

Original Research Article

Interaction of Eggplant Genotypes by Cropping Systems and Correlations ~~Between~~ between Characters

ABSTRACT

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L. is a crop that is in the expansion phase, mainly due to the medicinal properties of its fruits in lowering cholesterol levels and blood pressure. The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in different cropping systems, identifying those most adapted to the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana. The experiment was conducted during the year 2012 in the experimental area of the Department of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, and at the Experimental Station Luiz Jorge da Gama Wanderley - IPA in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana. We assessed two open-pollinated cultivars and six eggplant hybrids in three cultivation systems: conventional, organic and hydroponic. A randomized complete block design with eight treatments and six replicates was used in each of the three systems. The hydroponic cultivation system presented the best results in all the genotypes in the studied variables, in which five hybrids presented better performance in this system. The hybrid of Ciça and Embu, open pollinated cultivar, showed no significant difference between the systems. The hybrids Comprida, Chica and Blanca presented higher commercial fruit yield in all cultivation systems. The hydroponic system presented the majority of the genotypic and phenotypic correlations smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Keywords: Solanum melongena L., organic crop, hydroponics, conventional cropping.

1. INTRODUCTION

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L., is a vegetable that belongs to the Solanaceae family. Its cultivation has achieved good productivity and providing income on small properties. It is a source of flavonoids, alkaloids and steroids and their roots have antioxidant properties that can lower cholesterol level [1,2].

Formatted: Font: Italic

The improvement of *S. melongena* is well developed in several countries such as Turkey, India, China and Japan. However, cultivars of this species, often they have insufficient levels of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses [3]. In the last thirty years, many F1 hybrids with differentiated phenotypes have been selected for characteristics of interest such as precocity, productivity, absence of spines and intense color [4,5].

Formatted: Font: Italic

In experiments, each cultivation system presents a differentiated management, whether in the conventional, organic or hydroponic system. In these evaluations, changes in the relative behavior of the genotype in different environments are generally observed, this phenomenon is called genotype-environment interaction (GxA), and should be estimated by the breeder to understand the performance of the genotype in different environments [6].

In conventional crops, vegetables grow on the soil with adequate supply of nutrients and water. For better production, fertilizers are often used. Modern agricultural practices or conventional ones are mainly characterized by the high dependence of external ~~artificiais~~ artificial inputs, intensive use of chemical products for pest control, intensive use of soil and monoculture of commercial species [7].

The hydroponic cultivation of plants in Brazil has grown in recent years, seeking to meet a market increasingly demanding in quality. Hydroponics presents a very promising technique,

40 due to its main advantages: control in the use of nutrients; anticipation of the harvest;
41 homogeneity of supply and product quality throughout the year; absence of crop rotation needs,
42 allowing the producer a very high level of specialization [8].
43

44 Another form of cultivation that has been gaining prominence is the organic system, mainly,
45 because, in the last decade, the level of awareness of the relationship between agriculture and
46 the environment, to natural resources and the quality of food, substantially increased [9].
47

48 The literature indicates that there is difference in production when the genotypes of vegetables
49 are submitted to different environments, mainly because the characters evaluated and of
50 greater economic interest generally are quantitative: production, height, diameter and several
51 other characters in diverse cultures. Quantitative characters, especially affected by the
52 environment, present frequent significance of this effect. The different conditions in the
53 vegetable production systems justify the search for information necessary for the rational
54 exploitation of existing resources [10,11].
55

56 The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in conventional culture systems,
57 organic and hydroponic, and to estimate the correlations between the variables analyzed in the
58 experiments.
59

60 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

61

62 The experiments were carried out between December 2011 and May 2012. The hydroponic
63 system was conducted in a protected environment in the experimental area of the Department
64 of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, located in
65 the latitude of 8° 10' 52" S and longitude of 34° 54' 47" W. While experiments in conventional
66 and organic farming systems, were conducted at the Experimental Station Luiz Jorge da Gama
67 Wanderley, IPA, located in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the South Latitude of 8° 8' 00"
68 and West Longitude of 35° 22' 00", in the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana.
69

70 Six hybrids of eggplant were used: Girl, Ciça, Onaga, Violete, Roxelle and Blanca, and two
71 open-pollinated cultivars: Embu and Florida Market. These genotypes were evaluated in three
72 cultivation systems: the conventional, the organic and the hydroponic, in the randomized block
73 design. The useful part consisted of an area of 4.8 m² containing six plants, transplanted in
74 spacing of 1.0 m X 0.8 m in six replicates.
75

