



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48170
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIES FOR INCREASING THE APPLE EPIDERMIS RED COLORED WITH PHYSIOGROW® COLOR
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. Good statistical support and the variables evaluated were adequate. During the revision were found some corrections.</p> <p><b>Title:</b> Is ok</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Is ok, but some corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To describe the economic importance of apple production in Brazil (acreage, yield, annual production, production value).</li> <li>2. Little corrections were done in the manuscript.</li> </ol> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To describe the agronomic characteristics of 'Royal Gala' apple cultivar.</li> <li>2. Reference [17] it was added in the Line 97.</li> <li>3. Some corrections were done in this section.</li> </ol> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are good with proper evidences. Tables are clear.</li> <li>2. Authors can include some photographs which will add more value to the article.</li> <li>3. Some corrections were done in the manuscript.</li> </ol> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Is ok. Only little corrections were done.</p> <p><b>References:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are complete.</li> <li>2. Some corrections were done in accordance with the rules of this journal.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raúl Leonel Grijalv</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales y Pecuarias, México</b>