



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45695
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Opportunity for Investment in Soybean+Sunflower Crop System in Mato Grosso, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Table title should be simple, it is too long and not good. In addition, tables cannot be separated (line 276-282), (line 158-162), and (line 187-191).</p> <p>What are your recommendations related to the results of a sensitivity analysis of the feasibility of soybean and sunflower business? It is very important and interesting. (line 322-337)</p> <p>The number of references (line 430)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Give explanation about it (line 110)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Use of general terms internal rate of return and payback period (line 11-12)</p> <p>Do these two plants have the same cultivation? how do you partially estimate the cost of producing soybeans and sunflowers? Soybeans are planted first then sunflowers, or vice versa, or both are planted simultaneously. This will determine the structure of fixed costs and / or variable costs. (Line 49)</p> <p>How can we compare two commodities with different land areas. In addition, two commodities with intercropping and monoculture patterns will be analyzed differently. You have explained it in detail, but I cannot understand it (line 216-218)</p>	

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Agnes Quartina Pudjiastuti,</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Tribhuwana Tunggaladewi University, Indonesia</i></b>