

Original Research Article

Influence of hypometry in the occupation of semiarid areas

Comment [FA1]: I did not read this word in any part of the paper. I do not understand why you use this term as part of the title?

ABSTRACT

Comment [FA2]: Please follow the author format. Abstract will contain Introduction statement, objective, methodology and results in one paragraph without a subtitle. Hence rewrite the abstract again.

Aims: This research aims with the help of geotechnologies to map the different uses and coverage of the earth by analyzing its effects in relation to the altitudinal gradient in semiarid regions.

Methodology: In order to do so, RapidEye images were acquired in the year 2014, after the organization of the database the digital processing of the acquired scenes was carried out which were performed contrast technique, segmentation, identified eight classes of use and land cover and submitted to Maximum Likelihood classification.

Results: The relationship between forest cover and different uses indicates that the most representative class was Agriculture and Livestock, as a consequence of the local economy being based on subsistence culture and the Baixada environment is the most affected. In addition, it is verified that there is a lower degree of anthropization in the tops evidencing that in the Lowland environment persists a greater density of native vegetation.

Conclusion: this research confirms the hypothesis that the process of fragmentation of the native vegetation of the semi-arid region changes in relation to the altitudinal gradient, since the higher the altitude, the lower the degree of isolation and, consequently, the high forest coverage.

Keywords: dry forest, fragmentation, geoprocessing, remote sensing.

Comment [FA3]: I could not see this two words in the above paragraph.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **1. INTRODUCTION**

16
17 With the intensity of the anthropic actions in the environment, there is a high process of
18 substitution of the natural landscapes for other uses and occupations of the soil. As a result,
19 forest suppression in semi-arid regions has increased, especially for cattle and agricultural
20 expansion. This disorderly occupation and overuse of unplanned land exposed to strong
21 erosion processes and the potential for environmental degradation associated with scarce
22 water resources [14] transform extensive and continuous forest areas into fragments,
23 affecting the availability and the quality of natural resources, resulting in an imbalance
24 between supply and demand in the semiarid regions.

Comment [FA4]: What dose this means?

25 In the last decades, native vegetation has been deforested not only for the expansion of
26 agriculture and livestock, but also for the extraction of wood by shallow cutting, aiming the
27 production of firewood to supply the industries by compromising the fauna and flora of the
28 region, causing high environmental and landscape impact [4].

29 Therefore, the identification of land use and occupation is essential for understanding the
30 environment and requires the use of cutting-edge technologies in the surveying of existing
31 natural resources, to promote the rationalization of their use and to ensure their sustainable
32 development.

33 Thus, geotechnologies bring significant advances in the development of research, in
34 planning actions, in the management process and in several others referring to the
35 Geographic space structure [8] and among the main geotechnologies used in environmental
36 research, the most important are Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems-
37 GIS. According to [10] Remote Sensing and GIS are the "most important holistic tools" for
38 landscape analysis, planning and management, that is, these tools understand the
39 phenomena in their entirety.

Comment [FA5]: You need to include more paragraph to explain what this technolog is, how it will help to protect the environment e.t.c.

40 On this, this research aims with the help of geotechnologies to map the different uses and
41 coverage of the earth by analyzing its effects in relation to the altitudinal gradient in semi-arid
42 regions.

Comment [FA6]: The main objective of this research

Comment [FA7]: I think this is the concept that you need to explain more. Because there are several research made using GIS and remote sensing (what you call geotechnology). Otherwise your research is no defferent from the several land use land cover chnage researches. I do not understand why you prefer to use geotechnologies? I did not get any part in the paper a uniqe modelling or other methods.

44 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**


45
46 **2.1. Location and characterization of study areas**

47 The work was developed in the region of Araripe, domain of the Brígida River Basin and
48 located in the western part of the state of Pernambuco, Brazil. The region has a pronounced
49 semiaridity in the lower part, with precipitated annual totals varying between 400 and 500
50 mm and in the part corresponding to the Chapada do Araripe, rainfall increases, reaching
51 averages between 700 and 800 mm; and the temperature ranging between 24°C and 26°C
52 [11].

Comment [FA8]: The study area is located.....

53 The landscapes analyzed presented different dimensions, because they were pre-defined for
54 future research. Thus, for the mapping and analysis of the landscape, the buffer with 2.5 km
55 distance of each area was executed, avoiding the overlap where the landscapes composed
56 by each area and their respective buffers were analyzed. Sampling was carried out in three
57 environments with different altitudes, being the Lowland environment with altitude of up to
58 600 m, the Hills environment with heights between 600 and 750 m and the Plateau
59 environment with altitudes above 750 m. The total sampling was in nine areas, being three in
60 each environment and located in the cities of Araripina, Ipubi and Exú (Figure 1).