76 In the production of seedlings, trays of expanded polystyrene of 128 cells containing
77 commercial substrate and coconut powder in a ratio of 1:1. Three seeds were sown per cell.
78 The thinning was done 14 days after sowing, leaving one plant in each cell. The transplanting of
79 the seedlings to the definitive site was performed when the plants had six definitive leaves.
80 Were realized weekly sprays preventive measures for the control of pests and diseases.
81

82 In conventional and organic farming systems, the preparation of the area consisted of a soil
83 plowing at 30 cm depth, followed by harrowing. For the conventional cultivation system, the
84 fertilization was performed according to the soil analysis of the site. The planting fertilization
85 was composed of 6.5 g of urea, 140 g of single superphosphate and 21 g of potassium chloride
86 per plot of 4.8 m², plus two liters of barnyard manure tanned per linear meter of furrow. Three
87 cover fertilizations were carried out with 11.8 g of urea and 9.5 g of potassium chloride per
88 plant, in each application.
89

90 In the organic farming system, fertilization consisted of the addition of 3 liters of tanned corral
91 manure and 50 g of castor bean cake in each well [12]. Three cover fertilizations were
92 performed with 36 g of castor bean cake in each application. Phytosanitary treatments for this
93 system were restricted to weekly sprays with sulphocalcica (1%) and neem oil (5%). For
94 conventional cropping systems and organic were used irrigation by micro sprinkler.
95

96 In the hydroponic production system vessels were used with a capacity of five liters containing
97 washed coconut powder as substrate. The nutritional needs were supplied with nutrient solution
98 containing the essential macro and microelements, applied two to three times a day, by means
99 of a pressurized drip system.

100
101 The harvest was performed once a week, starting in March 2012 and ending in May 2012. The
102 fruits were harvested separately, when they reached the peak of growth, harvesting before they
103 begin to become fibrous. For all commercial fruits the following agronomic characteristics were
104 evaluated: average fruit mass, length, diameter, number of fruits per plant and production per
105 plant.
106

107 The collected data were submitted to analysis of the variance according to the experimental
108 design used, considering the fixed model. The significance of the analysis of variance was
109 tested by the F test and the comparison of means by the Scott-Knott test at 5% probability. We
110 also estimated the components of variance, from these estimates the phenotypic correlation
111 coefficients (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) for the evaluated characteristics, both
112 for the three environments together (joint analysis), as well as for each individual, conventional,
113 organic and hydroponic growing medium environment.
114

115 Then, the bootstrap method was used [13,14] with 10,000 simulations to verify the statistical
116 significance of the correlation estimates at the 1 and 5% probability level, and the t-test was
117 used for the phenotypic correlations. Statistical analyzes were carried out using the genes
118 application [15].
119
120

121 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

122
123 The estimates with relationship analysis of genotypes in different environments were significant
124 by the F test at 5% probability for all characteristics evaluated, with the exception of the
125 genotype environment interaction of the characteristic fruit mean length, which was not
126 significant. This shows the existence of genetic variability for the other characteristics among
127 the genotypes used. This significance also implies the performance of open pollinated hybrids
128 and cultivars resulting from the influence of each cultivation system.
129

130 The analysis of joint variance of the characteristics evaluated indicated the environments as
131 being the main source of variation, although it has also occurred for genotypes and for genotype
132 environment interaction in all characteristics evaluated, evidencing differentiated performances
133 of the genotypes due to the environmental variation.
134

135 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the characteristics
136 evaluated (Table 1), indicating a broad range of variation in the environmental conditions in
137 which the experiments were conducted.
138
139
140
141
142
143
144

Comment [F1]: In the context of this study, the "environment" has the meaning of an "growing medium" of plants, rather than a natural "environment".
Requires revision and correction.

145
146

Table 1. Mean estimates for mean fruit diameter (DMF), mean fruit length (CPM), average mass of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NMF), average yield of fruits per plant (PMF) of eggplant genotypes evaluated in three environments.

