



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45715
Title of the Manuscript:	Pollen viability and fruit yield of coriander genotypes inoculated with different concentrations of Meloidogyne incognita race 1
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is too lengthy. The authors should narrow down a bit on the nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) but try to add some write up on coriander. The authors should be clear on the rationale for using 2 genotypes of coriander. The material and method should be reframed to be more concise and comprehensible.	
Minor REVISION comments	Tables 3 and table 5 could be presented graphically so as to enhance the comprehension at a glance.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Adewusi, Olamide F.</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal university of technology, Nigeria</i>