Comment [FA9]: What dose the samples represents? It is not clear at all?



61
62 **Figure 1: Location of study areas in semi-arid regions.**

63
64 **2.2. Data base**

65 Initially, RapidEye images were acquired with scenes covering the study region (Table 2).
66 The scenes were provided by the Federal Government distributed in the GeoCatálogo of the
67 Ministry of the Environment [13] and orthorectified (level 3A) with pixel size in the field of 5
68 (five) meters.

69
70 **Table 1. Characteristics of the RapidEye images obtained by the MOE (Ministry of the**
71 **Environment) for the studied region.**

IMAGE	CENA	DATA
RAPIDEYE	2435508	05/08/2014
	2435607	04/06/2014
	2435608	05/08/2014
	2435609	31/07/2014
	2435611	31/07/2014
	2435612	26/05/2014
	2435507	22/07/2015

72
73 **2.3. Digital image processing**

74 The digital image processing, vector mapping and visual interpretation were performed in
75 ArcGis 10.2.1 software. The images were imported to perform the mosaic and trimming of
76 the buffers, comprising a distance of 2,5 (two and a half) km. The images were analyzed by

77 the color composition (R5G3B2) of the bands, along with contrast enhancement, and then
78 segmented.

79 It was found dense vegetation (arboreal forest formations) and sparse vegetation (shrub and
80 bush vegetation), agricultural and livestock, exposed soil, bodies of water, urban, cloud and
81 shade. After defining the class standards, the samples were trained for the spectral
82 recognition of the class, and the images were submitted to the classification supervised by
83 Maximum Likelihood. Thematic maps were prepared for the nine areas and the reliability of
84 the digital classification of the study areas was performed by the confusion matrix and
85 classified using the Kappa coefficient [3] ranging from -1 to 1 (Table 3).

Comment [FA10]: This is not right? The images were classified using supervised classification (Maximum.....)

86 **Table 2. Quality of use classification and land cover according to Kappa coefficient**
87 **intervals.**

Comment [FA11]: Please delete this

<i>Kappa value</i>	<i>Quality of Classification</i>
< 0,00	Terrible
0,0 – 0,20	Bad
0,20 – 0,40	Reasonable
0,40 – 0,60	Good
0,60 – 0,80	Very Good
0,80 – 1,00	Excellent

88
89

90 3. RESULTS

91

92 The error or confusion matrix was used to determine the accuracy for the categories
93 presented in the three environments. For the Kappa index values for the Lowland
94 environment of 0.60, 0.70 and 0.84 for the areas B1, B2 and B3, respectively, allowing to
95 consider the quality of the classification from good to very good.


Comment [FA12]: Take this part to the methodology part.

96 Regarding the Hill environment, the values were 0.87, 0.88 and 0.69 for the areas E1, E2
97 and E3, respectively, indicating a good to very good classification. As for the Plateau
98 environment, all areas were identified with excellent quality in their classifications, because
99 the index values for areas C1, C2 and C3 were 0.97, 0.91 and 0.93.

100 The Lowland environment in the three areas studied has a high representation of the
101 Agriculture and livestock class (Figure 2), which is the main economic activity in the region.
102 The survey carried out in the field showed that there is agricultural exploitation in the three
103 areas of this environment, especially the bean and corn crops, causing pressure on the
104 native vegetation and affecting the habitats of endemic species.

Comment [FA13]: Exploitation? What dose it mean? Or do you want to say agricultural expansion?

105 In B1 area, the Forest class has approximately 37% of the area studied and the Agriculture
106 and Livestock class holds about 40%, which together with the exposed Solo class account
107 for 63% of the total area. B2 and B3 Areas also present high values for the association of the
108 Agriculture and Livestock and Soil classes exposed with 45.5% and 48%. The Lowland
109 environment has areas with high disturbance. However, in the Lowland environment, areas
110 B2 and B3 are similar to Forest values of 53% and 52%, respectively. This increase of the
111 class, in these areas, when comparing with B1, occurs due to their proximity to
112 watercourses, even intermittent ones.



113
114
115


Figure 2: Map of use and land coverage in 2014, of the areas inserted in the Lowland environment in semi-arid regions.

116
117
118
119

The Hill environment (Figure 3) considering the combination of the Agriculture and Livestock and Soil classes exposed shows values of 56% for area E1, followed by area E3 with 47% and with the smallest percentage of E2 that holds only 26% of its area with presence of these classes.