| Genotypes | Characters | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|
| | Diameter (cm) ¹ | | | Length (cm) ¹ | | | Mass(g) ¹ | | |
| | Conventional | Organic | Hydroponics | Conventional | Organic | Hydroponics | Conventional | Organic | Hydroponics |
| Comprida | 3.78Be | 3.43Bd | 4.37Ad | 28.20Aa | 24.67Ba | 27.95Aa | 158.33Bd | 121.67Bb | 230.00Ac |
| Chica | 6.48Bd | 6.50Bc | 7.23Ac | 13.90Ab | 12.90Ab | 12.90Ab | 200.00Ac | 195.00Aa | 221.67Ac |
| Embu | 6.95Bc | 6.60Bc | 7.33Ac | 12.42Ab | 12.65Ab | 13.63Ab | 200.83Ac | 180.00Aa | 225.50Ac |
| Viollete | 8.58Aa | 6.85Bb | 8.62Ab | 11.84Ab | 12.37Ab | 13.02Ab | 305.83Ab | 211.67Ba | 334.17Aa |
| Roxelle | 8.68Ba | 7.60Ca | 9.37Aa | 10.17Ab | 8.97A c | 10.67Ab | 284.12Bb | 190.00Ca | 330.00Aa |
| Blanca | 8.58Aa | 7.95Bb | 8.80Ab | 12.34Ab | 10.52Ab | 12.85Ab | 358.33Aa | 243.33Ba | 373.33Aa |
| Ciça | 6.92Ac | 6.35Bc | 7.23Ac | 11.27Bb | 14.27Ab | 15.82Ab | 217.50Bc | 198.33Ba | 263.33Ab |
| Florida Market | 7.75Bb | 6.82Cb | 8.33Ab | 11.98Ab | 10.42Ab | 12.23Ab | 223.33Bc | 196.67Ba | 281.67Ab |

| Genotypes | Characters | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| | Number of fruits per plant ¹ | | | Production (kg / plant) ¹ | | |
| | Conventional | Organic | Hydroponics | Conventional | Organic | Hydroponics |
| Comprida | 15Ba | 14Ba | 23Ab | 2.48Bb | 1.75Ba | 5.40Ab |
| Chica | 16Ba | 10Ca | 26Aa | 3.27Bb | 2.02Ca | 5.76Ab |
| Embu | 13Ab | 11Aa | 13Ad | 2.63Ab | 1.95Aa | 2.95Ad |
| Viollete | 12Bb | 10Ba | 15Ad | 3.87 Ba | 2.18Ca | 5.27Ab |
| Roxelle | 12Bb | 12Ba | 18Ac | 3.48Bb | 2.20Ca | 5.92Ab |
| Blanca | 13Bb | 13Ba | 21Ab | 4.78Ba | 3.13Ca | 8.15Aa |
| Ciça | 14Ab | 12Aa | 14Ad | 2.98Bb | 2.40Ba | 3.93c |
| Florida Market | 11Bb | 11Ba | 19Ac | 2.50Bb | 2.27Ba | 5.43Ab |

147 ¹ Means followed by different letters, capital letters between the environments and lowercase letters between genotypes differ by Scott-Knott test ($P < 0.05$).

148 Analyzing Table 1, it was observed that the hydroponic cultivation system presented the best
149 results in all genotypes in the variables studied. The hybrids Viollete, Blanca and Roxelle
150 presented the highest values for characteristic fruit diameter in the three environments. In
151 the characteristic average length of the fruits, the Comprida hybrid was the one that
152 presented the highest values in the three cropping systems, differing statistically by the
153 Scott-Knott test with a 5% probability of the other genotypes.

154

155 Regarding the average mass of fruits per plant, only the Roxelle hybrid showed differences
156 between the three systems, presenting better results in the hydroponic system. The hybrid
157 White in the conventional system presented the largest mass. The same happened in the
158 hydroponic system, in which the said hybrid stood out accompanied by the hybrids Viollete
159 and Roxelle. For the organic system, seven of the eight genotypes showed no significant
160 difference, being only the long-lived hybrid with the lowest value for the average mass of the
161 fruits.

162

163 The Chica hybrid produced the highest amount of commercial fruits per plant in the
164 hydroponic cultivation system, 26 fruits, differing significantly from the other evaluated
165 hybrids. On the other hand, the hybrid Chica presented the lowest amount of commercial
166 fruits per plant, 10 fruits, among the other cultivars and hybrids tested in the organic
167 production system. It should also be noted that the highest number of fruits per plant was
168 obtained in the hydroponic system, however, these were small and with lower mass which
169 reduced production and productivity. This characteristic, number of fruits per plant, has been
170 a prime factor for the improvement of the eggplant [16].

171

172 The difference found between the analyzed genotypes is related to the intrinsic
173 characteristics of each cultivar or hybrid analyzed. These characteristics include water and
174 nutrient uptake capacity, photosynthetic efficiency and the assimilated partition, the which
175 determine the differences in plant growth and fruit production [17].

