## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47911	
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of hypometry in the occupation of semiarid areas	
Time of the Auticle	Ovininal Bassavah Autiala	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good original research paper fit for publication by JEAI. However the following points should be taken into consideration. Introduction too superficial. Just three authors referenced. More should be done to bring the introduction to standard by soughting for and citing more up-to-date research papers.  The methodology is good. Very concise and precise. The results should be divided into sub-sections in accordance with the specific objectives of the study. This will ease comprehension. And the discussion of findings should be done in a more comparative fashion in order to better compare and contrast the findings of this paper with what has been found elsewhere in related studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language needs a bit of polishing to bring it up to standard.  The most recent research works (2013 – 2018) related to the paper should be sought for and cited in the paper in order to give the paper more relevance in today's context. Citing papers dating to as far back as 1960 does not do justice to the study.	
Optional/General comments	A good original research paper that is almost ready for publication. But the aforementioned corrections should be taken into consideration before the paper is considered for publication.	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nyong Princely Awazi
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)