120
121
122
123
124
125

E1 area has the lowest value for Forest, about 44% of its total area followed for area E3 with approximately 52%; even the E3 area with a forest matrix, the data are relatively low and probably these values of the two areas (E1 and E3) are due to their proximity with urban perimeter. On the other hand, the E2 area has no proximity to urban areas and has 74% of its total of Forest area, showing the interference of the proximity of other areas to urbanization.



126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136

Figure 3. Use and land coverage map in 2014, of the areas inserted in the Hill environment in semi-arid regions.

In the Plateau environment, it is observed that the Agricultural and Livestock class has low percentages with 16%, 12% and 12% and for the Exposed soil class of 10%, 2% and 4% of the total areas of C1, C2 and C3, in that order (Figure 4). It can be noticed that these values found for the Agriculture and Livestock and Exposed soil classes in this environment are less expressive than in the previous environments and that the matrices of the three areas of the Plateau environment are of Forest, since C1 holds 75% of its total area, C2 has 81% and C3 has 85%.

137
138
139
140
141
142
143

In evaluating the average percentage of land use and land coverage categories (Figure 5), it was found that in the Lowland and Hill environments, the Agriculture and Livestock class is more prominent and it is observed that there is a decrease in the Exposed soil when moving from environments and, consequently, from altitudes. These data may be associated with the dense and sparse Forest categories that increase with this change, showing that the Plateau environment that holds the highest altitudes has the lowest percentage of exposed soil and the highest average percentages for the Forest categories.


144
145
146
147
148
149

When correlating the quantifications of Forest classes (dense and sparse forest) by the classes of Agriculture and Livestock, Exposed Soil and Urban Areas, for all areas of the three environments, the anthropization value of 53.14% is estimated for the Lowland environment; 42.80% for the Hill environment and; 17.30% for the Plateau environment. Introducing the Lowland environment as the most pressured by the anthropization of the different uses in the landscape increasing the vulnerability of these areas.

150
151

In regards to the percentage of forest coverage, there is a small difference between the Lowland and Hill environments, with 46.81% and 57%, respectively. However, in the Plateau

152 environment with 80.51% of its landscape is covered by forest vegetation. Evidence that
 153 there is a positive relationship between the number of forest species and the increase in
 154 altitude.
 155



156
 157 **Figure 4. Map of land use and coverage in 2014, of the areas inserted in the Plateau**
 158 **environment in semi-arid regions**
 159

160
 161 **4. DISCUSSION**
 162

163 In the region there is a rotation of uses in the same area. According to personal
 164 communication with residents who explain that after the suppression of vegetation, the
 165 exposed soil is used for agricultural cultivation. In dry periods, the area encompasses
 166 another activity, livestock, and at the beginning of the rainy season, the area that was used
 167 for grazing returns to agriculture as its main activity. [9] further state that the historical form
 168 of shifting agriculture itself is a contributing factor in reducing the biodiversity of native
 169 vegetation, as farmers deforest, burn and plant in a period (around two or three years), and
 170 change to other areas repeating the same practice. Thus, Brazilian semi-arid agriculture
 171 develops in a context of disordered and impacting occupation, with no tradition of planning
 172 and, consequently, with a disordered use of natural resources and, generally, without taking
 173 into account the equilibrium of environmental systems [5].

174 This lack of planning is evidenced in the Lowland environment, especially in B1 area,
 175 resulting in remnants immersed in a non-forest matrix, because of the combination of the
 176 Exposed Soil and Agriculture and livestock classes that sum 63% of the total area. Thus,
 177 defined as a matrix, because the elements in question consisted of more than 50% of the
 178 total area analyzed [10].

179 B2 and B3 areas have similar low values for the Forest class and even if the numbers
180 indicate that these two areas are inserted in a forest matrix, this amount of Forest is
181 extremely low. According to [7], these values contribute to the increase of degraded areas
182 susceptible to soil erosion, because these areas of exposed soil are former pastures
183 transformed into desertified areas due to overgrazing.

184 This disorderly use of resources promotes degraded areas, and other authors highlight this
185 issue. [20], [1], [6], [18] state that the forest coverage of the semi-arid region has been
186 reduced over the years. The deforestation of the native vegetation of the region has different
187 uses and one of them is in the use of firewood for the industry [16], favoring the process of
188 vegetation conversion.