176

177 The Ciça hybrid, released in 1991, is well accepted by producers and consumers due to the
178 high productivity, quality of fruit, resistance to diseases and precocity [18]. This hybrid,
179 despite having the lowest number of commercial fruits per plant, 14 fruits, in the hydroponic
180 cultivation system, did not vary among the three cultivation systems.

181

182 In relation to the hybrid Comprida, this one stood out in the hydroponic system, producing 23
183 commercial fruits per plant evidencing once again the great influence of the hydroponic
184 system. Despite the good result, the hybrid Comprida still does not have a good acceptance
185 in the Nordeste market, due to its long shape and small diameter. A similar fact occurred
186 with the hybrid Blanca that presented prominence both in relation to the characteristic
187 number of commercial fruits per plant as well as in relation to the mass, where in the
188 conventional system presented the best result, reaching yield per plant of 8.15 kg differing
189 significantly from the other genotypes. However, the white color of the fruit does not attract
190 the interest of the Pernambucano consumer.

191

192 One approach to be considered in the study of interaction genotypes by environments is
193 their nature. The interaction is caused by two factors: the first, also called the simple part, is
194 due to the magnitudes of the variability differences between genotypes; ~~The~~ the second,
195 called a complex part, depends on the correlation of the genotypes in [19]. In the present
196 study, a strong expression of the factors denominated complex was observed. According to
197 the statistical analysis presented, it is possible to observe different behavior of the genotypes
198 in the different production systems.

199

200 In the joint analysis the correlations for all pairs of characters evaluated the genotypic and
 201 phenotypic correlation coefficients, besides being of the same sign, were similar in
 202 magnitude and level of significance. With the exception of the correlation number of fruits per
 203 plant x average mass of the fruits, all estimates had higher genotypic correlations than
 204 phenotypic and environmental correlations. Thus, there is likely to be a greater contribution
 205 of genetic than environmental factors to estimates of phenotypic correlations between the
 206 characters studied (Table 2).

207
 208 Therefore, the hydroponic system stood out from the other systems. The characteristic
 209 number of commercial fruits per plant presents as a decisive variable to express the
 210 behavior of the genotypes in the different environments [16]. Commercial fruit production per
 211 plant of Rochelle, Violete and Blanca presented averages similar to those found in other
 212 experiments [17]. The genotypes that had the best performance were the hybrids Comprida,
 213 Chica and Blanca. For this characteristic it was noticed that among the cultivars of open
 214 pollination only the Florida Market presented a significant difference in the hydroponic
 215 system. As for hybrids, only the Ciça hybrid did not differ significantly.

216
 217 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the evaluated
 218 characteristics. Comparing the organic and conventional systems, the hybrids Rochelle,
 219 Violete and Blanca presented better results in the conventional system for fruit mass
 220 characteristics with significant difference between the two systems.

221
 222 If an estimate of positive and high genotypic correlation between characters is obtained, it
 223 shows that in practice it is necessary to evaluate only the character of easier determination,
 224 because the selection will be performed indirectly also for the other character [20]. In this
 225 way, it is possible to make inference that genes which control a character may be the same
 226 as those that control the other, pleiotropy, or linked genes. Such information is
 227 **importante** and can be applied in plant breeding to decrease the time of evaluation
 228 of certain characters, as was verified in the genetic and phenotypic correlation between
 229 mean fruit diameter and mean fruit length.

230
 231 There were high phenotypic and genotypic correlations for mean fruit diameter with mean
 232 fruit mass ($r_F = 0.84^{**}$) and ($r_G = 0.86$) indicating that an increase in fruit diameter would
 233 probably result in an increase in the mean fruit mass (Table 2). The correlation mean fruit
 234 diameter x mean fruit mass usually presents high values of correlation and can be proven in
 235 studies with other crops, tomato [21], with passion sour [22], passion sweet [23] and
 236 chestnut-of-gurguéia [24]. The mean mass of the fruits in turn presented the estimates of the
 237 correlations, with positive and high signs, with fruit production per plant ($r_F = 0.82^*$) and (r_G
 238 $= 0.90$), being possible to obtain gains in the average production of fruits per plant selecting
 239 materials with higher average mass of the fruits (Table 2). The genotype correlation between
 240 the variables mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant presented a high value ($r_G =$
 241 0.81), showing that for these characteristics the influence of the genetic effects were greater
 242 than the environmental ones and consequently the phenotypes (Table 2).

