 indicated that" - Line 153: the journal name should be abbreviated - Lines 153, 157, 160: "pp" should be removed - What are the advantages and disadvantages of the chemical pesticides tested in your study? 	
Optional/General comments	I recommend the MS be published after being substantially revised	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Daniel Kosini</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of St. Jerome Douala, Cameroon</i>