189 In addition, the Permanent Preservation Areas of the streams and water bodies of the
190 studied landscapes also suffered, since the agricultural and livestock farm, is notorious in the
191 margins of the rivers because they present greater fertility due to the greater content of
192 humidity and the flat areas management facilities. As a consequence of this removal of the
193 vegetation the bare areas were voluntarily replaced by the exotic species Algaroba (*Prosopis*
194 *juliflora* (Sw.) DC.) that, according to [16], the species is disseminated by animals that
195 consume the fruit (pod) and drink water at the edge of the waterways.

196 In the Hill environment areas E1 and E3 hold the lowest percentage of Forest and this is
197 allied to the proximity to urbanization. As the population increase leads to an increase in
198 vehicular traffic, the introduction of residential, commercial and industrial areas, as well as
199 the opening of local roads, which can interrupt the movements of animals that are seed
200 dispersers. Furthermore, this increase interferes with natural drainage, waterproofing the
201 soil, reducing feed to aquifers, and producing solid waste that, when not properly collected
202 and / or intended, becomes a risk not only to human health, but also to coverage [17].

203 However, the E2 area is not introduced in an urban perimeter and presents the matrix of
204 native vegetation in this area, which acts as a filter for the movement of species by the
205 landscape. In addition to the urban actions, in these semi-arid regions the climate is quite
206 irregular with low rainfall and prolonged dry periods, which exerts more pressure on the
207 vegetation coverage, added to the extraction of wood, construction of houses and / or roads
208 and the expansion of agriculture and livestock , further damaging the native forests, resulting
209 in fragments immersed in non-forest matrices.

210 Plant and animal populations immersed in these matrices are imbalanced by reducing the
211 number of specialized taxa, since groups with low dispersibility are particularly sensitive to
212 reducing the connection between the fragments, so a smaller distance in the landscape can
213 be a limiting factor for the movement of some species [12].

214 The Plateau environment demonstrates the opposite verified in the areas of the other two
215 environments, the areas in the Plateau environment exhibit inexpressivity of the classes
216 Exposed solo and Agricultural and livestock and an amplitude in Forest. These good results
217 are due to the Forestry Policy of the State of Pernambuco [15], which establishes that
218 forests and other forms of natural vegetation located at altitudes above 750 meters are
219 considered Permanent Preservation Areas, corroborating with the results of the Forest
220 category in this environment and showing the importance of intervening in this accelerated
221 process of devastation of native vegetation, which is due to the irrational use of this natural
222 resource.

223 Despite this, still vegetation removal is replaced in a fast and progressive way by pasture
224 and agriculture areas [2], mainly by cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) crops that
225 contribute to the livelihood of local residents.

226 Thus, the distribution of vegetation in the environment is associated with climatic factors, the
227 physiographic characteristics of the terrain and the anthropic activities. In the case of the
228 Brazilian semi-arid region, there are major changes in vegetation coverage, because the
229 climate of this region has as its main characteristic the seasonality with two well-defined
230 climatic seasons, a dry season and a rainy season. These alterations are due to the poor
231 distribution of rainfall in the time and space during the year, where different rainfall rates are
232 observed between two seasons, summer (rainy) and winter (dry), providing rapid responses
233 to environmental changes. However, anthropic action has intensified the degradation of the
234 environment in recent decades. With this unbridled search of man for natural resources,
235 which is carried out without any awareness and planning.

236 5. CONCLUSION

237
238
239 The natural vegetation of the semi-arid region is quite fragmented, due to the disorderly
240 suppression and the rotation of land use and occupation, mainly in the Lowland
241 environment, which has low altitudes, facilitating this process of replacing forest areas.

242 There is a lower degree of anthropization in the tops, proving that in the Plateau environment
243 a greater density of native vegetation persists and a lower one in the Lowland Environment.
244 Thus, the vegetation density native to the semi-arid region is interconnected to the altitudinal
245 gradient. That is, the fragmentation of the native vegetation changes with respect to the
246 altitudinal gradient, since the higher the altitude, the greater the connectivity of the
247 fragments, and consequently, the greater forest coverage.

Comment [FA14]: Check your spelling

248 The functionality of the natural areas will be compromised, due to the reduced connectivity of
249 the fragments, if this exploitation is continued. In this way, public policies are needed to stop
250 this process, aiming at a more sustainable exploitation of forest products timber and non-
251 timber. As well as the recovery and maintenance of the permanent preservation areas, in
252 this region, it is of total relevance for the improvement of the integrity of the landscape.