243
 244 **Table 2. Matrix of phenotypic (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) correlations**
 245 **among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass of**
 246 **fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit per**
 247 **plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in three environments, joint analysis.**

| Characters | Correlations | Caracteres | | | |
|------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|-------|------|
| | | CMF | MMF | NFP | PMF |
| DMF | r_F | -0.94** | 0.84** | -0.52 | 0.50 |
| | r_G | -0.96 | 0.86 | -0.70 | 0.56 |
| | r_E | -0.22 | 0.65 ⁺ | -0.04 | 0.27 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-------|---|-------|-------------------|-------|
| CMF | r_F | - | -0.63 | 0.57 | -0.27 |
| | r_G | - | -0.67 | 0.81 | -0.30 |
| | r_E | - | 0.24 | -0.35 | -0.13 |
| MMF | r_F | - | -0.25 | 0.82 | |
| | r_G | - | -0.31 | 0.90 | |
| | r_E | - | -0.15 | 0.46 | |
| NFP | r_F | - | - | 0.35 | |
| | r_G | - | - | 0.15 | |
| | r_E | - | - | 0.74 ⁺ | |

248 **, * Significant at 1 and 5%, by the t test, respectively (significant at 1% and 5% through the
 249 t test, respectively); ++, + Significant at 1 and 5%, respectively, by the bootstrap method with
 250 10,000 simulations (significant at 1 and 5% through the bootstrap method with 10,000
 251 simulations)-
 252

253 The characteristic number of fruits per plant did not present significant genetic and
 254 phenotypic correlation with the production of fruits per plants and with the average mass of
 255 fruits per plant, however, in another work that was evaluated 24 genotypes of eggplant ($r_F =$
 256 -0.63^{**}) and ($r_G = -0.64^{**}$) were found to be correlated between the number of fruits per
 257 plant and the average mass of the fruits and number of fruits per plant x fruit production per
 258 plant ($r_F = 0.56$) and ($r_G = 0.56$) [16]. However, it should be emphasized that genetic
 259 correlations are characteristic of a population under study and, therefore, its extrapolation is
 260 not adequate [25].
 261

262 In if treating of environmental correlations, when they were significant, presented relatively
 263 high values as in the correlations mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass ($r_E = 0.65^{++}$), and
 264 number of fruits per plant x production of fruit plants (0.74^{+}). This shows that these
 265 characters are similarly affected by the same environment conditions [26]. The other
 266 correlations were low and not significant, indicating a lower influence of the environment
 267 (Table 2).
 268

269 For the three evaluated environments, the mean diameter of the fruits presented estimates
 270 of significant phenotypic correlation with the characteristic average length of the fruits,
 271 however it was negative sign, in the systems, conventional ($r_F = -0.89^{**}$), organic ($r_F = -$
 272 0.97^{**}) and hydroponic ($r_F = -0.93^{**}$) with respect to the genotypic correlation for the same
 273 characteristics, were high and with negative signals for the three systems, ($r_G = -0.90$),
 274 organic ($r_G = -0.99$) and hydroponic ($r_G = -0.94$), confirming the relationship between the
 275 two variables (Table 3).
 276

277 **Table 3. Matrix of phenotypic (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) correlations**
 278 **among among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass**
 279 **of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit**
 280 **per plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in conventional, organic and hydroponic**
 281 **system.**

| | | Conventional System | | | |
|------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Characters | Correlations | Caracteres Characters | | | |
| | | CMF | MMF | NFP | PMF |
| DMF | r_F | -0.89 ^{**} | 0.85 ^{**} | -0.64 | 0.66 |
| | r_G | -0.90 | 0.87 | -0.79 | 0.71 |
| | r_E | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.38 ⁺ |
| CMF | r_F | - | -0.55 | 0.57 | -0.38 |
| | r_G | - | -0.58 | 0.72 | -0.43 |
| | r_E | - | 0.34 ⁺ | -0.14 | 0.10 |
| | r_F | - | - | -0.42 | 0.92 |

Comment [F2]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F3]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

| MMF | r_G | - | -0.51 | 0.98 | |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|
| | r_E | - | -0.08 | 0.38* | |
| NFP | r_F | | - | -0.02 | |
| | r_G | | - | -0.27 | |
| | r_E | | - | 0.86** | |
| Organic System | | | | | |
| Characters | Correlations | Characters | | | |
| | | CMF | MMF | NFP | PMF |
| DMF | r_F | -0.97** | 0.90** | -0.53 | 0.68 |
| | r_G | -0.99 | 0.93 | -0.68 | 0.75 |
| | r_E | 0.23 ⁺ | 0.40 ⁺ | 0.07 | 0.26 ⁺ |
| CMF | r_F | - | -0.82 [*] | 0.63 | -0.53 |
| | r_G | - | -0.88 | 0.79 | -0.63 |
| | r_E | - | 0.55** | 0.20 | 0.43** |
| MMF | r_F | | - | -0.41 | 0.84 [*] |
| | r_G | | - | -0.53 | 0.90 |
| | r_E | | - | -0.06 | 0.47** |
| NFP | r_F | | | - | 0.15 |
| | r_G | | | - | -0.10 |
| | r_E | | | - | 0.83** |
| Hydroponics System | | | | | |
| Caracteres | Correlations | Caracteres | | | |
| | | MMF | NFP | PMF | |
| DMF | r_F | -0.93** | 0.73 [*] | -0.32 | 0.31 |
| | r_G | -0.94 | 0.76 | -0.33 | 0.32 |
| | r_E | 0.64 | 0.36** | 0.14 | 0.34** |
| CMF | r_F | - | -0.45 | 0.30 | -0.11 |
| | r_G | - | -0.48 | 0.30 | -0.13 |
| | r_E | - | 0.34 ⁺ | 0.12 | 0.30 ⁺ |
| MMF | r_F | | - | -0.12 | 0.67 |
| | r_G | | - | -0.14 | 0.66 |
| | r_E | | - | 0.15 | 0.82** |
| NFP | r_F | | | - | 0.64 |
| | r_G | | | - | 0.64 |
| | r_E | | | - | 0.66** |

282 **,* Significant at 1% and 5% through the t test, respectively; ++, + Significant at 1 and 5%
 283 through the bootstrap method with 10.000 simulations.
 284

285 It was also verified a significant phenotypic correlation for mean diameter of the fruits x
 286 average mass of the fruits in the three environments, being these compounds of high values,
 287 conventional ($r_F = 0.85$ **), organic ($r_F = 0.90$ **) and hydroponic ($r_F = 0.73$ *) the genotypic
 288 correlations for the same characteristics were also high, conventional ($r_G = 0.86$), organic
 289 ($r_G = 0.93$) and hydroponic ($r_G = 0.76$) thus showing a high influence of the genotypic
 290 effects and with potential to be explored using indirect selection (Table 3).
 291

292 The phenotypic correlation mean fruit length x mean fruit mass was significant only in the
 293 organic environment ($r_F = -0.82$ *), and presented genotypic correlation with high value also
 294 ($r_G = -0.88$), in the conventional and hydroponic environments they were not significant, but
 295 also presented a negative sign (Table 3). It was verified in the conventional and organic
 296 systems, significant and high phenotypic correlation for the characteristics average mass of
 297 the fruits x production of fruits per plant, ($r_F = 0.92$ **) and ($r_F = 0.84$ **) respectively, the
 298 genotypic correlations in the two systems also presented high values $r_G = 0.98$ in the
 299 conventional system and $r_G = 0.90$ in the organic system, this correlation was not significant

300 in the hydroponic system, even the value being $rF = 0.67$ (Table 3). The other phenotypic
301 correlations were not significant.

302
303 Most estimates of the genotypic correlations of the analyzed variables of the genotypes
304 studied were superior to those of the phenotypic and environmental genotypes. In some
305 cases, genotypic correlations showed high values only in certain culture systems, as in the
306 correlation between mean fruit diameter x number of fruits per plants in the conventional
307 system ($rG = -0.79$), between average fruit diameter x average fruit yield per plant, ($rG =$
308 0.71) for the conventional system and ($rG = 0.75$) for the organic system and between the
309 mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant, with ($rG = 0.72$) for the conventional system
310 and $rG = 0.79$ for the organic system (Table 3). In this case, the genotypic correlation is that
311 which represents the genetic portion of the phenotypic correlation, and is inheritable in
312 nature and, therefore, used to guide breeding programs in the selection of certain traits [27].
313

314 The environmental correlation mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass was significant in the
315 organic systems ($rE = 0.40+$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.36++$), not being significant only in the
316 conventional system (Table 3). The correlation diameter of the fruits x mean fruit length was
317 significant only in the organic environment ($rE = 0.23+$) (Table 3).
318