253 254 COMPETING INTERESTS

255
256 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

257 258 259 REFERENCES

- 260
261 1. Barbosa IS, Andrade LA, Almeida JAP. Evolution of vegetation cover and
262 agricultural land use in the municipality of Lagoa Seca, PB. *Brazilian Journal of*
263 *Agricultural and Environmental Engineering* 2009; 13 (5): 614-622, 2009.
264 2. Coelho VHR, Montenegro SMGL, Almeida CN, Lima ERV, Ribeiro Neto A, Moura
265 GSS. Dynamics of soil use and occupation in a Brazilian semiarid basin. *Brazilian*
266 *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering*, 2014; 18 (1): 64-72.
267 3. Cohen JA. Coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and*
268 *Measurement*, 1960; 20(1), 37-46.
269
270 4. Cunha AB; Castro MSB; Castro DF. Firewood consumption in gypsum calcination
271 and environmental impacts at the araripe-PE mesoregion poles. *Journal of Biology*
272 *and Pharmacy*, 2008; 2 (1): 1-21.

- 273 5. ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop - ArcMap. Redlands: Environmental Systems Research
274 Institute; 2014.
- 275 6. Evangelista ARS. The process of occupation of the caatinga biome and its
276 socioenvironmental repercussions in sisalândia, Bahia. (p. 199, Master's
277 Dissertation, Federal University of Bahia, BA, Brazil).
- 278 7. Feitosa HC, Andrade PHC, Andrade KA, Barbosa MP, Ribeiro GN. Evaluation of the
279 process of degradation of the vegetal cover in Serra Branca and Coxixola - PB.
280 Green magazine of agroecology and sustainable development, 2010; 5 (1): 01-07.
- 281 8. Fernandes MRM, Matricardi EAT, Almeida AQ, Fernandes MM. Changes in Land
282 Use and Coverage in the Semi-arid Region of Sergipe. Forest and Environment
283 Review, 2015; 22 (4): 472-482.
- 284 9. FITZ PR. Geoprocessing without complication. Rio de Janeiro: Texts Workshop;
285 2008.
- 286 10. Landis J, Koch GG. The measurements of agreement for categorical data.
287 *Biometrics*, Washington - DC, v.33, n. 3, p. 159-179, 1977.
- 288 11. Lang S, Blaschke T. Landscape analysis with GIS. 1st ed. São Paulo: Office of
289 texts; 2009.
- 290 12. Lopes HL; Candeias ALB; Accioly LJO; Sobral MCM .; Pacheco AP. Biophysical
291 parameters in the detection of changes in soil cover and use in river basins.
292 Brazilian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, v.14, p.1210-1219,
293 2010.
- 294 13. Metzger JP, Martensen AC, Dixo M, Bernacci LC, Ribeiro MC, Teixeira AM et al.
295 Time-lag in biological responses to landscape changes in a highly dynamic Atlantic
296 forest region. *Biological Conservation*, 2009; 142 (6): 1166-1177.
- 297 14. MMA - Ministry of the Environment. 2016. Catalog of satellite images rapideye of the
298 ministry of the environment. Available at: <<http://geocatalogo.mma.gov.br/>> last
299 accessed May 11. 2016.
- 300 15. Oliveira TH, Galvínio JD. Soil use and cover in semi-arid areas of northeastern
301 Brazil. *Journal of Geography (UFPE)*, 2011; 28 (1): 120-133.
- 302 16. Pernambuco. Law No. 11,206 of March 31, 1995. Provides for the Forest Policy of
303 the State of Pernambuco and provides other measures.
- 304 17. Sá IIS, Galvínio JD, Moura MSB, Sá IB. Plant cover and land use in the Araripe
305 Pernambucana Region. *Revista Mercator*, 2010; 9 (19): 143-163.
- 306 18. Santos ALS, Pereira ECG, Andrade LHC. Forest fragmentation due to the use of the
307 soil and the environmental degradation process in the city of Junqueiro (AL). *Paths
308 of Geography*, 2008; 9 (25): 120-138.
- 309 19. Silva EA, Ferreira RLC, Silva JAA, Sá IB, Duarte SMA. Dynamics of land use and
310 land cover in the municipality of Floresta, PE. *Forest*, 2013; 43 (4): 611-620.
- 311 20. Silva JM, TABARELI M, FONSECA MT, LINS LV. Biodiversity of the Caatinga:
312 priority areas and actions for conservation. Brasília: Ministry of the Environment,
313 2004.
- 314 21. Sousa RF, Barbosa MP, Sousa Junior SP, Nery AR, Lima NA. Study of the spatial-
315 temporal evolution of the vegetation cover of the municipality of Boa Vista - PB,
316 using geoprocessing. *Revista Caatinga*, 2008; 21 (3): 22-30.
- 317

Comment [FA15]: No year, is this a published or unpublished source?