319 It was verified a significant environmental correlation in the three environments for the
320 average length of the fruits with the average mass of the fruits, conventional system ($rE =$
321 $0.34+$), organic ($rE = 0.55++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.34+$) (Table 3). The mean fruit length
322 showed significant correlation estimates with mean fruit production per plant in the organic
323 ($rE = 0.43++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.30+$) environments (Table 3). In the three cropping
324 systems the correlations were significant for mean fruit mass x fruit production per plant,
325 obtaining values of $rE = 0.38+$, $rE = 0.47++$ and $rE = 0.82++$ for the conventional, organic
326 and hydroponic systems, respectively (Table 3).
327

328 The hydroponic system was the one that presented the majority of the genotypic correlations
329 and phenotypes smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems, these
330 differences are due to the way the hydroponic system is conducted providing all the
331 essential nutrients to the development of the plant, in this way the physiology becomes
332 affected, causing the correlations to present different values of the other systems. For the
333 studied variables, the genotypic correlations were superior to the phenotypic correlations,
334 demonstrating that the phenotypic expression for these characteristics is reduced by
335 environmental influences, due, probably, the causes of genetic variation and the
336 environment have influenced the characters through different physiological mechanisms
337 (Falconer & Mackay, 1996).
338

339 In the evaluated cultivation systems the superiority in hybrids productivity was observed on
340 open pollinated cultivars. The hybrids Rochelle, Viollete and Blanca showed better results for
341 fruit mass and fruit yield per plant. Although the Ciça hybrid did not present a good yield in
342 the evaluated experiments, is the most cultivated because it is the fruit most accepted by
343 consumers.
344

345 Both in the joint analysis considering the conventional, organic and hydroponic
346 environments, as in the analyzes considering each individual environment the correlations of
347 the variables of the hybrids and evaluated cultivars that stood out and could be used for
348 breeding purposes were: mean fruit diameter x average fruit length; mean fruit diameter x
349 mean fruit mass per plant and average mass of fruits per plant x average yield of fruits per
350 plant.
351

Comment [F4]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F5]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F6]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F7]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F8]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F9]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F10]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

Comment [F11]:

Comment [F12]: What is the significance of this character in this context? Most likely index (superscript); requires correction.

352 **4. CONCLUSION**

353

354 Number of commercial fruits per plant and production per plant are decisive variables to
355 express the behavior of the genotypes in the different cropping systems.

356

357 Hydroponic system ~~as~~ is the growing medium ~~environment~~ that provided the best
358 performance for all genotypes.

359

360 In the organic and conventional cultivation systems no significant difference was observed
361 for fruit production per plant.

362

363

364 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

365

366 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

367

368

369 **REFERENCES**

370

371 1. Gonçalves MDCR, Diniz MFFM, Dantas AHG, Borba JDC. Modest lipid-lowering
372 effect of the dry extract of Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in women with
373 dyslipidemias, under nutritional control. Braz. Jour. Pharm. 2006;16(5):656-663.
374 English.

Formatted: Font: Italic

375

376 2. Gomes DP, Silva AFD, Dias DCF, Alvarenga EM, Silva LJD, Panozzo LE. Priming
377 and drying on the physiological quality of eggplant seeds. Braz. Hort. 2012;30(3):484-
378 488. English.

379

380 3. Şekara A, Cebula S, Kunicki E. Cultivated eggplants—origin, breeding objectives and
381 genetic resources, a review. Fol. Horti. 2007;19(1):97-114. English.

382

383 4. Daunay M-C, Janick J. History and iconography of eggplant. Chron. Hort.
384 2007;47(3):16-22. English.

385

386 5. Prohens J, Plazas M, Raigón MD, Seguí-Simarro JM, Stommel JR, Vilanova S.
387 Characterization of interspecific hybrids and first backcross generations from crosses
388 between two cultivated eggplants (*Solanum melongena* and *S. aethiopicum* Kumba
389 group) and implications for eggplant breeding. Euphytica 2012;186(2):517-538.
390 English.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

391

392 6. Kandus M, Almorza D, Ronceros RB, Salerno J. Statistical models for evaluating the
393 genotype-environment interaction in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Fyton. 2010;79(1):39-46.
394 English.

Formatted: Font: Italic

395

396 7. Guadagnin S, Rath S, Reyes F. Evaluation of the nitrate content in leaf vegetables
397 produced through different agricultural systems. Foo. Add. Cont. 2005;22(12):1203-
398 1208. English.

399

400 8. Luz JMQ, Guimarães S, Korndörfer GH. Hydroponic production of lettuce in nutritive
401 solution with and without silicon. Braz. Hort. 2006;24(3):295-300. English.

402

403 9. Oliveira FD, Ribas RGT, Junqueira RM, Padovan MP, Guerra JGM, Almeida DD,
404 Ribeiro RDL. Performance of the consortium between cabbage and radish with pre-

- 405 cultivation of crotalaria, under organic management. *Braz. Hort.* 2005;23(2):184-188.
406 English.
407
- 408 10. Ikeda FS, Carmona R, Mitja D, Guimaraes RM. Light and KNO₃ on germination of
409 seeds of Bernardo R. *Breeding for Quantitative Traits in Plants*. Woodbury Minesota:
410 Stem. Pres. 369p. 2002. English.
411
- 412 11. Augustin L, Milach S, Bisognin DA, Suzin M. Genotype x environment interaction of
413 agronomic and processing quality traits in potato. *Braz. Hort.* 2012;30(1):84-90.
414 English.
415
- 416 12. Castro CMD, Almeida DLD, Ribeiro RDL, Carvalho JFD. Direct planting, green
417 manuring and supplementation with poultry manure in the organic production of
418 eggplant. *Braz. Agric. Res.* 2005;40(5):495-502. English.
419
- 420 13. Efron B, Tibshirani R. *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. London: Chapman & Hall.
421 436p. 1993. English.
422
- 423 14. Ferreira A, Cruz CD, Vasconcelos ESD, Nascimento M, Ribeiro MF, Silva MFD. Use
424 of non-parametric bootstrap for the evaluation of phenotypic, genotypic and
425 environmental correlations. *Act. Sci. Agro.* 2008;30(5):657-663. English.
426
- 427 15. Cruz CD. *GENES Program: Computational application in genetics and statistics*.
428 Viçosa: UFV. 648p. 2007. English.
429
- 430 16. Tatis AH, Ayala CCE, Camacho EMM. Correlaciones fenotípicas, ambientales y
431 genéticas en berenjena. *Act. Agro.* 2009;58(4):285-291. English.
432
- 433 17. Antonini ACC, Robles WGR, Tessarioli Neto J, Kluge RA. Production capacity of
434 eggplant cultivars. *Braz. Hort.* 2002;20(4):646-648. English.
435
- 436 18. Ribeiro CSDC, Reischneider F. Evaluation of eggplant hybrids by producers and
437 technicians. *Braz. Hort.* 1999;17(1):49-50. English.
438
- 439 19. Cruz C, Castoldi F. Decomposicao da interacao genotipos x ambientes em partes
440 simples e complexa. *Ceres.* 1991;38(219):422-430. English.
441
- 442 20. Ramalho MAP, Ferreira DF, Oliveira ACD. *Experimentation in Genetics and Plant*
443 *Breeding*. 3 ed. Lavras: UFLA. 305p. 2012. English.
444
- 445 21. Fernandes C, Corá JE, Braz LT. Classification of cherry tomatoes according to fruit
446 size and weight. *Braz. Hort.* 2007;25(2):275-278. English.
447
- 448 22. Santos CEMD, Bruckner CH, Cruz CD, Siqueira DLD, Pimentel LD. Physical
449 characteristics of passion fruit according to genotype and fruit mass. *Braz. Jour. Frut.*
450 2009;31(4):1102-1119. English.
451
- 452 23. Alves RR, Salomão LCC, Siqueira DLD, Cecon PR, Silva DFPD. Relationship
453 between physical and chemical characteristics of passion fruit fruits cultivated in
454 Viçosa-MG. *Braz. Jour. Frut.* 2012;34(2):619-623. English.
455

- 456 24. Ribeiro FSDC, Souza VABD, Lopes ÂCDA. Physical characteristics and chemical-
457 nutritional composition of the castanheira-do-gurguéia fruit (*Dipteryx lacunifera*
458 Ducke). *Agro. Sci. Jour.* 2012;43(2):301-311. English.
459
- 460 25. Gonçalves GM, Viana AP, Reis LSD, Bezerra Neto FV, Amaral Júnior ATD, Reis LSD.
461 Phenotypic and genetic-additive correlations in yellow passion fruit by Design I. *Sci.*
462 *Agrot.* 2008;32(5):1413-1418. English.
463
- 464 26. Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*: Longman. 480p.
465 1996. English.
466
- 467 27. Ferreira MAJF, Queiróz MAD, Braz LT, Vencovsky R. Genotypic, phenotypic and
468 environmental correlations among ten characters of watermelon and their implications
469 for genetic improvement. *Braz. Hort.* 2003;21(3):438-442. English.

UNDER PEER REVIEW